If the conjecture is valid, then there exists a counterexample showing that a certain reduced gradient algorithm for solving linearly constrained minimization problems does not exhibit linear convergence (M. Gaviano, B. Piazza, *On the rate of convergence of a reduced gradient method*, Boll. Unione Matematica Italiana, to appear).

On the Expected Value of Two Statistics

Problem 82-13, by K. A. RAO (State University of New York at Binghamton).

If

$$R_2 = \max(Y_1, Y_2) - \min(Y_1, Y_2),$$

$$R_3 = \max(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) - \min(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3),$$

where Y_1 , Y_2 , and Y_3 are cyclically exchangeable random variables, prove that

$$2E(R_3) = 3E(R_2).$$

This problem generalizes a result of H. E. Robbins for the i.i.d. case (see *On the expected value of two statistics*, Ann. Math. Statist., 15 (1944), pp. 321–323).

A Conjecture on the Number of Real Zeros

*Problem 82-14**, by T. C. Y. LAU (University of Hong Kong)

It is conjectured that the function

$$P_m(x) = e^{-x} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + b_i x)$$

cannot have more than $m + 2$ real zeros on the nonnegative real axis. Here, $P_m(x)$ is any polynomial of degree at most m and b_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are n positive real numbers. Prove or disprove.

The problem arose in trying to approximate exponential functions by rational polynomials with real poles. The special case $m = 0$ has been solved.

Flight in an Irrotational Wind Field. II

Problem 82-15, by M. S. KLAMKIN (University of Alberta).

It is a known result (see *Problem 61-4*, SIAM Rev., 4 (1962), p. 155) that if an aircraft traverses a closed curve at a constant air speed with respect to the wind, the time taken is always less when there is no wind, than when there is any bounded irrotational wind field.

(i) Show more generally that if the wind field is $k\mathbf{W}$ ($\mathbf{W}$ bounded and irrotational and k is a constant), then the time of traverse is a monotonic increasing function of k ($k \geq 0$).

(ii) Let the aircraft be subject to the bounded irrotational wind field $\mathbf{W}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and let T_i denote the time of flight over the same closed path. If $|\mathbf{W}_1| \leq |\mathbf{W}_2|$ at every point of the traverse, does it follow that $T_1 \leq T_2$?

SOLUTIONS

Some Inequalities Involving Statistical Expressions

Problem 81-10, by L. V. FOSTER (University of Pittsburgh at Johnstown).

For a set of nonnegative numbers $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$, let $u = (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)/n$

be the arithmetic mean, let $\rho = (u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n)^{1/n}$ be the geometric mean and let $s_m = [\sum_{j=1}^n (u_j - u)^2 / (n \cdot (n - 1))]^{1/2}$ be the sample standard deviation of the mean. It is well known that $u \geq \rho$. Prove the stronger inequalities

$$(1) \quad u^n \geq [u + (n - 1)s_m](u - s_m)^{n-1} \geq \rho^n \geq [u - (n - 1)s_m](u + s_m)^{n-1}.$$

These inequalities arose in studying an iterative method for finding zeros of polynomials.

Solution by J. M. BORWEIN (Carnegie-Mellon University), G. P. H. STYAN (McGill University), and H. WOLKOWICZ (University of Alberta).

We may, without loss of generality, assume that the u_i 's are ordered: $u_1 \geq \cdots \geq u_n \geq 0$. Then $u_n \leq u - s_m$ from Samuelson's inequality [1, eq. (1.2)], and so $u - s_m \geq 0$. The first inequality in (1), therefore, follows at once from the arithmetic mean/geometric mean inequality:

$$(2) \quad u^n = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} [u + (n - 1)s_m + (n - 1)(u - s_m)] \right\}^n \geq [u + (n - 1)s_m](u - s_m)^{n-1},$$

with equality if and only if $s_m = 0$ or $u_1 = \cdots = u_n$.

To prove the other inequalities in (1) we first note that

$$(3) \quad u + (n - 1)s_m \geq u_1 \geq u + s_m,$$

cf. [1, eqs. (1.1) and (1.3)]. Equality on the left of (3) occurs if and only if

$$(4) \quad u_1 = u + (n - 1)s_m \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = \cdots = u_n = u - s_m,$$

and on the right if and only if

$$(5) \quad u_1 = \cdots = u_{n-1} = u + s_m \quad \text{and} \quad u_n = u - (n - 1)s_m.$$

Let

$$(6) \quad q^2 = \sum u_i^2 = n(n - 1)s_m^2 + nu^2 > 0$$

and u be fixed, and let

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{U}_n = \{(u_i); u_1 \geq \cdots \geq u_n \geq 0; \sum u_i = nu, \sum u_i^2 = q^2\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_n$ is compact and $q^2 > 0$, the maximum of ρ^n is attained in $\mathcal{U}_n$, at $\hat{u}_1 \geq \cdots \geq \hat{u}_n > 0$, say.

Our proof of the second inequality in (1) will be by contradiction. Suppose that $\hat{u}_1$ is not at its maximum $u + (n - 1)s_m$ and so $\hat{u}_2 > \hat{u}_n$. Then, by (3) and (4), there exists a set of n numbers: $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n$, with $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq v_n$ and such that $v_1 > \hat{u}_1$, $v_i = \hat{u}_i$ ($i \neq 1, 2, n$) and $v_1 + v_2 + v_n = \hat{u}_1 + \hat{u}_2 + \hat{u}_n$, and $v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_n^2 = \hat{u}_1^2 + \hat{u}_2^2 + \hat{u}_n^2$; a permutation of $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n$ lies in $\mathcal{U}_n$.

Holding $u_3, \cdots, u_{n-1}$ fixed, the partial derivative with respect to u_1 of

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^n &= u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} u_1 [(nu - u_1 - u_3 - \cdots - u_{n-1})^2 \\ &\quad - (q^2 - u_1^2 - u_3^2 - \cdots - u_{n-1}^2)] u_3 \cdots u_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

is

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial u_1} = (u_1 - u_2)(u_1 - u_n)u_3 \cdots u_{n-1} \geq 0,$$

and so ρ^n is nondecreasing in u_1 . Similarly, it follows that

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial \rho^n}{\partial u_2} = (u_2 - u_1)(u_2 - u_n)u_3 \cdots u_{n-1} \leq 0,$$

and ρ^n is nonincreasing in u_2 . Thus u_2 is nonincreasing in u_1 and so

$$(11) \quad v_1 > \hat{u}_1 \geq \hat{u}_2 \geq v_2.$$

Let $v_1 = \hat{u}_1 + \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{u}_1 \hat{u}_2 \hat{u}_n &= \frac{1}{2} (v_1 - \varepsilon) [(v_2 + v_n + \varepsilon)^2 - \{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_n^2 - (v_1 - \varepsilon)^2\}] \\ &= -\varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon^2 (2v_1 - v_2 - v_n) - \varepsilon (v_1 - v_2)(v_1 - v_n) + v_1 v_2 v_n \\ &< v_1 v_2 v_n \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ since $v_1 > v_2$ by (11). Hence the maximum of ρ^n cannot be attained at $\hat{u}_1 \geq \cdots \geq \hat{u}_n$ unless $\hat{u}_1$ equals the maximum of u_1 in $\mathcal{U}_n$. The middle inequality in (1) then follows from (4), which also characterizes equality.

The right inequality in (1) is trivial when $u \leq (n-1)s_m$. So let

$$(13) \quad u > (n-1)s_m.$$

(For an interpretation of (13) see [2, p. 486].) Then by the inequality

$$(14) \quad u_n \geq u - (n-1)s_m$$

[1, (1.2)] it follows that $u_n > 0$ and hence it follows from (12), reversing the order and roles of the $\hat{u}_i$ and v_i (so that the v_i identify the minimum of ρ^n), that the right inequality in (1) holds, with equality if and only if (5) holds.

Remarks. The nonnegative numbers u_i can be considered to be the eigenvalues of a Hermitian nonnegative definite matrix A (cf. [2, p. 484]), or the roots of a polynomial $p(x) = x^n - a_0 x^{n-1} + a_1 x^{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^n a_n$. In the case of a matrix A , we have $\rho^n = \det A$, while $u = \text{tr } A/n$, and $s_m^2 = (\text{tr } A^2 - nu^2)/[n(n-1)]$, where $\det$ denotes determinant and tr denotes trace. In the case of a polynomial, we have $\rho^n = a_n$, while $u = a_0/n$ and $s_m^2 = [2a_1 - (n+1)a_0^2]/[n(n-1)]$. Foster's inequalities (1) provide information on the eigenvalues or roots. For example, if one of the inequalities among the coefficients of the polynomial fails, then the roots cannot all be nonnegative.

Moreover, the right inequality in (1) is essentially the same as [2, eq. (2.58), p. 485], which strengthens the comparison about two upper bounds for the condition number of a Hermitian positive definite matrix.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. WOLKOWICZ AND G. P. H. STYAN, *Reply: On extensions of Samuelson's inequality*, Amer. Statist., 34 (1980), pp. 250–251.
- [2] ———, *Bounds for eigenvalues using traces*, Linear Algebra Appl., 29 (1980), pp. 471–506.

Also solved by the proposer.

Estimation of the Median Effective Dose in Bioassay

Problem 81-12, by D. E. RAESIDE (University of Oklahoma, Health Sciences Center).

Consider a system subjected to a single stimulus intensity (dose level) x , and assume that the system responds in just one of two ways, “positively” or “negatively”. Let the