Abstract. Tumor metastasis is the ultimate stage of cancer, and the primary cause of mortality in patients. Tumor cells breaking through the natural barrier consisting of the basement membrane (BM) and extracellular matrix (ECM) is the crucial step in tumor invasion and metastasis. Thus, protecting this barrier is the key to reducing mortality. Heparanase is a mammalian endo-β-glucuronidase which has been found to promote the cleavage of heparan sulfate (HS), and plays a significant role in tumor cell invasion and metastasis. Although chemotherapeutic reagents have a strong antitumor activity, they may promote the invasion and migration of cancer cells, as has been observed during clinical treatment. Chemotherapeutic reagents can induce endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress; in this study, we used adriamycin (ADM) and a classical ER stress inducer, tunicamycin (TM). We report that the activation of ER stress is involved in the enhanced invasion and migration ability of breast cancer cells and we hypothesized that this effect is associated with the activation of heparanase. In support of this, we used the heparanase inhibitor, OGT2115, and low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) to inhibit the expression and activity of heparanase, and we found that the invasion and migration ability of the cells was suppressed. Our findings demonstrate that heparanase inhibitors suppress breast cancer cell invasion and migration induced by ER stress, and provide a strong rationale for the development of heparanase-based therapeutics for the prevention of metastasis induced by chemotherapeutic reagents.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy diagnosed among women worldwide; in the United States (1), more than 1 million new cases of breast cancer were diagnosed in 2010 (2). The majority of breast cancer-related deaths are due to the development of distant metastases, for which there are no effective treatments (3,4). Although many chemotherapeutic reagents are available for the treatment of cancer metastasis, the median survival duration has not improved, and the molecular events that are associated with disease progression to metastasis are not yet fully understood (5). Tumor invasion and metastasis is an integrated process; intriguingly, chemotherapeutic reagents may be one of the many contributors to cancer metastasis, and it is believed that occurs through the activation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and heparanase by these agents (6).

Heparan sulfate (HS) proteoglycan (HSPG) (7) is an important component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and basement membrane (BM). The degradation of HSPG is achieved through the cleavage of a glycosidic bond by heparanase, using a hydrolase mechanism. In addition, heparanase plays a significant role in cancer metastasis and invasion (8). Heparanase can be regulated by glucose, promoter methylation, p53, estrogen, tumor necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ (9-11). Its HS degradation activity can be inhibited by the heparanase inhibitors, OGT2115, and low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) (12,13).

Chemotherapeutic reagents have been shown to induce ER stress. In this study, we used two reagents to induce ER stress, the chemotherapeutic drug, adriamycin (ADM), and the ER stress inducer, tunicamycin (TM). Invasion and metastasis appear during the long-term cancer treatment process, prompting us to speculate that these events may be associated with the increased ER stress. A number of factors, such as hypoxia, nutritional deficiency, oxidative stress, chemo-
radiotherapy, calcium metabolism disorders, and defects in protein expression can cause ER stress (14,15). The ER responds to stress conditions by activating a range of stress response signaling pathways to alter transcriptional and translational programs, which couple the ER protein folding load with the ER protein folding capacity. This process is termed the unfolded protein response (UPR) (16) and the marker protein is glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78). UPR can protect the ER and minimize damage to other organelles, and it may protect cells by promoting metastasis (17).

The aim of this study was to investigate the correlation between ER stress and the increased invasion and migration of cancer cells. Furthermore, we provide evidence that the invasion and migration induced by chemotherapeutic reagents occurs due to the activation of heparanase under ER stress.

Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies. TM was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). OGT2115 was purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). ADM was purchased from Pharmacia & Upjohn SpA and LMWH was purchased from Sanofi-Aventis Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China), for clinical use. The rabbit monoclonal antibody (mAb) against GRP78, heparanase, and β-actin antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Human heparanase enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit was obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Matrigel was purchased from BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA, USA). The 24-well Transwell insert (8 µm) was obtained from Corning Inc. (Corning, NY, USA). 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS) and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA).

Cell lines. The breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435, were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cells were routinely cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 100 U of penicillin-streptomycin with 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator at 37°C. All cell lines were tested every month for mycoplasma contamination, used only at low passage, and were regularly examined under a microscope for phenotypic changes prior to use.

Cell viability assay. The cytotoxic effect of OGT2115 and ADM on breast cancer cells was determined using the MTT assay as previously described. MTT is a yellow tetrazolium dye that responds to metabolic activity. Reductase enzymes in living cells reduce MTT from a pale yellow color to dark blue formazan crystals. Cells were plated at 7,000/well in 96-well plates and cultured in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere at 37°C. At 24, 48 and 72 h, the wells were incubated with MTT (5 mg/ml) in PBS for 4 h at 37°C. After 4 h, the MTT solution was removed and replaced with 150 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The plate was further incubated for 0.5 h at room temperature, and the optical density (OD) of the wells were determined using a plate reader at a test wavelength of 570 nm. Each test was performed in triplicate.

Cell invasion assay. The invasion assay was performed using a 24-well cell culture plate with 8.0-µm pore membrane inserts. Breast cancer cells were starved in serum-free medium overnight, and 5×10⁴ cells were resuspended in 100 μl serum-free medium and placed in the upper chambers. The membrane undersurface was coated with 50 μl Matrigel from BD Biosciences mixed with RPMI-DMEM serum free medium at a 1:8 dilution for 30 min at 37°C. The lower well of each chamber was filled with 600 μl DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and incubated for 48 h. Reagents were added to the upper chambers, and 48 h after treatment, the cells on the upper surface of the membrane were removed by cotton buds, and the cells on the lower chamber were incubated with paraformaldehyde in PBS buffer and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. Five visual fields were randomly selected for each insert and photographed under a light microscope at x400 magnification. The number of cells was then counted and analyzed for statistically significant differences. Each condition was assayed in triplicate, the experiments were performed independently at least three times, and the results are expressed as the number of cells/field. A one-way analysis of variance was used to determine statistical significance.

Cell migration assay. Migration assay was performed using a 24-well cell culture plate with 8.0-µm pore membrane inserts without Matrigel. MDA-MB-435 and MDA-MB-231 cells (5×10⁴) were added to the upper wells, and the chambers were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The lower chamber was filled with 600 μl 10% FBS as the chemoattractant. After 24 h in normoxic conditions the cells that had migrated were stained and photographed under a light microscope at x200 magnification. The number of cells that had migrated was counted from five randomly selected fields. Each condition was assayed in triplicate, the experiments were performed independently at least three times, and the results are expressed as the number of cells/field. A one-way analysis of variance was used to determine statistical significance.

Wound healing assay. Cells were plated on six-well plates at 5×10⁵ cells/well. The following day, the cells were washed with PBS and wounds were created by scraping with a sterilized pipette tip. The cells were then washed twice with PBS, and incubated in RPMI-DMEM. The wound closure was monitored for 0-48 h. The wound areas were observed under an inverted microscope and measured by imaging at the relevant fields for the calculation of the healing percentages. Each test was performed in triplicate.

Western blot analysis. Cells were washed three times with cold PBS and lysed on ice in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer with protease inhibitors. The protein concentrations were determined using the BCA method. A total of 80 μg of protein was separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto PVDF membranes using a semi-dry blotting apparatus. After blocking in 5% non-fat milk, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies. The membranes were then incubated in the secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature on a shaker. The bands were visualized using Western Lightning ECL Pro with horseradish peroxidase (HRP). β-actin was used as a loading control.
ELISA. Utilizing the ELISA method for the detection and quantification of heparanase, we were able to monitor changes in heparanase activity. Cells were plated on 24-well plates at 5x10^4/well and incubated in DMEM containing 10% FBS. After 24 h, we extracted the cell culture medium for the detection of heparanase activity. The microtiter plate provided with the kit was pre-coated with an antibody specific to heparanase. Standards or samples were then added to the appropriate microtiter plate wells containing a biotin-conjugated polyclonal antibody to heparanase. Avidin conjugated to HRP was then added to each microplate well and incubated. Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate solution was then added to each well. Only those wells that contained heparanase, biotin-conjugated antibody and enzyme-conjugated avidin exhibited a change in color. The enzyme-substrate reaction was terminated by the addition of sulphuric acid solution and the color change was measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 450±2 nm. The concentration of heparanase in the samples was then determined by comparing the OD.

**Results**

A low concentration of ADM increases the invasion and migration ability of breast cancer cells. We first examined the effect of ADM on the viability of the breast cancer cell lines, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435. ADM significantly inhibited the growth of breast cancer cells as reflected by the reduction of the MTT signal measured colorimetrically by the absorbance of the formazan product. Breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-435) were treated with ADM at various concentrations and measured after three days in culture. Concentrations used ranged from 0.1 to 1.6 µM in MDA-MB-435 cells, and ranged from 1 to 16 µM in MDA-MB-231 cells. The IC_{50} was 0.6 µM in the MDA-MB-435, and 1 µM in the MDA-MB-231 cells. The results are expressed as a percentage of the control levels. Data are presented as the means ± SEM, n=3, *p<0.05 compared to the controls. (B) The invasion and migration of breast cancer cells were increased following exposure to a low concentrations of ADM. A low concentration of ADM (0.2 µM) increased cell invasion and migration in MDA-MB-435 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Using ADM untreated cells as the controls, five visual fields were randomly selected for each insert and photographed under a light microscope at x400 and x200 magnification. The significance in the differences in cell number was analyzed, *p<0.05 compared to the controls.
Consistent with cancer metastasis data in clinical practice, a high concentration of ADM suppressed cell proliferation, but a low concentration induced breast cancer cell metastasis. A low degree of ER stress can protect cells but induces apoptosis when the ER response is strong enough. Metastasis is likely associated with the induction of ER stress by low concentrations of chemotherapeutic reagents, which can protect cancer cells. In order to verify our assumption, we conducted the following experiments.

**TM and ADM induce ER stress in breast cancer cells.** ADM is a chemotherapeutic reagent which can induce ER stress. Using ADM, we examined whether the increase in the invasion and migration of breast cancer cells is due to the induction of ER stress. To monitor ER stress induction, we detected the expression of GRP78 and C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) in the breast cancer cells following treatment with ADM by western blot analysis. GRP78 is an indicator of ER stress, and ER stress transducers are kept in an inactive state through binding to the ER chaperone, GRP78 (18); treatment with ADM increases the levels of GRP78 and CHOP. To further verify that the increase in invasion and migration is indeed caused by ER stress, we used the ER stress inducer, TM. The results showed that the cells exposed to TM expressed higher levels of GRP78 (Fig. 2).

**ER stress activates heparanase in breast cancer cells.** We then exposed the breast cancer cells to TM, and consistent with our findings using ADM, a low concentration of TM also increased cell invasion and migration. The number of cells that underwent invasion and migration, and the speed of this process are shown in Figs. 3A and 4D. Since TM is an ER stress inducer, combined with the ADM results, we believe that the invasion and metastasis observed is associated with ER stress. Heparanase plays a major role in tumor metastasis, and to determine whether ER stress induces heparanase activation in breast cancer cells, we performed western blot analysis and ELISA to detect the expression and activity of heparanase (Fig. 3B). The western blot analysis results revealed a change in the bands from 50 to 65 kDa, indicating the activation of heparanase. In addition, the increased signal shown by ELISA also reflected changes in heparanase activity. The results demonstrate that the ER stress inducer, TM, activates heparanase in breast cancer cells. Heparanase activity increased at 16 and 24 h, causing a series of after-effects and cell invasion and migration.

**Heparanase inhibitor decreases the invasion and migration induced by ER stress.** As heparanase promotes tumor cell invasion and migration, we then examined whether the ER stress-induced cell invasion and migration occurs through the induction of heparanase. In order to prove that heparanase plays a decisive role in enhancing cell invasion under ER stress, we used OGT2115 to inhibit heparanase activity. OGT2115 is a heparanase inhibitor that exhibits anti-angiogenic properties in vitro by directly suppressing heparanase activity. First, we determined whether OGT2115 can inhibit heparanase. Our ELISA results confirmed that OGT2115 suppressed heparanase activity (Fig. 4A). Since TM enhances cell invasion and migration when administered at a low concentration, we then examined whether OGT2115 can alter the effects of TM on cell invasion and migration. OGT2115 suppressed the invasion and migration of breast cancer cells, although not significantly (Fig. 4B). However, compared with the control group, the number and rate of migrated cells were significantly reduced following the exposure of the cells to TM + OGT2115. OGT2115 signifi-
cantly inhibited the invasion and migration induced by ADM (Fig. 4C and D). Furthermore, the MTT assay results showed that OGT2115 did not decrease the anti-proliferative effect of ADM, thus preserving the strong antitumor activity of the chemotherapeutic drug (Fig. 4E).

LMWH decreases the invasion and migration induced by ER stress. In order to validate the above results, we also selected another heparanase inhibitor in the following experiments. LMWH as an exogenous supplement of heparins is susceptible to cleavage by heparanase in vitro, and this cleavage significantly neutralizes the anti-coagulant properties of these polysaccharides (19). LMWH exhibited a moderate antitumor activity and decreased the heparanase activity induced by ADM or TM (Fig. 5A and B). However, it significantly reduced cell invasion and migration when used in combination with TM (Fig. 5C and 4D).
We used LMWH in combination with ADM, and similar to the results obtained from the combination of LMWH and TM, LMWH significantly reduced the cell migration and invasion induced by ADM (Fig. 5D). The results of the migration of
MDA-MB-435 cells are not shown. Thus, heparanase inhibitors play a significant role in decreasing cell invasion and migration induced by ER stress.

Discussion

In breast cancer, metastasis is an end result of a long selection process of clinical treatments spanning decades, in which the most adaptable cancer cells persist. More chemotherapeutic reagents, as well as radiation therapy are being included in cancer therapeutic regimens; however, in actual clinical practice, these reagents may increase the incidence of cancer cell metastasis (20). The reasons for the progression to metastasis for some patients during clinical treatment are unclear (10,21). As reported in the literature, we know that heparanase is an important contributor to tumor invasion and metastasis (22). It has been reported that ionizing radiation promotes pancreatic cancer aggressiveness through the upregulation of heparanase expression (23). Our results suggest a correlation between ER stress-induced metastasis and heparanase.

HSPGs interact through specific attachment sites with the main protein components of BM and ECM, and only heparanase can degrade HSPG. This degradation is associated with the invasion, angiogenic and metastatic potential of diverse malignant tumors and cell lines. We used Matrigel cell invasion assay; Matrigel enables an environment conducive to cell invasion in vitro and the main ingredients of Matrigel are HSPG, laminin, collagen IV, nidogen and others. At room temperature, Matrigel automatically gathers to become a matrix material which is similar to the mammalian cell BM and ECM; it can produce the biological activity and analog cell BM structure in vivo. The expression of heparanase in tumor cells correlates with the increased metastatic potential (24). In addition, HS moieties in the ECM are responsible for the binding of heparin-
binding growth factors, which are thereby protected, stabilized and sequestered from their site of action, but upon the enzymatic degradation of HS can be readily mobilized to induce growth factor-dependent processes. Thus, the cleavage of HS by heparanase enables cell invasion, the release of HS-bound angiogenic and growth factors from the ECM depots, and the generation of bioactive HS fragments which promote growth factor-receptor binding, dimerization and signaling (25,26). Direct evidence for heparanase promoting the progression of many cancers is provided by the demonstration that the overexpression of heparanase accelerates primary tumor growth and increases the metastatic ability of melanoma and prostate carcinoma cells (27). By contrast, heparanase silencing markedly decreases the metastatic potential of cancer cells.

ER is a central organelle responsible for lipid synthesis, calcium homeostasis, protein folding and maturation. Previous studies have focused on the roles of ER stress in the inhibition of apoptosis and chemotherapy resistance in human cancers, and certain studies have reported that ER stress is involved in the regulation of tumor invasion and metastasis. However, it remains unclear whether ER stress is involved in the regulation of tumor invasion and metastasis (28). Only properly folded proteins are allowed to reach their final destination, whereas unfolded and misfolded proteins are exported or dislocated from the ER and degraded by cytoplasmic proteasomes (29). When the homeostasis of the ER is disturbed, unfolded or misfolded proteins accumulate in the ER lumen, resulting in ER stress. In response to ER stress, cells activate a set of tightly controlled regulatory programs, known as UPR, to restore the normal function of the ER. However, if ER stress is sustained and the adaptive UPR fails to eliminate unfolded or misfolded proteins, apoptosis will occur to remove the stressed cells. There are three branches of UPR that are initiated by distinct ER stress transducers located on the ER membrane: protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring enzyme-1 (IRE-1) (16) and activating transcription factor-6 (ATF-6) (30). All three ER stress transducers are kept in an inactive state through binding to the ER chaperone GRP78 (31), which is also known as immunoglobulin-binding protein. The exact mechanism underlying the switch of the UPR from a prosurvival mechanism to a proapoptotic response is unclear. Therefore, the UPR can be considered as a safeguard for protein synthesis, post-translational modifications, folding and secretion, calcium storage and signaling and lipid biosynthesis. The UPR initially tries to restore the normal function of the cell by halting protein translation and activating the signaling pathways that lead to the increase in the production of molecular chaperones involved in protein folding. If these objectives are not achieved within a certain period of time or the disruption is prolonged, the UPR tries to turn on the apoptotic pathway (32,33). We demonstrated that chemotherapeutic reagents can promote ER stress and the activation of the UPR, which confers a survival advantage to the tumor cells, promoting their migration and invasion ability, and these effects are associated with the activation of heparanase.

We provide evidence that ER stress inducers can activate heparanase, and this activation results in the increased invasion and migration of breast cancer cells. The purpose of the UPR is to protect the ER and limit damage to other organelles, helping cells to leave the original stressed environment and thus enabling cells to survive. Our findings indicate that the heparanase inhibitor, OGT2115, and LMWH can suppress metastasis induced by ER stress in breast cancer cells. The degradation of LMWH by heparanase in vivo may be relevant in situations in which heparanase is overexpressed, and treatment with LMWH composed of non-anticoagulant species of heparin and various sulfated polysaccharides which inhibit experimental metastasis, also inhibited heparanase activity in the tumor cells (34). However, the precise molecular mechanisms responsible for heparanase regulation have not yet been fully elucidated. HSPG contains sulfate groups and a sugar chain and is negatively charged. These biological or chemical characteristics can inhibit metastasis. According to its basic chemical composition, heparanase inhibitors can be divided into sugars, nucleotides and amino acids, such as oligomannururate sulfate (35), laminar sulfate, phosphomannopentaose sulfate (36), LMWH and others. Heparanase inhibitors do not decrease the anti-proliferative effect of chemotherapeutic reagents, and they also inhibit the invasion and migration of cancer cells under ER stress. Our findings may prove to be clinically significant, since we show that ER stress is a pivotal contributor in chemotherapy-mediated tumor metastasis. In addition, since GRP78 and heparanase play roles in the chemotheraphy-induced increase in invasion or migration, the mechanism behind the ER stress-induced invasion and migration may be through the activation of heparanase. However, the inhibition of heparanase activity did not completely suppress cell invasion, suggesting that other factors may also contribute to the ER stress-induced increase in cell invasion and metastasis following chemotherapy.

In conclusion, to our knowledge, we demonstrate for the first time in this study that ER stress increases the invasion and migration of breast cancer cells through the activation of heparanase. This may occur through the activation of the UPR which plays an important role in the protection of cells against the cytotoxic effects of low-dose chemotherapy. It is essential to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that underlie the increase in cancer metastasis induced by chemotherapy. Our results suggest that heparanase is involved in chemotherapy-induced tumor metastasis, and that inhibiting heparanase activity may prove to be a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. In our study, cell invasion and migration were suppressed by the inhibition of heparanase and this finding may have a significant impact on the development of heparanase-based therapy for metastasis under ER stress (37,38).
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