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Abstract

Sound-scapes similar to landscapes, are geometric representations of an objects’ relative positions in the real world. In this paper we demonstrate how to obtain and use a sound-scape to assist the Aldebaran NAO with localisation. We apply dimensionality reduction techniques such as statistical learning methods which include neural networks, support vector machines, the recent graph based approximation technique isometric feature mapping to extract the NAO’s field co-ordinate from its recorded acoustic data. Results obtained includes visualisations of sound-scapes (robot’s positions on field) and positional accuracies of up to 80%.

1 Introduction

Robot localisation is an important feature for an intelligent robot to make accurate decisions which primarily uses vision based cues for localisation. In Robocup’s standard platform league, robots are on a field which contains vision cues or field objects such as goals beacons and field lines. However, in this paper we explore dimensionality reduction techniques for localisation purely based on sound-scape data.

Sound-scapes are useful for understanding our surrounding environments in applications such as security, source tracking or understanding human computer interaction. Accurate position or localisation information from sound-scape samples consists of many channels of high dimensional acoustic data. This high dimensional acoustic data is obtained by recording real world sounds from the Aldebaran NAO robot.

As of 2008, the Aldebaran NAO was introduced to the market as an entertainment robot, it is also replacing the Sony AIBO in the standard platform league for Robocup. The NAO has many advantages over the AIBO, such as better hardware e.g. 500 MHz AMD Geode processor, 25 degrees of freedom for movement and standard Linux operating system [Gouaillier and Blazevic, 2006; Gouaillier et al., 2008].

Most importantly the NAO robot has more acoustic hardware. This includes the option for four microphones and stereo speakers (see Figure 1). Since the operating system is based on Linux architecture, the software API currently uses the powerful Advanced Sound Linux Architecture (ALSA) libraries to record and obtain acoustic data from its array of microphones [Gouaillier et al., 2008].

Figure 1: Profile of the NAO’s acoustic equipment: S1 and S2 denote speakers 1 and 2 respectively. Meanwhile M1, M2, M3 and M4 denotes the microphones 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. For the NAO Robocup edition, M2 and M4 are not included.

This paper has been structured in the following manner. Section 2 will discuss the traditional sound localisation techniques and review past literature on these topics. Dimensionality reduction is discussed in section 3. Section 4 describes the methodology which includes the process of obtaining the sound-scape dataset, the experimental environment and techniques used to obtain the results. Results from the experiments will be presented in section 5, while section 6 will generally discuss the method and the results. Finally, section 7 will present the conclusions.

2 Sound Localisation

Cues used for sound source localisation includes time difference of arrival and intensity difference between signals. Localisation though sound traditionally has exploited these two cues directly which are effected strongly effected by noise.
In the following subsections we will discuss cues used for traditional sound source localisation and review some literature.

**Inter-aural time difference**

Angle of arrival estimation by inter-aural time difference or time difference of arrival (TDoA), is a traditional technique based on generalised cross-correlation to estimate the time delay. It first appeared in [Knapp, 1976], however many adaptations and extensions of this algorithm have been used not only for sound source localisation but in various other fields of signal processing, such as wireless sensor localisation, modelling of binaural hearing, robotics. Time difference of arrival $\Delta t$ can be defined as a phase difference $\Delta \phi$:

$$\Delta t = \frac{\Delta \phi}{2\pi f}$$

where $f$ is the frequency.

In [Huang et al., 2006] the authors used time difference of arrival to calculate the angle of arrival from multiple sound beacons positioned on the field. Triangulation was then applied to these cues to obtain the current position of the robot. A similar method was used in [Li et al., 2007].

**Inter-aural level difference**

Intensity difference of arrival, or received signal strength, also known as intensity or inter-aural level difference (ILD), are used in many different applications. These applications include wireless fidelity localisation, sound source localisation and human sound localisation. It is derived from ‘intensity difference theory’ for directional hearing, where this theory implies that the received signals from microphones differ from each other by an intensity difference relative to the extra distance travelled by the sound signal.

The relation which best describe this property is that the energy $E_i(t)$ of the recorded signal $s(t)$ is inversely proportional to the distance squared:

$$E_i(t) = \frac{1}{d_i^2} \int s(t) dt + \int e_i(t) dt$$

where $d_i$ is the distance between the robot’s position and sound source, and $e_i(t)$ is the noise. ILD was used by [Birchfield and Gangishetty, 2005], where they present an algorithm for obtaining sound source location using the intensity difference from microphone pairs.

### 3 Dimensionality Reduction

Dimensionality reduction techniques aim to obtain a low dimensional representation for a given high dimensional dataset. Recently statistical learning techniques have been applied to acoustic datasets for angle of arrival localisation [Ben-Reuven and Singer, 2002; Murray et al., 2005; Chen and Lai, 2005; Lei et al., 2006]. These methods extract localisation information from acoustic data by learning the mapping from high dimensional data to its relevant lower dimensional representation.

Statistical learning techniques such as support vector machines have been applied to acoustic datasets for angle of arrival localisation [Wang and Brown, 2006]. In previous pilot experiments with sound-scape data, isometric feature embedding (ISOMAP) delivered promising results [Wong and Chalup, 2008]. For this reason, the paper will concentrate on ISOMAP.

In the following subsections we will discuss briefly the statistical learning methods of neural networks and support vector machines, and the graph approximation technique, ISOMAP.

#### 3.1 Neural Networks

A neural network consists of input, hidden, and output layers. The dimensionality of a original dataset can be denoted by the number of nodes in the input layer, while the number of nodes in the output layer denotes the dimensionality of the result [Haykin, 1999]. The hidden layers consist of nodes which are weighted during training to learn a mapping from the data points $x_i$ to its’ corresponding low dimensional representation $y_i$. Hidden nodes consists of an activation function; a linear activation function could be applied, where it behaves similarly to principal component analysis (PCA) [Jolliffe, 1986], while for non-linear applications, it is usually a sigmoid function, [van der Maaten et al., 2007].

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

Neural networks have been applied to varied range of applications, in regards to sound localisation it has been presented as a method to track and predict the movement of a sound source with a degree of success [Murray et al., 2005].

#### 3.2 Support Vector Machines

Support Vector Machines (SVM) consists of a linear classifier, extended by the kernel trick to enable classification in high dimensional non-linear space [Schölkopf and Smola, 2002]. Kernelisation is achieved by the use of the ‘kernel trick’. The kernel trick is defined as follows: Assume a real valued function $k : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and there exists a mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow H$ into a dot product ‘feature space’ $H$, such that for all $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have:

$$\Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(x') = k(x, x')$$
where \( k(x, x') \) is a non-linear similarity measure. This can be though of as a substitution of the Euclidean dot product space to a dot product of the feature space. The kernel gives the property of non-linearity to PCA. There are many different kernels available to be used, some include linear (PCA), polynomial, radial basis function, etc.

SVM is based on maximum marginal separation through the principal of structural risk minimisation using hyperplanes. These hyperplanes create a linear decision or boundary that optimally separates two classes. Assume we have a dataset \( X_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, \ldots, N \), with associated labels \( y_i \in \{-1, 1\} \). The goal is to find the separating hyperplane with the maximum distance to the closest point of the training set and minimising the training errors. The optimal hyperplane is given as follows,

\[
y_i = x_i \cdot w_0 + b
\]

where \( y_i \) is the low dimensional class representation of high dimensional data sample \( x_i \), \( w_0 \) is the optimal norm to enable maximum separation between two classes and \( b \) is the hyperplanes bias.

SVMs have also shown some success in the field of sound localisation, with sound data used to create support vectors in order to classify the angle of arrival from the recorded sound [Ben-Reuven and Singer, 2002; Chen and Lai, 2005; Lei et al., 2006].

### 3.3 Isometric Feature Mapping

Isometric feature mapping (ISOMAP), a non-linear dimensionality reduction technique, is an extension of MDS (ordinal MDS [Tenenbaum, 1998] and classical MDS [Tenenbaum et al., 2000]), where it attempts to preserve the geodesic distances between samples. Non-linear dimensionality reduction techniques have shown success in benchmark tasks such as unfolding of the swiss roll and mapping of images on a sub-manifold [Tenenbaum et al., 2000; Saul and Roweis, 2003].

ISOMAP was introduced by Tenenbaum et al. [Tenenbaum et al., 2000] and consists of three steps:

1. Once a set of data is obtained we construct a nearest neighbour graph \( G \) and weight each link in \( G \) by a weighting function.
2. Then we compute the complete distance matrix for all samples in \( G \), using techniques such as Dijkstra’s algorithm.
3. Finally, we use classical MDS to embed the data to a lower dimension.

This structure leaves a few options open, such as alternatives in the construction of the neighbourhood graph in \( G \), e.g. the number of nearest neighbours, \( k \) and the weighting function. For this application and simplicity we choose to use Euclidean distance as the weighting function and use \( k \) values ranging from 1 to 100.

### 4 Methodology

This section will briefly describe the methodology undertaken to achieve positioning through sound-scapes using sound beacons on the NAO robot. Firstly, we will describe a sound-scape sample followed by the test field. Then we will discuss the method used to decode the NAO’s recorded sounds. Finally, we will discuss techniques used to train and visualise the NAO’s Position. An over all flow diagram of the system is shown in Figure 2.

#### 4.1 Sound-scape Sample

Different sounds are emitted by different objects on the field, when recorded these combination of sounds creates the sound-scape sample. To obtain a sound-scape sample, we first created artificial chirps and assign them to different sound beacons, where these sounds are emitted into the environment. The NAO robot then records the combination of sounds to form its sound-scape sample (Fig. 3). These sound-scape samples are unique to the NAO’s location due to acoustic propagation laws, such as time difference of arrival and intensity level differences which are exploited in sound localisation.

![Ideal Sound-scape Sample](image)

Figure 3: Ideal Sound-scape Sample: An example of an ideal sound-scape sample. There are two chirps emitted from B1, the first chirp is to signify that the sound-scape sample is about to begin.

#### 4.2 Experimental Environment

The set up of the test field consists of a \( 270 \times 210cm^2 \) field (Fig. 4). This field is located in an non-acoustically treated room. Our co-ordinate system is in centimetres and is relative to a corner of the field. Four sound beacons were arranged on the field, \( B_1 \) at co-ordinates \((270, 210)\), \( B_2 \) at co-ordinates \((270, 0)\), \( B_3 \) at co-ordinates \((0, 0)\), and \( B_4 \) at co-ordinates \((0, 210)\). The NAO robot was placed at 48 locations on the field, from \((30, 30)\) to \((270, 180)\) in intervals of 30cm in both
Figure 2: Flow diagram of localisation system: A sound-scape sample is collected by a NAO Robocup version, we then extract the signals stream of M1 and M3 from the recorded data. This is then followed by an on-set/off-set detection to calculate a sound-scape sample. Once the sound-scape sample is obtained, it is then pre-processed using FFT to obtain a frequency representation. This representation is then used in the dimensionality reduction techniques to obtain the position of the robot.

$x$ and $y$ axis. From these locations, sound-scape samples were recorded by the NAO robot.

Figure 4: Test Field: A $270 \times 210cm^2$ field. Four sound beacons (B1, B2, B3 and B4) were placed on the edge of the field. Grids of $30 \times 30cm^2$ was used to assist the positioning of the NAO robot.

4.3 Decoding the NAO Recorded Sounds

The NAO robot has four channels as inputs, however a stereo wave file can only store two channels. The ALSA configuration on the NAO solves this problem by interlacing the recorded between two microphones per channel when recording in stereo sound.

A function was created to extract the microphone stream from the record interlacing data. Since we are using a NAO Robocup edition, and hence we are limited to two microphones M1 and M3, the streams from M2 and M4 are discarded.

4.4 Techniques

In this section we will discuss three different dimensionality reduction techniques and how they were applied to solve this problem.

**Neural Networks & Support Vector Machines**

The NAO sound-scape dataset was used to obtain train, validation and test sets. This was achieved by dividing the NAO sound-scape dataset randomly into the three categories. These were used train, validate and test the neural networks and support vector machines for robustness and accuracy.

Respective labels were also created at this time corresponding to the position on the field at the time the sound-scape sample was recorded. These datasets and labels was then normalised.

Accuracy was obtained by taking the Euclidean distance between the predicted points and their respective labels. This was obtained by using the following formula.

$$
\epsilon = \sqrt{(X_i - Lx_i)^2 + (Y_i - Ly_i)^2}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is the Euclidean distance error in cm, $X_i, Y_i$ are the $i$th predicted co-ordinates obtained from the trained neural network, and $Lx_i, Ly_i$ are the labels of the $i$th data sample.

**Isometric Feature Mapping**

All high-dimensional points lie on a manifold, which can be represented by points on a manifold of lower dimensions. In this case, we have high-dimensional sound data recorded from different positions on a soccer field using the NAO (NAO sound-scape dataset), here the low dimensional representation is a co-ordinate system which allows us to visualise the relationship between these samples, see Figure 5.

In this section, we applied ISOMAP to the high dimensional representations to obtain the corresponding low dimensional representation.
5 Results

Neural Networks

Neural networks were trained to obtain a mapping between the recorded sound-scape data and the field co-ordinates. This was achieved using two networks; first network was trained to obtain the $x$ co-ordinates, and the second was trained to obtain the $y$ co-ordinates of the field.

During the training period of these neural networks, many parameters were trialled such as changes in epoch, the maximum validation failed, minimum gradient, number of hidden layers, and different transfer functions. Table 1, below shows the parameters used to obtain the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network Type</th>
<th>Feed Forward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hidden Neurons</td>
<td>[50,1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epochs</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Validation Fails</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min. Gradient</td>
<td>1e-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Function</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Neural Network Parameters used for both $x$ and $y$ networks

The $x$ and $y$ networks were obtained by selecting the respective networks with the lowest error rate. These error rates are shown in Table 2. It was found using these settings the over all accuracy ($\pm 30$ cm away from the actual point) was 79.167%. The obtained sound-scape visualisation using neural networks is shown in Figure 6. The time taken to train this dataset for these networks 13.02 seconds.

| $x$ Co-ordinate Network | 75% |
| $y$ Co-ordinate Network | 89.58% |
| Overall Error Rate | 79.167% |

Table 2: Errors for $x$ and $y$ networks and over all error rates

Support Vector Machines

Similarly to Neural networks, Support vector machines (SVM) were trained to obtain a mapping between the recorded sound-scape data and the field co-ordinates. This was achieved using two SVMs; first SVM was trained to obtain the $x$ co-ordinates, and the second was trained to obtain the $y$ co-ordinates of the field.

During the training period of these SVMs, many parameters were trialled such as changes in type of SVM used, the kernel type used, and various other parameters related to the fine tuning of the kernel. Table 3, below shows the parameters used to obtain the results. The total time taken to train the SVMs was 0.168055 seconds.

| SVM Type | epsilon-SVC |
| Kernel Type | Radial basis function |
| Gamma | 0.5 |

Table 3: SVM Parameters used for both $x$ and $y$ SVMs

The $x$ and $y$ SVMs were obtained by selecting the respective SVM with the lowest error rate. These error rates are shown in Table 4. It was found using these settings the over all accuracy ($\pm 30$ cm away from the actual point) was 80.36%. The obtained sound-scape visualisation using neural networks is shown in Figure 7.

| $x$ Co-ordinate SVM | 88.69% |
| $y$ Co-ordinate SVM | 96.43% |
| Overall Error Rate | 80.36% |

Table 4: Errors for $x$, $y$ SVMs and overall error rate
Figure 7: Support Vector Machine Sound-scape Visualisation: A two dimensional mapping obtained by applying an support vector machines to the frequency domain of NAO sound-scape dataset. These SVMs have the accuracy of 80.36%

Isometric Feature Mapping
ISOMAP was applied to the NAO sound-scape dataset, to obtain a two dimensional co-ordinate system. The experiment was ran with multiple parameter $k$ was set to range from 1 to 100.

Figure 8, shows the best representations selected from the many results. In these representations we can see a progressive change in colour according to its colour bar. The points represented by the blue represents the robot left of the goal, green represents the robot in front of the goal, while right represents the robot in right of the goal. Time taken to obtain the mapping was 11.18 seconds.

We then relax the dimensional constraint by setting the parameter $D = 3$. We can see that this has recovered a definite pattern for visualising this dataset.

6 Discussion
In this section we will discuss the findings of these experiments with the NAO sound-scape dataset in regards to the problem of robot localisation.

Both neural network (NN) and support vector machines (SVM) achieved an accuracy rate of 79.167% and 80.36%. However, between SVM and NN it can be seen that the SVM is simpler to optimise, as the number of parameters to tune is minimal compared to a neural network. Also it was noted that training time for a SVM is considerably less then a neural network.

ISOMAP is a relatively new technique which produced a mapping with the ability of visualising the separations between the sound-scape samples. Though time taken to obtain the sound-scape was longer then SVM, it was able to reconstruct the sound-scape recorded by the NAO without the use of training labels. Also it was noted that ISOMAP obtained the representation faster then NN.

ISOMAP has shown that statistically similar sound-scape samples cluster together, using this information, a sample with an unknown location may be able to obtain its location by interpolating its distance between its nearest neighbours with known locations.

All the above techniques have their advantages and realisation would be possible for self robot localisation on the NAO.

7 Conclusions
This paper has explored the possibility of use dimensionality reduction for self robot localisation using the new NAO platform. We have applied dimensionality reduction techniques such as statistical learning methods such as neural networks, support vector machines, and an graph based approximation method, ISOMAP. These have achieved an acceptable
amount of success, with neural network obtaining 79.167% and support vector machines obtaining 80.36%. ISOMAP was able to reconstruct a representation of the sound-scape for visualisation. Support vector machines was found to be the fastest technique, while neural network was the slowest for this type of dataset.
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