NOVA

University of Newcastle Research Online

nova.newcastle.edu.au

Page, Alister J.; Saha, Supriya; Li, Hai-Bei; Irle, Stephan; Morokuma, Keiji; 'Quantum chemical simulation of carbon nanotube nucleation on Al_2O_3 catalysts via CH_4 chemical vapor deposition'. Published in the *Journal of the American Chemical Society* Vol. 137, Issue 29, p. 9281-9288 (2015)

Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b02952>

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, copyright ©2015 American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b02952>

Accessed from: <http://hdl.handle.net/1959.13/1317386>

Quantum Chemical Simulation of Carbon Nanotube Nucleation on Al_2O_3 Catalysts via CH_4 Chemical Vapor Deposition

Alister J. Page,^{,†1} Supriya Saha,^{†1} Hai-Bei Li,³ Stephan Irle^{*,4} and Keiji Morokuma^{*,2}*

¹Newcastle Institute for Energy and Resources, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan 2308, Australia

²Fukui Institute for Fundamental Chemistry, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8103, Japan

³School of Ocean, Shandong University, Weihai 264209, China

⁴Institute of Transformative Bio-Molecules (WPI-ITbM) and Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

Abstract

We present quantum chemical simulations demonstrating how single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) form, or “nucleate”, on the surface of Al_2O_3 nanoparticles during chemical vapor deposition (CVD) using CH_4 . SWCNT nucleation proceeds via the formation of extended polyyne chains that only interact with the catalyst surface at one or both ends. Consequently, SWCNT nucleation is not a surface-mediated process. We demonstrate that this unusual nucleation sequence is due to two factors. Firstly, the π interaction between graphitic carbon and Al_2O_3 is extremely weak, such that graphitic carbon is expected to desorb at typical CVD temperatures. Secondly, hydrogen present at the catalyst surface actively passivates dangling carbon bonds, preventing a surface-mediated nucleation mechanism. The simulations reveal hydrogen’s reactive chemical pathways during SWCNT nucleation, and that the manner in which SWCNTs form on Al_2O_3 is fundamentally different to that observed using “traditional” transition metal catalysts.

1. Introduction

The discovery of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) in the early 1990s¹⁻³ was a landmark moment in nanoscience and nanotechnology. Since their discovery, the outstanding mechanical, optical and electronic properties of SWCNTs have driven intense research efforts into the optimization of their synthesis. The optical and electronic properties of a SWCNT are determined by its chiral indices, (n,m) . Electronic and optical devices based on SWCNTs are therefore limited by our ability to synthesize and isolate single types of (n,m) SWCNTs. The development of such synthetic methods (so-called “chirality-controlled” growth) has therefore been the ultimate goal of SWCNT growth science for the last two decades.

SWCNTs were originally produced via carbon arc discharge with transition metal catalysts.¹⁻³ Today however, by far the most common family of techniques for SWCNT production is chemical vapor deposition (CVD) on catalytic nanoparticles. Recently a number of important achievements have been made towards the goal of chirality-controlled SWCNT growth by a number of groups.⁴ These approaches have combined CVD techniques with “amplification” growth,^{5,6} cloning,^{7,8} catalyst design,⁹⁻¹² and templated organic synthesis.¹³⁻²¹

Of these approaches, catalyst design is arguably the most versatile and accessible method. Recent studies¹² have demonstrated that keeping the catalyst nanoparticle solid during growth is a key factor enabling the production of extremely narrow (n,m) distributions. In this respect, the use of “non-traditional” CVD catalysts, such as semiconducting Si and Ge,²² SiC,²²⁻²⁴ SiO₂,²⁵⁻²⁹ ZrO₂,³⁰ Al₂O₃,³¹ and even diamond³² and fullerenes³³ are potentially ideal candidate nanoparticles for initiating chirality-controlled growth, since all of them (except Ge²²) remain in the solid state at typical CVD temperatures. However, the lower catalytic activities of these non-metallic nanoparticles in the solid state results in relatively low SWCNT yields. SWCNT nucleation and growth mechanisms on Si, SiC and SiO₂ have been studied extensively.³⁴⁻³⁷ While SWCNT growth has been demonstrated using these other non-metallic nanoparticles, the atomistic mechanism underpinning nucleation and growth in each case

remains unexplained. However, understanding the nucleation and growth processes at the atomic level is the key to achieving chirality control using these non-traditional catalysts.

Herein we present the first investigation establishing how CH₄ CVD proceeds on Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, leading to SWCNT nucleation. Quantum chemical molecular dynamics (QM/MD) simulations are used to model the CVD process at 1,100 K, and show that SWCNT nucleation on Al₂O₃ proceeds via polyyne chain cross-linking *away* from the Al₂O₃ nanoparticle surface,³⁸ between chains that are only anchored at one or both ends. This process resembles fullerene formation³⁹ more closely than it does SWCNT nucleation on transition metal and Si-based catalysts, and so is a marked departure from established nucleation mechanisms. Density functional theory calculations reveal that this mechanism arises from a combination of (1) the extremely weak carbon-Al₂O₃ π interaction and (2) hydrogen's capacity to preferentially passivate particular surface-adsorbed carbon species. The simulations presented here will hopefully assist in understanding how solid phase "catalysts" such as Al₂O₃ can be exploited towards chirality-controlled SWCNT growth.

2. Computational Details

2.1. Quantum Chemical Methods

Nonequilibrium QM/MD simulations were based on the self-consistent charge density functional tight binding method (SCC-DFTB)⁴⁰ as implemented in the DFTB+ program,⁴¹ with the matsci-0-2 parameters.⁴² The quantum chemical potential energy and energy derivatives were calculated on the fly at each step in the MD simulation. Equations of motion were integrated according to the velocity-Verlet⁴³ algorithm using a timestep of 0.5 ps. The temperature was maintained throughout all simulations at 1,100 K via a Nosé-Hoover chain thermostat (chain-length 3)^{44,45} coupled to the degrees of freedom of the system. An electronic temperature⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ of 1,500 K was also enforced during each SCC cycle. The occupancies of molecular orbitals close to the Fermi level were therefore not necessarily integer-occupied; instead they were described using a Fermi-Dirac distribution function of the orbital

energy. Such an electron smearing approach has been used extensively by our group in the context of nanoscale self-assembly^{29,34-36,49-53} and is also standard practice in traditional first principles-based MD simulations. A practical advantage of electron smearing is that the rate of convergence of the SCC cycles at each step of the MD simulation is substantially accelerated. Furthermore, the use of a finite electronic temperature is essential in systems such as those employed here, due to the presence of numerous carbon and aluminium dangling bonds.

Density functional theory (DFT) was employed to calculate adsorption energies of CH_x ($x = 0-3$) and hydrogen atoms on Al_2O_3 , reaction energies for CH_4 dehydrogenation, and graphene adsorption energies. The PBE exchange-correlation functional⁵⁴ in conjunction with a valence double- ζ plus polarization function (DZP) basis set, and norm-conservative Troullier-Martins pseudo-potentials for core electrons. An electronic temperature of 1,500 K was applied to all DFT calculations, for consistency with DFTB results. A $(1 \times 1 \times 1)$ k -point sampling scheme and a Mesh-cutoff of 150 Ry were employed to ensure adequate convergence. All DFT calculations employed the SIESTA program.⁵⁵

2.2. Model Systems and SWCNT Growth Simulations

SWCNT nucleation on Al_2O_3 nanoparticle catalysts has been simulated here in a similar manner to that used previously for Si-based catalysts,^{34,35} and transition metal catalysts.^{49,50,56} The model catalyst was an Al-terminated $\text{Al}_{60}\text{O}_{83}$ nanoparticle, cleaved from the bulk Al_2O_3 corundum structure⁵⁷ (see Figure S1). The approximate dimensions of this nanoparticle were $2 \times 2 \times 2$ nm. For all simulations, this nanoparticle was placed at the centre of a $6 \times 6 \times 6$ nm³ cubic supercell, before being optimized at 0 K. This optimized structure was then equilibrated using MD at 1,100 K; ten trajectories were generated using randomly chosen initial velocities (according to a Boltzmann distribution) for all atoms in the catalyst. All subsequent CVD simulations were also replicated 10 times.

The CVD process was simulated here via the periodic addition of carbonaceous radical feedstock. To ascertain the role of hydrogen in this process, we have investigated the Al_2O_3 -catalyzed CVD processes via two sets of simulations that model the presence and absence of hydrogen. For the latter scenario,

carbon atoms were adsorbed onto the Al_2O_3 nanoparticle surface at intervals of 1 ps. For the former scenario, CH_x ($x = 0, \dots, 3$) were adsorbed onto the surface of the Al_2O_3 nanoparticle at intervals of 1 ps. This approach, as opposed simply to the addition of CH_4 (which resulted only in nonreactive collisions), is justified by previous experimental suggestions²⁷ that CH_4 molecules partially decompose pyrolytically under typical CVD conditions, prior to adsorbing on the catalyst surface. The value of x here was chosen randomly, but weighted *via* a Poisson distribution so as to reflect the thermodynamics of successive dehydrogenation of CH_4 (*i.e.* CH_3 was more probable than CH_2 , and so on). It can safely be assumed that such CH_x dissociation products are present in low concentrations under CVD conditions, and that follow-up reactions of radicals on the nanoparticle surface actively contribute to their generation *via* hydrogen abstraction reactions. Each adsorption site for both CH_x radicals and C atoms was chosen randomly, and the initial velocities of all adsorbed atoms satisfied a Boltzmann distribution at 1,100 K. The velocities of the feedstock particles were directed towards the centre of mass of the Al_2O_3 nanoparticle. Following 20 ps, hydrogens were randomly removed from the simulation at a rate of 2 H / ps. This approach effectively mimicks the natural chemical removal of hydrogen atoms prevalent in high temperature conditions, such as those observed in CVD chambers, for instance by water formation. We have used this approach in previous investigations of SWCNT nucleation,³⁵ giant fullerene formation⁵⁸ and polyaromatic hydrocarbon formation.⁵⁹ For the latter scenario, *i.e.* CVD in the absence of hydrogen, atomic carbon was adsorbed onto the model Al_2O_3 catalyst surface at a rate of 2 C / ps.

DFT calculations employ a $[2 \times 2 \times 1]$ extension of the Al-terminated $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3[0001]$ unit cell (see Figure S2). 3-dimensional periodic boundary conditions were employed, with a vacuum region of 5 nm between adjacent surfaces to prevent undesired surface-surface interactions. The lattice parameters ($a=0.4757$ nm and $b=1.2987$ nm) were fixed at the experimental values.⁵⁷ The Al-terminated Al_2O_3 surface employed here has only two symmetrically distinct Al atoms present at the surface (denoted A and B in Figure S2). Here we only consider H/ CH_x adsorption on Al atom A; adsorption at the Al atom

B is not considered here due to steric and coordination considerations (although it has been previously shown for H that adsorption at atom B is possible⁶⁰).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. SWCNT Nucleation Mechanism on Al₂O₃ Nanoparticles

An example of SWCNT nucleation observed in the absence of hydrogen (trajectory 3) is depicted in Figure 1. Figure S3 shows snapshots from each individual QM/MD trajectory at comparable times (beyond 100 ps), when the adsorbed carbon density on the Al₂O₃ surface is the same for all trajectories.⁶¹ Two observations can be made immediately from these figures. Firstly, much of the carbon applied to the Al₂O₃ nanoparticle surface desorbs, either in the form of isolated C atoms, small fragments, or extended C_n polyyne chains. This follows from the relatively weak Al-C bond,⁶² which, as we will discuss below, is a key factor that determines the nature of SWCNT nucleation on Al₂O₃ catalysts. Secondly, the carbon that remains adsorbed exists predominantly as long polyyne chains anchored to the surface at either one or both ends by σ -type C-Al bonds. These chains undergo free translational and vibrational diffusion in the surrounding atmosphere due to their minimal interaction with the catalyst surface along the length of the chain (discussed further below).

Consequently, SWCNT nucleation occurs via a mechanism more akin to fullerene formation³⁹ than to SWCNT nucleation on iron-group transition metals^{49,51,63,64} and Si-based catalysts.³⁴⁻³⁷ The latter are both surface-mediated processes due to the strengths of the catalyst-carbon σ/π interactions. We return to a full discussion of this comparison below. Here we focus on the mechanism of Al₂O₃-catalyzed SWCNT growth, since to our knowledge no such mechanism has been reported previously.

Figure 1. Example of Al_2O_3 -catalyzed SWCNT nucleation mechanism observed in QM/MD simulations. Black spheres: Al atoms; red spheres: O atoms; cyan spheres: C atoms.

Due to the weakness of the Al_2O_3 -carbon π interaction, the SWCNT nucleation mechanism observed here closely resembles Eres' polyynic oligomerization mechanism.³⁸ These simulations also suggest that, as for SWCNT nucleation with Si-based catalysts, surface saturation with carbon is a prerequisite for SWCNT nucleation. For example, the formation of the SWCNT cap in Figure 1 begins with the coalescence of two adjacent polyynic chains, indicated by yellow arrows (68.84 ps). Both of these chains are substantial in length, consisting of 16 and 9 carbon atoms, respectively. It is also noted here that both carbon chains contain polyynic Y-junctions; the formation of these junctions has been shown to be a crucial step in SWCNT/graphene nucleation on iron-group transition metal catalysts, producing typically a pentagon as the first carbon ring species. However, this is presumably not the case here, since the coalescence of these two chains occurs independently of these junctions. Between *ca* 68 and 71 ps these two carbon chains form C-C bonds on a number of occasions. However, due to their high translational and vibrational mobility, these C-C bonds typically break soon afterwards. This is even the

case for thermodynamically stable pentagons, which were formed and broken several times (between *ca.* 71 and 73 ps); this is unusual for SWCNT nucleation, however has been reported during fullerene formation.³⁹ Polygonal carbon rings are only stabilized when a number of them coalesce almost simultaneously (between 73 and 74 ps). For example, in this trajectory, three pentagons form next to each other within approximately 3 ps, after which a single heptagon is formed. Within a further 1 ps another 2 pentagons form to give the final cap structure (78.70 ps) shown in Figure 1.

Ultimately this SWCNT cap consists of 5 pentagons and a single heptagon. The predominance of pentagons over hexagons in this example (and all others observed during these simulations) is peculiar amongst all other examples of SWCNT nucleation using, for example, iron-group transition metals^{51,63,64} and Si-group catalysts.³⁴⁻³⁷ However, it follows from the fact that both of the polyyne chains shown in Figure 1 are anchored to the Al₂O₃ nanoparticle through terminating C-Al σ -bonds, and “loop” away from the nanoparticle surface. Any polygonal carbon rings that subsequently form between them are forced to adopt a highly positive curvature; only pentagons can achieve this. Pentagon addition to the point of cap closure is prevented here by termination of carbon dangling bonds by the Al₂O₃ surface (as predicted via Smalley’s “Scooter mechanism”⁶⁵), and this is consistent with the strength of these C-Al σ -bonds. Although they are not as strong as some transition metal C-M bonds,⁶² they are strong enough to withstand the thermal energy observed at 1,100 K. In this respect it is anticipated, on the basis of previous predictions,⁶⁶ that SWCNT diameter should be smaller than catalyst diameter for Al₂O₃, which is indeed observed in our QM/MD simulations.

Our discussion of the SWCNT nucleation mechanism here (Figure 1, S3) is based on carbon-only simulations. Although simulations with CH_x (as opposed to C atoms) were performed, no instance of SWCNT nucleation was observed over timescales of 100-200 ps. This is consistent with the previous work of Wang *et al.*,⁶⁷ who considered the role of H during Fe-catalyzed C₂H₂ CVD SWCNT nucleation. High hydrogen concentrations effectively terminated dangling σ carbon bonds. In turn this prevented the formation of a positively-curved *sp*²-carbon network and thus impeded SWCNT

nucleation. Li *et al.*⁵⁹ have shown similar phenomena during the nucleation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons during oxygen-lean benzene combustion. In the following section, we turn to a more detailed discussion on the role of hydrogen in the present simulations.

3.2. CH₄ CVD on Al₂O₃ Nanoparticles: The Role of Hydrogen

Liu *et al.*³¹ have previously reported SWCNT growth using solid Al₂O₃ catalysts with ethanol feedstock in the presence of Ar/H₂. It was proposed that the H₂ present during Al₂O₃-catalyzed CVD promoted growth by displacing (or preventing the accumulation of) amorphous carbon from the catalyst surface, thereby preventing catalyst poisoning and making the catalyst active for a longer period of time. Here we consider both the thermochemical and dynamic aspects of surface carbon displacement by hydrogen.

The displacement of amorphous carbon from the Al₂O₃ surface would require a significant portion of the catalyst surface to be hydrogen passivated. Figure 2 shows that the transfer of hydrogen from surface-adsorbed carbon species onto the Al₂O₃ surface is observed during QM/MD simulations. This indicates that hydrogen passivation of surface Al atoms is plausible, even in the absence of ambient H₂. Similar observations were also reported recently for nickel catalysts.^{68,69} Supplementary PBE calculations ensure that this is not an artefact of the DFTB parameters used in our MD simulations. For example, Figure 2(a) structures at 0 and 1.20 ps were optimised at 0 K using both SCC-DFTB and PBE/DZP (Figure S4). The energy associated with this hydrogen transfer reaction is 56.38 (SCC-DFTB) and 51.36 kcal/mol (PBE/DZP), which are in close agreement. Comparable agreement in the optimised reactant (0 ps) and product (1.20 ps) structures was also observed, as shown in Figure S4. Hydrogen abstraction observed during QM/MD simulations is consistent with the relative adsorption energies of H and CH₃ on Al₂O₃, given in Table 1. Adsorption energy is calculated here *via*,

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E(X + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3) - [E(X) + E(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)] \quad (1)$$

where $X = \text{C}, \text{CH}, \text{CH}_2, \text{CH}_3$ and H . Table 1 shows that the Al-H bond is stronger than the $\text{Al-C} (\text{CH}_3)$ bond by ~ 4 kcal/mol using PBE/DZP. SCC-DFTB (not shown) predicts the same trend, although the difference in these calculated bond strengths is larger.

Table 1. Adsorption energies E_{ads} (kcal/mol) for CH_x and H on Al_2O_3 [0001].

C	CH	CH_2	CH_3	H
-108.2	-66.5	-122.2 ^a	-46.3	-50.7

^a PBE/DZP predicts CH_2 adsorbs via bond formation with both Al and O , causing an anomalous increase in E_{ads} .

If hydrogen were capable of displacing amorphous carbon from the Al_2O_3 surface, it would also have to be a thermochemically favourable process. In other words, E_{ads} for hydrogen would have to be substantially larger than E_{ads} for sp- , sp^2 - and sp^3 -hybridized carbon (modelled here with C , CH , CH_2 , and CH_3 , respectively). E_{ads} of H and CH_3 on Al_2O_3 are comparable, so the displacement of sp^3 -hybridized carbon from Al_2O_3 by hydrogen passivation, while feasible, is unlikely to be a frequent process (consistent with our QM/MD simulations). For surface-adsorbed sp- and sp^2 -hybridized carbon, E_{ads} for CH_2 , CH and C on Al_2O_3 are substantially larger than E_{ads} for hydrogen (E_{ads} for CH_2 is anomalously high since CH_2 adsorbs via C-Al and C-O bond formation). This effectively rules out displacement of these groups from the Al_2O_3 surface by hydrogen, at least on a thermochemical basis. Therefore, hydrogen is not necessarily capable of displacing all amorphous carbon species from the Al_2O_3 surface; it depends on the type of surface carbon that is present.

Figure 2. (a-c) Separate examples of Al_2O_3 -catalyzed H and abstraction observed in QM/MD trajectory 4. H-abstraction is driven exclusively by surface Al atoms. (d) Population of Al-H bonds observed during trajectory 4 (red line) and averaged over all trajectories (black line).

Instead, we propose an alternative mechanism by which hydrogen promotes SWCNT growth on Al_2O_3 catalysts. We propose that hydrogen promotes SWCNT growth not by displacement of surface-adsorbed carbon, but by promoting the formation of CH/CH_2 carbon species, which are capable of polyynes chain formation. This is demonstrated by considering the dominant hydrogenation reactions

occurring on the Al_2O_3 surface. Table 2 shows that hydrogenation of surface-adsorbed CH species, forming CH_2 , is the most thermochemically favourable hydrogenation process during SWCNT nucleation on Al_2O_3 , with $\Delta E = -190.8$ kcal/mol. By comparison, ΔE for hydrogenation of surface-adsorbed C and CH_2 are both *ca.* -83 kcal/mol. Combined with Table 1, this indicates that surface-adsorbed CH_2 are expected to be the most abundant species on the Al_2O_3 surface. Hydrogenation of Al_2O_3 aluminum and oxygen in the presence of adjacent CH_2 are the 2nd and 3rd most favourable reactions, with maximum ΔE values of -139.5 and -101.5 kcal/mol, respectively. We note that this is a substantial increase compared to the hydrogenation of pristine $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3[0001]$ (Table 1).

Surface-adsorbed H and CH_2 species will therefore be the dominant species during SWCNT nucleation on Al_2O_3 . The formation and adsorption of CH groups on the Al_2O_3 surface is also thermochemically favourable. Since C-C bond formation is a highly exothermic process,⁶⁷ both CH and CH_2 groups will rapidly aggregate on the surface due to their carbon dangling bonds. Ultimately this will result in polyyne chain formation, consistent with our QM/MD simulations. The depletion in surface CH and CH_2 groups that results from this aggregation will drive further CH/ CH_2 formation via Le Chatelier behavior. We note also that these chains extend away from the catalyst surface (due to the weaker carbon-catalyst π interaction, discussed below), thereby keeping a larger portion of the nanoparticle surface available for further carbon deposition.

With respect to CH_3 , both formation and adsorption is less favourable than either CH or CH_2 , as shown in Tables 1 and 2. Aggregation of CH_3 groups is also impossible, since there are no carbon dangling bonds available. Consequently, the saturation of the Al_2O_3 surface with “inactive” CH_3 species, which would otherwise obstruct SWCNT nucleation, is an unfavourable process.

This means that hydrogen’s surface chemistry and its ability to prevent catalyst poisoning is determined, in part, by the nature of the carbon – catalyst interaction. For instance, if carbon-catalyst π interactions are stronger than carbon-catalyst σ interactions, hydrogen passivation of surface carbon will lead to large, hydrogen-terminated, sp^2/sp^3 hybridized carbon “islands” covering the catalyst surface.

Table 2. PBE/DZP reaction energies for hydrogenation reactions of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3[0001]$ and carbon species adsorbed on $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3[0001]$.

			ΔE (kcal/mol)
$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-83.9
	$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-49.2
	$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-75.6
$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-190.8
	$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-139.5
	$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-101.5
$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-83.2
	$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-97.0
	$\xrightarrow{\text{H}}$		-33.2

Due to the preferential saturation of carbon dangling bonds with hydrogen, these islands are incapable of instigating growth, and instead lead to catalyst poisoning. On the other hand, if carbon-catalyst π interactions are weaker than carbon-catalyst σ interactions, hydrogen passivation of surface carbon will

lead to a greater proportion of sp hybridized surface carbon, which will naturally extend away from the catalyst surface (as is observed in SCC-DFTB/MD simulations, Figure S3). This keeps the nanoparticle catalytically active, but forces the nucleation process *away* from the surface, resulting in the nucleation mechanism described above. In either case, carbon aggregation on the catalyst surface remains an exothermic reaction on Al₂O₃, as it is for other catalysts. However, it is impeded kinetically by the solid phase of the catalyst, which reduces surface carbon diffusion; this point is discussed in greater detail below.

3.3. Comparison of SWCNT Nucleation on Al₂O₃, Si and Transition Metal Catalysts

The mechanism of SWCNT nucleation on Al₂O₃ catalysts differs fundamentally from both Si-based and transition metal catalysts. Comparison of the ratios of sp-, sp²- and sp³-hybridized carbon observed on Al₂O₃, with those observed on SiO₂³⁵ and Ni⁶⁴ nanoparticle catalysts is made in Figure 3. In each case, the method of simulation is the same (i.e. DFTB/MD), and although the growth temperature differs slightly between these three simulations (these temperatures match relevant CVD experiments for each catalyst), atomic carbon is used as the growth precursor in each case. Figure 3(a) shows that sp-hybridized carbon (polyyne chains) are dominant on Al₂O₃ catalysts (consistent with Figure 1), with little sp²-hybridized, and no sp³-hybridized carbon being formed. As described above, these chains predominantly extend away from the Al₂O₃ surface. For SiO₂, sp-hybridized carbon is still dominant, but there is a much greater proportion of sp²- and even sp³-hybridized carbon present on the catalyst surface. The presence of sp³-hybridized carbon, and the fact that the relative populations of sp-, sp²- and sp³-hybridized carbon quickly becomes static indicates that carbon is adsorbed strongly onto the surface structure of the nanoparticle, with very low diffusional mobility. On the other hand, Figure 3(c) shows that on Ni, the populations of sp and sp² hybridized carbon are dynamic, indicating a rapid sp → sp² conversion.

The growth of the sp-hybridized carbon chains perpendicular to the Al₂O₃ catalyst surface is consistent with the relative adsorption energies of carbon via σ and π bonding to the Al-terminated Al₂O₃ surface. Using DFT calculations, we obtained $E_{\text{ads}}(\sigma) = -60.96$ kcal/mol / carbon atom and $E_{\text{ads}}(\pi) = -1.38$ kcal/mol / carbon atom (see Figure 4). Including dispersion (via Grimme's⁷⁰ correction for PBE) does not significantly alter these relative adsorption strengths, with $E_{\text{ads}}(\sigma) = -70.13$ kcal/mol / carbon atom and $E_{\text{ads}}(\pi) = -1.52$ kcal/mol / carbon atom. π -bonding is therefore likely to be unfavourable at typical CVD temperatures. The formation of an extended sp² network adsorbed directly on the catalyst surface, as typically observed for transition metal catalysts, is therefore thermodynamically unfavourable in the case of Al₂O₃. Instead, carbon coalescence leads to predominantly sp-hybridized, carbon chains extending away from the catalyst surface. Typical growth temperatures provide enough vibrational/diffusional motion within these chains to drive sp² network formation *via* Eres' cross-linking mechanism,³⁸ and this process is independent of the catalyst surface. The specific example shown in Figure 1 is reminiscent of the initial stages of fullerene formation,³⁹ with the exception that the carbon structure is anchored to Al atoms on the Al₂O₃ surface.

This SWCNT nucleation mechanism is a marked departure from established nucleation mechanisms for “traditional” transition metal catalysts, and silicon-based catalysts such as Si,³⁴ SiC³⁷ and SiO₂.^{35,36} For these catalysts, SWCNT nucleation is surface mediated and results in more sp² and sp³ carbon in the nucleating structure (Figure 3), because the nucleating SWCNT cap “sticks” more strongly to the catalyst surface. This is most notable for transition metal catalysts (illustrated in Figure 3 for Ni⁶⁴), for which the sp/sp² carbon ratio is almost equal following the nucleation process. A greater portion of the catalyst surface therefore becomes covered by the nucleating carbon structure. Depending on the strength of the carbon-catalyst π interaction, this growing carbon structure can yield SWCNT growth (weaker π interactions, such as Fe and Ni^{71,72}) or catalyst poisoning (stronger π interactions, such as SiO₂³⁵).

Figure 3. Evolution of sp , sp^2 and sp^3 hybridization during SWCNT nucleation on (a) Al_2O_3 (at 1,100 K), (b) SiO_2 (at 1,200 K)³⁵ (SWCNT cap indicated in yellow) and (c) Ni/Ni-carbide (at 1,400 K)⁶⁴ nanoparticles (note the different scale on the vertical axis in (a)).

We note however that these phenomena cannot be explained solely on the basis of carbon-catalyst interaction strengths. For example, $E_{ads}(\pi)$ (using PBE/DZP) for graphene on Ni(111) is 0 kcal/mol.⁷¹ Despite this similarity between $E_{ads}(\pi)$ for Al_2O_3 and Ni(111), Figure 3 shows that the nucleation mechanism differs significantly for these two catalysts. The critical difference is catalyst phase. Whilst Al_2O_3 remains solid at these temperatures, nanoparticle Ni catalysts exist in the liquid phase.⁶⁴

Consequently, surface Ni atoms exhibit higher mobility and the catalyst is actively deformed by the nucleating carbon structure.⁷²⁻⁷⁵ As a result, the carbon structure must adopt the curvature of the underlying catalyst surface, and this is achieved by the promotion of an extended sp^2 network, at the expense of sp -hybridized polyyne chains. For the same reason, diffusion of surface carbon on Al_2O_3 is anticipated to be significantly lower than diffusion on liquid/surface molten transition metal catalysts. This is consistent with SWCNT nucleation/growth on SiO_2 ,^{26,28,35} which requires carbon-saturation of the catalyst surface before SWCNT nucleation is observed. We therefore propose that SWCNT nucleation on Al_2O_3 occurs via a vapor-solid-solid mechanism, as it does for SiO_2 catalysts.

Figure 4. Comparison of σ versus π bonding between graphene and Al_2O_3 (the inset shows the “ π -only” structure from above). The energy for “ σ -only” adsorption is -60.96 kcal/mol / carbon atom, while the “ π -only” adsorption is -1.38 kcal/mol / carbon atom.

Solid oxide materials, such as SiO_2 ,²⁵⁻²⁹ ZrO_2 ³⁰ and Al_2O_3 ³¹, initially generated interest as SWCNT growth catalysts due to their unexpected catalytic activity, the absence of metal contaminants in the grown SWCNTs, and their potential for enabling diameter-selective, and even chirality-selective CVD growth. This potential for diameter- and chirality-controlled growth is due to the catalyst remaining in the solid phase at typical CVD temperatures, making it possible to exploit epitaxy between the catalyst

surface and the growing graphitic network, to preferentially produce specific (n,m) chirality SWCNTs. The most significant recent examples of this epitaxy-based approach are those of Zhou *et al.* (vapor-phase epitaxy on quartz),^{6,8,13} Li *et al.* (WMo alloy nanoparticles)¹² and Fasel *et al.* (Pt(111)).¹⁴ These reports demonstrate that it is possible to exploit surface epitaxy to achieve chirality control for *some* solid catalysts. However, the results of this work indicate that a solid-phase catalyst itself is not always sufficient for chirality-controlled growth. We demonstrate here a new SWCNT growth mode, one which is not directly mediated by the catalyst surface. In such a case, there is no surface epitaxy between the nucleating SWCNT cap and the catalyst surface, and so this strategy is likely to be unsuccessful. We propose that other catalysts similar to Al_2O_3 (i.e. those with weak carbon-catalyst π interactions, strong σ interactions, high melting points) may also fall into this class. For this class of catalysts, other experimental parameters (e.g. feedstock pressure, temperature, catalyst diameter, carbon precursor) may become more influential in achieving diameter and chirality selective growth. Investigation into this possibility is ongoing in our research groups.

4. Conclusion

We have presented quantum chemical simulations investigating the CVD of CH_4 on Al_2O_3 leading to the nucleation of SWCNTs. These simulations demonstrate for the first time a SWCNT nucleation mechanism that is not directly mediated by the catalyst surface. Instead, nucleation proceeds via the cross-linking of extended polyynes chains that are only anchored to the catalyst surface via C-Al σ bonds. This is a significant deviation from established “vapor-liquid-solid” (VLS) and “vapor-solid-solid” (VSS) mechanisms, commonly accepted in describing nucleation on transition metal and non-metal catalysts, respectively.⁴ DFT calculations reveal that this is the product of an extremely weak π interaction between graphitic carbon and the Al_2O_3 nanoparticle. It is expected that this weak interaction would be overcome by thermal energy at typical CVD temperatures. The role of hydrogen during SWCNT nucleation has also been established here for the first time. For Al_2O_3 , DFT calculations

indicate that surface hydrogen can passivate the Al₂O₃ surface, but will also preferentially form CH and CH₂ species, which are capable of polyyne chain formation. This prevents catalyst-poisoning due to the coalescence of surface carbon species, allowing SWCNT nucleation to take place.

Supporting Information

Details of the model Al₂O₃ nanoparticle used in QM/MD simulations of CH₄ CVD; Snapshots of 10 QM/MD simulations of SWCNT nucleation. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Corresponding Authors

*Email: alister.page@newcastle.edu (AJP), sirle@chem.nagoya-u.ac.jp (SI), keiji.morokuma@emory.edu (KM)

†These authors contributed equally.

Acknowledgements

AJP, SI and KM acknowledge support from the Australian Research Council (ARC DP140102894) and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Open Partnership 13039901-000174). This work was in part supported by two CREST (Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology) grants to KM and SI from JST. SI and AJP acknowledge support by the JSPS Sakura program for bilateral researcher exchange. AJP acknowledges a Fukui Fellowship at Kyoto University. HBL acknowledges the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (Grant No.ZR2014BQ015) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21403127). We are grateful for generous supercomputer time at the Institute for Molecular Science (IMS) in Okazaki, Japan.

References

- (1) Iijima, S. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. *Nature* **1991**, *354*, 56.
- (2) Iijima, S. Single-shell carbon nanotubes of 1-nm diameter. *Nature* **1993**, *363*, 603.

- (3) Bethune, D. S.; Kiang, C. H.; DeVries, M. S.; Gorman, G.; Savoy, R.; Beyers, R. Cobalt-catalysed growth of carbon nanotubes with single-atomic-layer wall. *Nature* **1993**, *363*, 605.
- (4) Page, A. J.; Ding, F.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Insights into carbon nanotube and graphene formation mechanisms from molecular simulations: a review. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2015**, *78*, 036501.
- (5) Wang, Y.; Kim, M. J.; Shan, H.; Kittrell, C.; Fan, H.; Ericson, L. M.; Hwang, W.-F.; Arepalli, S.; Hauge, R. H.; Smalley, R. E. Continued Growth of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Nano Lett.* **2005**, *5*, 997.
- (6) Liu, J.; Wang, C.; Tu, X.; Liu, B.; Chen, L.; Zheng, M.; Zhou, C. Chirality-controlled synthesis of single-wall carbon nanotubes using vapour-phase epitaxy. *Nat Commun* **2012**, *3*, 1199.
- (7) Yao, Y.; Feng, C.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Z. Cloning of single-walled carbon nanotubes via open-end growth mechanism. *Nano Lett.* **2009**, *9*, 1673.
- (8) Liu, B.; Liu, J.; Tu, X.; Zhang, J.; Zheng, M.; Zhou, C. Chirality-Dependent Vapor-Phase Epitaxial Growth and Termination of Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 4416.
- (9) Chiang, W.-H.; Mohan Sankaran, R. Linking catalyst composition to chirality distributions of as-grown single-walled carbon nanotubes by tuning NixFe_{1-x} nanoparticles. *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, *8*, 882.
- (10) Li, X. L. Selective synthesis combined with chemical separation of single-walled carbon nanotubes for chirality selection. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15770.
- (11) Miyauchi, Y.; Chiashi, S.; Murakami, Y.; Hayashida, Y.; Maruyama, S. Fluorescence spectroscopy of single-walled carbon nanotubes synthesized from alcohol. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *387*, 198.
- (12) Yang, F.; Wang, X.; Zhang, D.; Yang, J.; LuoDa; Xu, Z.; Wei, J.; Wang, J.-Q.; Xu, Z.; Peng, F.; Li, X.; Li, R.; Li, Y.; Li, M.; Bai, X.; Ding, F.; Li, Y. Chirality-specific growth of single-walled carbon nanotubes on solid alloy catalysts. *Nature* **2014**, *510*, 522.
- (13) Liu, B.; Liu, J.; Li, H.-B.; Bhola, R.; Jackson, E. A.; Scott, L. T.; Page, A.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K.; Zhou, C. Nearly Exclusive Growth of Small Diameter Semiconducting Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes from Organic Chemistry Synthetic End-Cap Molecules. *Nano Lett.* **2014**.
- (14) Sanchez-Valencia, J. R.; Dienel, T.; Groning, O.; Shorubalko, I.; Mueller, A.; Jansen, M.; Amsharov, K.; Ruffieux, P.; Fasel, R. Controlled synthesis of single-chirality carbon nanotubes. *Nature* **2014**, *512*, 61.
- (15) Fort, E. H.; Donovan, P. M.; Scott, L. T. Diels–Alder Reactivity of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Bay Regions: Implications for Metal-Free Growth of Single-Chirality Carbon Nanotubes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16006.
- (16) Fort, E. H.; Scott, L. T. One-Step Conversion of Aromatic Hydrocarbon Bay Regions into Unsubstituted Benzene Rings: A Reagent for the Low-Temperature, Metal-Free Growth of Single-Chirality Carbon Nanotubes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 6626.
- (17) Cheng, D.; Barcaro, G.; Charlier, J. C.; Hou, M.; Fortunelli, A. Homogenous Nucleation of Graphitic Nanostructures from Carbon Chains on Ni(111). *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 10537.
- (18) Fort, E. H.; Scott, L. T. Carbon nanotubes from short hydrocarbon templates. Energy analysis of the Diels-Alder cycloaddition/rearomatization growth strategy. *J. Mat. Chem.* **2011**, *21*, 1373.
- (19) Fort, E. H.; Scott, L. T. Gas-phase Diels–Alder cycloaddition of benzyne to an aromatic hydrocarbon bay region: Groundwork for the selective solvent-free growth of armchair carbon nanotubes. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2011**, *52*, 2051.
- (20) Jasti, R.; Bertozzi, C. R. Progress and challenges for the bottom-up synthesis of carbon nanotubes with discrete chirality. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, *494*, 1.
- (21) Omachi, H.; Nakayama, T.; Takahashi, E.; Segawa, Y.; Itami, K. Initiation of carbon nanotube growth by well-defined carbon nanorings. *Nat Chem* **2013**, *5*, 572.
- (22) Takagi, D.; Hibino, H.; Suzuki, S.; Kobayashi, Y.; Homma, Y. Carbon Nanotube Growth from Semiconductor Nanoparticles. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 2272.
- (23) Kusunoki, M.; Rokkaku, M.; Suzuki, T. Epitaxial carbon nanotube film self-organized by sublimation decomposition of silicon carbide. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *71*, 2620.

- (24) Wang, Z.; Irle, S.; Zheng, G.; Kusunoki, M.; Morokuma, K. Carbon Nanotubes Grow on the C Face of SiC (000-1) during Sublimation Decomposition: Quantum Chemical Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, *111*.
- (25) Bachmatiuk, A.; Boàrrnert, F.; Grobosch, M.; Schàffel, F.; Wolff, U.; Scott, A.; Zaka, M.; Warner, J. H.; Klingeler, R.; Knapfer, M.; Buàchner, B.; Ruàmmeli, M. H. Investigating the Graphitization Mechanism of SiO₂ Nanoparticles in Chemical Vapor Deposition. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 4098.
- (26) Huang, S.; Cai, Q.; Chen, J.; Qian, Y.; Zhang, L. Metal-Catalyst-Free Growth of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes on Substrates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 2094.
- (27) Liu, B.; Ren, W.; Liu, C.; Sun, C.-H.; Gao, L.; Li, S.; Jiang, C.; Cheng, H.-M. Growth Velocity and Direct Length-Sorted Growth of Short Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes by a Metal-Catalyst-Free Chemical Vapor Deposition Process. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 3421.
- (28) Liu, H.; Takagi, D.; Chiashi, S.; Homma, Y. The growth of single-walled carbon nanotubes on a silica substrate without using a metal catalyst. *Carbon* **2010**, *48*, 114.
- (29) Wang, H.; Wei, L.; Ren, F.; Wang, Q.; Pfefferle, L. D.; Haller, G. L.; Chen, Y. Chiral-Selective CoSO₄/SiO₂ Catalyst for (9,8) Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Growth. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *7*, 614.
- (30) Steiner, S. A.; Baumann, T. F.; Bayer, B. C.; Blume, R.; Worsley, M. A.; MoberlyChan, W. J.; Shaw, E. L.; Schlögl, R.; Hart, A. J.; Hofmann, S.; Wardle, B. L. Nanoscale Zirconia as a Nonmetallic Catalyst for Graphitization of Carbon and Growth of Single- and Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 12144.
- (31) Liu, H.; Takagi, D.; Ohno, H.; Chiashi, S.; Chokan, T.; Homma, Y. Growth of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes from Ceramic Particles by Alcohol Chemical Vapor Deposition. *Appl. Phys. Exp.* **2008**, *1*, 014001.
- (32) Takagi, D.; Kobayashi, Y.; Homma, Y. Carbon Nanotube Growth from Diamond. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6922.
- (33) Rao, F.; Li, T.; Wang, Y. Growth of “all-carbon” single-walled carbon nanotubes from diamonds and fullerenes. *Carbon* **2009**, *47*, 3580.
- (34) Chandrakumar, K. R. S.; Page, A. J.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Carbon Coating Precedes SWCNT Nucleation on Silicon Nanoparticles: Insights from QM/MD Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 4238.
- (35) Page, A. J.; Chandrakumar, K. R. S.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. SWNT Nucleation from Carbon-Coated SiO₂ Nanoparticles via a Vapor–Solid–Solid Mechanism. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 621.
- (36) Page, A. J.; Chandrakumar, K. R. S.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Do SiO₂ and Carbon-Doped SiO₂ Nanoparticles Melt? Insights from QM/MD Simulations and Ramifications Regarding Carbon Nanotube Growth. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2011**, *508*, 235.
- (37) Page, A. J.; Chandrakumar, K. R. S.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Thermal annealing of SiC nanoparticles induces SWNT nucleation: evidence for a catalyst-independent VSS mechanism. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 15673.
- (38) Eres, G.; Rouleau, C. M.; Yoon, M.; Puretzky, A. A.; Jackson, J. J.; Geohegan, D. B. Model for Self-Assembly of Carbon Nanotubes from Acetylene Based on Real-Time Studies of Vertically Aligned Growth Kinetics. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 15484.
- (39) Irle, S.; Zheng, G.; Wang, Z.; Morokuma, K. The C₆₀ Formation Puzzle “Solved”: QM/MD Simulations Reveal the Shrinking Hot Giant Road of the Dynamic Fullerene Self-Assembly Mechanism. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 14531.
- (40) Elstner, M.; Porezag, D.; Jungnickel, G.; Elsner, J.; Haugk, M.; Frauenheim, T.; Suhai, S.; Seifert, G. Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method for simulations of complex materials properties. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *58*, 7260.
- (41) Aradi, B.; Hourahine, B.; Frauenheim, T. DFTB+, a Sparse Matrix-Based Implementation of the DFTB Method†. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2007**, *111*, 5678.
- (42) Lushtinetz, R.; Oliveira, A. F.; Frenzel, J.; Joswig, J.-O.; Seifert, G.; Duarte, H. A. Adsorption of phosphonic and ethylphosphonic acid on aluminum oxide surfaces. *Surf. Sci.* **2008**, *602*, 1347.

- (43) Swope, W. C.; Andersen, H. C.; Berens, P. H.; Wilson, K. R. A computer simulation method for the calculation of equilibrium constants for the formation of physical clusters of molecules: Application to small water clusters. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *76*, 637.
- (44) Martyna, G. J.; Klein, M. L.; Tuckerman, M. Nos[e-acute]--Hoover chains: The canonical ensemble via continuous dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 2635.
- (45) Nose, S. A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 511.
- (46) Wagner, F.; Laloyaux, T.; Scheffler, M. Errors in Hellmann-Feynman forces due to occupation-number broadening and how they can be corrected. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *57*, 2102.
- (47) Weinert, M.; Davenport, J. W. Fractional occupations and density-functional energies and forces. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *45*, 13709.
- (48) Wentzcovitch, R. M.; Martins, J. L.; Allen, P. B. Energy versus free-energy conservation in first-principles molecular dynamics. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *45*, 11372.
- (49) Page, A. J.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Polyyne Chain Growth and Ring Collapse Drives Ni-Catalyzed SWNT Growth: A QM/MD Investigation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 8206.
- (50) Page, A. J.; Minami, S.; Ohta, Y.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Comparison of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Growth From Fe and Ni Nanoparticles using QM/MD Simulations. *Carbon* **2010**, *48*, 3014.
- (51) Page, A. J.; Ohta, Y.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Mechanisms of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Nucleation, Growth and Healing Determined Using QM/MD Methods *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *43*, 1375.
- (52) Wang, Y.; Page, A. J.; Nishimoto, Y.; Qian, H.-J.; Morokuma, K.; Irle, S. Template Effect in the Competition between Haeckelite and Graphene Growth on Ni(111): Quantum Chemical Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18837.
- (53) Kim, J.; Page, A. J.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Dynamics of Local Chirality during SWCNT Growth: Armchair versus Zigzag Nanotubes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 9311.
- (54) Perdew, J. P.; Chevary, J. A.; Vosko, S. H.; Jackson, K. A.; Pederson, M. R.; Singh, D. J.; Fiolhais, C. Atoms, molecules, solids, and surfaces: Applications of the generalized gradient approximation for exchange and correlation. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *46*, 6671.
- (55) José, M. S.; Emilio, A.; Julian, D. G.; Alberto, G.; Javier, J.; Pablo, O.; Daniel, S.-P. The SIESTA method for ab initio order- N materials simulation. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2002**, *14*, 2745.
- (56) Page, A. J.; Ohta, Y.; Okamoto, Y.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Defect Healing during Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Growth: A Density-Functional Tight-Binding Molecular Dynamics Investigation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 20198.
- (57) Kirfel, A.; Eichhorn, K. Accurate structure analysis with synchrotron radiation. The electron density in Al₂O₃ and Cu₂O. *Acta. Cryst.* **1990**, *A46*, 271.
- (58) Saha, B.; Shindo, S.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Quantum Chemical Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Dynamic Fullerene Self-Assembly in Benzene Combustion. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 2241.
- (59) Li, H.-B.; Page, A. J.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Revealing the Dual Role of Hydrogen for Growth Inhibition and Defect Healing in Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon Formation: QM/MD Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *4*, 2323.
- (60) Jian Gong Hu; Yi Sheng Zhang; Li Chao Jia; Bin Zhu; Hong Guang Yang; Zhan, Q. Hydrogen atom adsorption on α -Al₂O₃(0001) surface from first principles. *Adv. Mater. Res.*, 399-401, 2261.
- (61) Note that, although the carbon addition rate is the same in all trajectories, the rate at which carbon adsorbs to the nanoparticle surface will be different. This is because of the unpredictable nature of the nanoparticle CH_x collisions.
- (62) Silvearv, F.; Larsson, P.; Jones, S. L. T.; Ahuja, R.; Larsson, J. A. Establishing the most favorable metal-carbon bond strength for carbon nanotube catalysts. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* **2015**, *3*, 3422.
- (63) Ohta, Y.; Okamoto, Y.; Page, A. J.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Quantum chemical molecular dynamics simulation of single wall carbon nanotube cap nucleation on an iron particle. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 3413.

- (64) Page, A. J.; Yamane, H.; Ohta, Y.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. QM/MD Simulation of SWCNT Nucleation on Transition Metal Carbide Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 15699.
- (65) Thess, A.; Lee, R.; Nikolaev, P.; Dai, H.; Petit, P.; Robert, J.; Xu, C.; Lee, Y. H.; Kim, S. G.; Rinzler, A. G.; Colbert, D. T.; Scuseria, G. E.; Tomanek, D.; Fischer, J. E.; Smalley, R. E. Crystalline Ropes of Metallic Carbon Nanotubes. *Science* **1996**, *273*, 483.
- (66) Mora, E.; Pigos, J. M.; Ding, F.; Yakobson, B. I.; Harutyunyan, A. R. Low-Temperature Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes Synthesis: Feedstock Decomposition Limited Growth. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 11840.
- (67) Wang, Y.; Gao, X.; Qian, H.-J.; Ohta, Y.; Wu, X.; Eres, G.; Morokuma, K.; Irle, S. Quantum chemical simulations reveal acetylene-based growth mechanisms in the chemical vapor deposition synthesis of carbon nanotubes. *Carbon* **2014**, *72*, 22.
- (68) Oguri, T.; Shimamura, K.; Shibuta, Y.; Shimojo, F.; Yamaguchi, S. Bond dissociation mechanism of ethanol during carbon nanotube synthesis via alcohol catalytic CVD technique: Ab initio molecular dynamics simulation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *595-596*, 185.
- (69) Shibuta, Y.; Arifin, R.; Shimamura, K.; Oguri, T.; Shimojo, F.; Yamaguchi, S. Ab initio molecular dynamics simulation of dissociation of methane on Ni(111) surface: Unravelling initial stage of graphene growth via a CVD technique. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2013**, *565*, 92.
- (70) Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2006**, *27*, 1787.
- (71) Page, A. J.; Wang, Y.; Li, H.-B.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Nucleation of Graphene Precursors on Transition Metal Surfaces: Insights from Theoretical Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 14858.
- (72) Li, H.-B.; Page, A. J.; Hettich, C.; Aradi, B.; Köhler, C.; Frauenheim, T.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Graphene Nucleation on a Surface-Molten Copper Catalyst: Quantum Chemical Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 3493.
- (73) Wang, Y.; Page, A. J.; Li, H.-B.; Qiang, H.-J.; Jiao, M.-g.; Wu, Z.-J.; Morokuma, K.; Irle, S. Step-edge self-assembly during graphene nucleation on a nickel surface: QM/MD simulations. *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 140.
- (74) Gomez-Gualdrón, D. A.; McKenzie, G. D.; Alvarado, J. F. J.; Balbuena, P. B. Dynamic Evolution of Supported Metal Nanocatalyst/Carbon Structure during Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Growth. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6*, 720.
- (75) Li, H.-B.; Page, A. J.; Wang, Y.; Irle, S.; Morokuma, K. Sub-surface nucleation of graphene precursors near a Ni(111) step-edge. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 7937.

TOC Graphic