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Synopsis

Against a background of escalating complexity within the Australian health care system related to the health needs of an ageing population, combined with a shortage of nurses, Australian schools of nursing have been asked to provide education and training for increasing numbers of students. However busy and at times overstretched clinical venues with high levels of patient acuity and a lack of experienced nursing staff have resulted in clinical learning environments that are unpredictable in quality and availability. Simulation has been proffered as a strategy that can address some of these issues.

Simulation in its simplest forms has been used for many years in nursing education. Technological advances over the last decade have provided high fidelity human patient simulation manikins (HPSM) that are able to mimic patients’ physiological changes as well as provide life-like characteristics such as breathing, blinking and talking. These manikins, along with advances in information communication technology (ICT), provide increased opportunities for nursing students to engage in realistic clinical scenarios in a safe learning environment. In particular, the ability to simulate the physiological changes occurring in a deteriorating patient, combined with student access to current information through ICT, provides a learning experience that has the potential to improve higher order thinking, clinical reasoning and clinical communication, as well as basic psychomotor skills.

At the inception of this study in 2009 Australian schools of nursing were beginning to embrace HPSM and ICT as new and exciting teaching strategies, but little was known about the way in which these new strategies were being utilised. There was also a lack of clear direction as to what constituted quality teaching in the use of simulation manikins and ICT. The overall aims of this study were therefore to explore the use of simulation and ICT in Australian schools of nursing undergraduate programs, in particular in relation to clinical laboratory and simulation unit activities, and to determine what constituted quality use of simulation and ICT for teaching and assessment of undergraduate nursing students.
A pragmatic, mixed method approach was adopted to achieve the stated aims, with the study conducted in two phases. A cross sectional survey of Australian schools of nursing provided a snapshot of current use of simulation and ICT. This was followed by a Delphi study, in which an international panel of experts were utilised to achieve consensus regarding what constituted quality in the use of HPSM and ICT in simulation learning activities within an undergraduate nursing curriculum. The outcome of this study was a set of Quality Indicator Statements which can be used to guide the design and implementation of simulation activities within nursing curricula, as well as evaluate the quality of existing simulation programs. These statements have demonstrated applicability to a range of simulation modalities and have potential for use in nursing education, research and policy development.

This thesis is present as a hybrid thesis by publication. A comprehensive literature review and an overview of the study method are provided. These are followed by two chapters that present published papers, including findings from the cross sectional survey and the Delphi study. The final chapter draws together key aspects of both phases of the study and discusses the overall significance and implications.