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ABSTRACT. We use Baire categorical arguments to construct pathological locally Lipschitz functions. The origins of this approach can be traced back to Banach and Mazurkiewicz (1931) who independently used similar categorical arguments to show that “almost every continuous real-valued function defined on [0,1] is nowhere differentiable”. As with the results of Banach and Mazurkiewicz, it appears that it is easier to show that almost every function possesses a certain property than to construct a single concrete example. Among the most striking results contained in this paper are: Almost every 1-Lipschitz function defined on a Banach space has a Clarke subdifferential mapping that is identically equal to the dual ball; if \{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\} is a family of maximal cyclically monotone operators defined on a Banach space \(X\) then there exists a real-valued locally Lipschitz function \(g\) such that \(\partial g(x) = \text{co}\{T_1(x), T_2(x), \ldots, T_n(x)\}\) for each \(x \in X\); in a separable Banach space each non-empty weak* compact convex subset in the dual space is identically equal to the approximate subdifferential mapping of some Lipschitz function and for locally Lipschitz functions defined on separable spaces the notions of strong and weak integrability coincide.

1. Introduction

An important aspect of developing a mathematical theory is in producing both examples and counterexamples that illuminate the content and boundaries of the subject. In this paper we give a general method for constructing examples and counterexamples for the differentiability theory of Lipschitz functions.

The first and perhaps best known counterexample in differentiability theory is the construction of a continuous nowhere differentiable function. The explicit constructions given in the 19th century were later (in 1931) augmented by the use of Baire categorical arguments. Since this time the use of Baire category for the construction of functions (either well behaved or pathological) has been applied to several areas of analysis, (see, [12], [13] and [23] to name but a few). In this paper we continue this tradition by using Baire category arguments to construct Lipschitz functions that have ‘large’ generalized derivatives. The first and most crucial step towards achieving this result is to produce a candidate complete metric space on
which we may apply the Baire category theorem. Here we consider the class of $T$-Lipschitz functions, denoted $\mathcal{X}_T$. Loosely speaking (though precise in a smooth space), for a weak* usco $T : X \to 2^{X^*}$ from a Banach space $X$ into its dual $X^*$, we say that a locally Lipschitz function $f$ on $X$ is $T$-Lipschitz if $\nabla f(x) \in T(x)$ whenever $\nabla f(x)$ exists. In Lemma 3 we show that for a fixed weak* usco $T$ the set of all $T$-Lipschitz functions form a complete metric space (under an appropriately defined metric). This simple result provides the basis which enables us to derive a plentiful supply of both examples and counterexamples.

Notation. For a normed linear space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$, we denote by $X^*$ its dual space;

$$B_X := \{ x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1 \}; \quad S_X := \{ x \in X : \|x\| = 1 \};$$

$$B_{X^*} := \{ x^* \in X^* : \|x^*\| \leq 1 \}; \quad B_\delta(x) := \{ y \in X : \|x - y\| < \delta \};$$

$$B_\delta[x] := \{ y \in X : \|x - y\| \leq \delta \}; \quad I_{B_\delta}[x](y) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \in B_\delta[x], \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a non-empty subset $E$ of $X^*$ we denote by $\overline{E}^{w^*}$ the weak* closure of $E$; $\overline{\sigma^{w^*}} E$ the weak* closed convex hull of $E$. In a topological space $(A, \tau)$ we shall denote by $B_A$ the Borel sets on $A$, that is, the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the open subsets of $A$ and as usual $\lambda$ will denote the Lebesgue measure.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In the remainder of Section 1 we will review some basic facts concerning the Clarke and approximate subdifferentials, then in Section 2 we will derive the basic properties of the $T$-Lipschitz functions. In Section 3 we will show that in any separable Banach space $G_f := \{ g \in \mathcal{X}_T : \partial_0 f(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \}$ is residual in $(\mathcal{X}_T, \rho)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{X}_T$ and then derive some of the consequences of this result. Section 4 deals with extending the results from Section 3 to non-separable Banach spaces, while in Section 5 we briefly look at the question of how to determine when $\mathcal{X}_T \neq \emptyset$. This section also examines the question of the existence of Lipschitz functions with ‘minimal’ subdifferential mappings. This provides a contrast to the results contained in Sections 3 and 4.

We begin by recalling some preliminary definitions and properties of locally Lipschitz functions defined on Banach spaces.

1.1. Uscos and cuscos. Let $T$ be a set-valued mapping from a topological space $A$ into the dual of a normed linear space $X$. We say that $T$ is weak* upper semi-continuous on $A$ if for each weak* open subset $W$ of $X^*$, $\{ x \in A : T(x) \subseteq W \}$ is open in $A$. When the images of $T$ are non-empty and compact we call $T$ a weak* usco and if, in addition, the images of $T$ are also convex, then we call $T$ a weak* cusco. We call $T$ a minimal weak* usco (cusco) if its graph does not properly contain the graph of any other weak* usco (cusco) on $A$. By the graph of $T$ we mean the set $\text{Gr}(T) := \{ (x, x^*) : x^* \in T(x) \}$, which is closed whenever $T$ is an usco. The following result from [1] enables us to generate uscos and cuscos from densely defined set-valued mappings.

**Lemma 1 (1).** Let $T$ be a densely defined set-valued mapping that maps from a topological space $A$ into the dual of a Banach space $X$. If $T$ is locally bounded on $A$ then there exists a unique smallest weak* usco (weak* cusco) containing $T$, denoted $\text{USC}(T)$ (CSC($T$)) and given by

$$\text{USC}(T)(x) := \bigcap \{ T(V)^{w^*} : V \text{ is an open neighbourhood of } x \},$$
Lipschitz function defined on a non-empty open set $A$ of $X$.

Subderivatives and subdifferentials. Let $f : A \subseteq X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function defined on a non-empty open set $A$ of a Banach space $X$. The Clarke derivative of $f$ at $x \in A$, [11] is given by

$$f^0(x, v) := \limsup_{t \downarrow 0, y \to x} \frac{f(y + tv) - f(y)}{t}.$$

The upper and lower Dini-derivatives of $f$ at $x$ are given by

$$f^+(x, v) := \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad f^-(x, v) := \liminf_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}.$$

The corresponding generalized subdifferentials are defined by

$$\partial a f(x) := \{x^* \in X^* : x^*(v) \leq f^a(x, v) \text{ for all } v \in X\},$$

where $a$ is one of $0, +, -$. When $X$ is a smooth Banach space (i.e., has an equivalent Gateaux differentiable renorm), the approximate subdifferential [3] is given by $\partial a f(x) := USC(\partial a f)(x)$. If in addition $(X^*, \text{weak}^*)$ is angelic (e.g. when $X$ is weakly Lindelöf determined [14], which includes weakly compactly generated spaces) then,

$$\partial a f(x) = \{x^* : x^* = \text{w}^* - \lim x_n^* \text{ and } x_n^* \in \partial a f(x_n)\}.$$ 

In all cases $\partial a f$ is a weak* cusco on $A$ and $\partial a f$ is a weak* usco on $A$.

2. Basic properties of the space of $T$-Lipschitz functions

Let $T$ be weak* cusco that maps from a non-empty open subset $A$ of a Banach space $X$ into its dual space $X^*$. For such a cusco mapping one may consider the following (possibly empty) set of locally Lipschitz functions defined on $A$, called the $T$-Lipschitz functions on $A$:

$$\mathcal{X}_T := \{f \in \mathbb{R}^A : f \text{ is locally Lipschitz and } \partial a f(x) \subseteq T(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\}.$$ 

When $X$ is smooth, we have by [21] the following simplified definition:

$$\mathcal{X}_T = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^A : f \text{ is locally Lipschitz and } \nabla f(x) \in T(x) \text{ whenever } \nabla f(x) \text{ exists}\}.$$ 

On $\mathcal{X}_T$, we may define a metric $\rho$ by $\rho(f, g) := \min \{1, d(f, g)\}$, where $d(f, g) := \sup_{x \in A} |f(x) - g(x)|$. If $T$ is identically equal to some non-empty weak* compact, convex subset $C$ of $X^*$ then we may simply write $\mathcal{X}$ in place of $\mathcal{X}_T$. Some basic properties of $T$ and $\mathcal{X}_T$ are

**Lemma 3.** Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $A$ be a metric space, then each weak* usco from $A$ into $X^*$ is locally bounded on $A$. 


Proof. Let us assume, in order to obtain a contradiction, that \( T \) is not locally bounded on \( A \). Then there exists a point \( x_0 \in A \) such that for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the set \( T(B_{1/n}(x_0)) \setminus nB_X \neq \emptyset \). Using this we may construct two sequences \( (x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \) in \( A \) and \( (x^*_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \) in \( X^* \) so that \( (x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \) converges to \( x_0 \) and \( x^*_n \in T(x_n) \setminus nB_X \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Now if we set \( K := \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots\} \), then \( K \) is compact and so \( T(K) \) is weak* compact. Therefore, by the uniform boundedness theorem \( T(K) \) is bounded, which is impossible since \( \{x^*_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq T(K) \) is unbounded. Hence, \( T \) must be locally bounded on \( A \). \( \square \)

With a little extra effort one can show that each weak* usco mapping from a q-space, \([19]\), into \( X^* \) is locally bounded. However, we have no need for this extra generality here.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( A \) be a non-empty open subset of a normed linear space \( X \) and let \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) be a weak* usco on \( A \), then \( X_T \) is a convex sub-lattice of the locally Lipschitz functions defined on \( A \).

**Proof.** By Propositions 2.3.12 and 2.3.3 in \([14]\), we have

\[ \partial_0 (f \lor g)(x) \subseteq \text{co} \{ \partial_0 f(x), \partial_0 g(x) \} \subseteq T(x) \]

for all \( x \in A \), since \( T(x) \) is convex. Similarly, one can show that \( \partial_0 (f \land g)(x) \subseteq T(x) \) for all \( x \in A \). Also for any \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \) we have, \( \partial_0 (\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g)(x) \subseteq \lambda \partial_0 f(x) + (1 - \lambda) \partial_0 g(x) \subseteq \lambda T(x) + (1 - \lambda)T(x) = T(x) \) for all \( x \in A \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.** Let \( A \) be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space \( X \) and let \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) be a weak* usco on \( A \), then \( (X_T, \rho) \) is a complete metric space.

**Proof.** Let \( (f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}) \) be a Cauchy sequence in \((X_T, \rho)\) and let \( f_\infty \) be the pointwise limit of the sequence \((f_n : n \in \mathbb{N})\). Note: \( f_\infty \) is well-defined since for each \( x \in A \), \((f_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N})\) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathbb{R} \). Now since \( T \) is locally bounded \( f_\infty \) is locally Lipschitz on \( A \). Indeed, if \( U \) is a convex open neighbourhood of some point \( x_0 \in A \) and \( T(U) \subseteq nB_X \), then for each \( f \in X_T \), \( |f(x) - f(y)| \leq n||x - y|| \) for all \( x, y \in U \). So in particular we have

\[ |f_\infty(x) - f_\infty(y)| = \lim_{k \to \infty} |f_k(x) - f_k(y)| \leq n||x - y||, \]

for all \( x, y \in U \). We now need to show that \( \partial_0 f_\infty(x) \subseteq T(x) \) for all \( x \in A \). To do this it will suffice to show that for each \( x_0 \in A \), \( y \in S_X \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( f_\infty^0(x_0, y) = \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in \partial f_\infty(x_0)\} \leq \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x_0)\} + \epsilon \). So let us fix \( x_0 \in A \), \( y \in S_X \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). Now since the mapping \( x \to \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x)\} \) is upper semi-continuous on \( A \) there exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(z)\} < \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x_0)\} + \epsilon \) for all \( z \in B_\delta(x_0) \). From this and the Lebourg mean-value theorem it follows that

\[ \frac{f(z + \lambda y) - f(z)}{\lambda} \leq \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x_0)\} + \epsilon, \]

for all \( f \in X_T \), \( 0 < \lambda < \delta \) and \( z \in B_\delta(x_0) \). Therefore,

\[ f_\infty(z + \lambda y) - f_\infty(z) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(z + \lambda y) - f_n(z) \leq \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x_0)\} + \epsilon, \]

for all \( 0 < \lambda < \delta \) and \( z \in B_\delta(x_0) \). Hence, \( f_\infty^0(x_0, y) \leq \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x_0)\} + \epsilon \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

The following result follows from Lemmas \([14]\) and \([8]\).
Proposition 1. Let $F$ be a family of real-valued locally Lipschitz functions defined on a non-empty open subset $A$ of a Banach space $X$. Then the functions in $F$ are $T$-Lipschitz for some weak* cusco $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ if and only if the family of functions $F$ is locally equi-Lipschitz on $A$.

3. Results on separable Banach spaces

Lemma 6. Let $X$ be a separable Banach space and let $f$ be a locally Lipschitz function defined on a non-empty open subset $A$ of $X$, then there exists a countable set $C \subseteq \text{Gr}(\partial_f)$ such that $\text{Gr}(\partial_f) = \overline{C}$, where the closure is taken with respect to the product topology on $X \times X^*$ and $X^*$ is endowed with weak* topology.

Proof. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define $A_m := \{x \in A : \partial_f(x) \subseteq mB_X\}$. Since $B_X$ is weak* compact and metrizable, $A_m \times mB_{X^*}$ is hereditarily separable and thus $\text{Gr}(\partial_f) \cap (A_m \times mB_{X^*})$ is separable. Hence there exists a countable set, $C_m \subseteq \text{Gr}(\partial_f) \cap (A_m \times mB_{X^*})$ with $\text{Gr}(\partial_f) \cap (A_m \times mB_{X^*}) \subseteq \overline{C_m}$. Let $C := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} C_m$. Then $C$ is countable and

$$\text{Gr}(\partial_f) = \text{Gr}(\partial_f) \cap \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (A_m \times mB_{X^*}) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Gr}(\partial_f) \cap (A_m \times mB_{X^*}) \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{C_m} \subseteq \overline{C}.$$

Since $\text{Gr}(\partial_f) = \overline{\text{Gr}(\partial_f)}$ we have $\text{Gr}(\partial_f) \subseteq \overline{C} \subseteq \overline{\text{Gr}(\partial_f)} = \text{Gr}(\partial_f)$. □

Lemma 7 (21). If $X$ is a smooth Banach space and $Y$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $X$, then the distance function $x \mapsto d_Y(x) := \min_{y \in Y} \|x - y\|$ is smooth on $X \setminus Y$ and $d_Y^2$ is smooth on $X$.

Lemma 8. Let $Y$ be a finite dimensional subspace of a smooth Banach space $X$ and let $h : X \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a proper lower semi-continuous function. If $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and $z_0 \in X$ are given and $h$ satisfies:

(i) $h$ is bounded below on $B_\delta(z_0)$;
(ii) $h(z) - h(z_0) > -\delta \cdot \varepsilon$ for all $z \in z_0 + \delta B_Y$.

Then there exists a point $z \in B_\delta(z_0)$ and $z^* \in \partial_x h(z)$ with $\|z^*\|_Y < 2\varepsilon$.

Proof. First let us choose $K$ sufficiently large so that

$$\inf \{h(z) + Kd_{z_0+Y}^2(z) : z \in B_\delta(z_0)\} > h(z_0) - \varepsilon \cdot \delta.$$

By Lemma 6, $d_{z_0+Y}^2$ is smooth on $X$ and constant along lines parallel to $Y$. Therefore we have that $\nabla (d_{z_0+Y}^2)(z) |_Y = 0$ for every $z \in X$. Now by the Borwein-Preiss smooth variational principle [9] we obtain $z \in B_\delta(z_0)$ and $x^* \in X^*$ so that $\|x^*\| < 2\varepsilon$ and

$$0 \in \partial_{-} (h + Kd_{z_0+Y}^2 + I_{B_\delta(z_0)})(z) + x^* = \partial_{-} h(z) + K\nabla (d_{z_0+Y}^2)(z) + x^*.$$

Therefore if we set $z^* := -(x^* + K\nabla (d_{z_0+Y}^2)(z))$, then $z^* \in \partial_{-} h(z)$ and $\|z^*\|_Y = \|x^*\| < 2\varepsilon$.

□

Theorem 1 (The approximate subdifferential). Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a separable Banach space $X$ (finite or infinite dimensional) and let $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ be a weak* cusco on $A$, then for each $f \in X_T$, $\{g \in X_T : \partial_2 T(x) \subseteq \partial_2 g(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ is residual in $(X_T, \rho)$. 
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Proof. Since $X$ is separable, we may choose an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $X$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n = X$. For each $(x, x^*) \in \text{Gr}(\partial_{-f})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the set,

$$G_{(x,x^*,n)} := \{g \in X_T : \text{there exists a } z \in B_{1/n}(x) \text{ and } z^* \in \partial_{-g}(z) \text{ so that } \|(x^* - z^*)|X_n\| \leq 4/n\}.$$ 

The proof is divided into three parts:

(a) For each $(x, x^*) \in \text{Gr}(\partial_{-f})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{int}G_{(x,x^*,n)}$ is dense in $(X_T, \rho)$. Suppose $(g_n, \varepsilon) \in X_T \times (0,1)$ is given. We need to verify that $B_{\varepsilon}(g_0) \cap \text{int}G_{(x,x^*,n)} \neq \emptyset$. Define $h_1 \in X_T$ by $h_1(z) := f(z) + (g_0(x) - \varepsilon/3 - f(x))$ and the function $h_2 \in X_T$ by $h_2(z) := \min\{g_0(z), h_1(z)\}$. Clearly, $h_2(z) \leq g_0(z)$ for all $z \in A$. Next we define $h_3 \in X_T$ by $h_3(z) := \max\{h_2(z), g_0(z) - 2\varepsilon/3\}$ and obtain that $g_0(z) - 2\varepsilon/3 \leq h_3(z) \leq g_0(z)$ for all $z \in A$, and so $\rho(h_3, g_0) = \min\{1, d(h_3, g_0)\} < \varepsilon$.

We claim that $h_3 \in \text{int}G_{(x,x^*,n)}$. To see this, first note that

$$g_0(x) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} < h_3(x) = h_2(x) = h_1(x) = g_0(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < g_0(x).$$

Hence there exists an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ so that $h_1 = h_2 = h_3$ on $U$. Since $x^* \in \partial_{-f}(x)$, $f$ is Lipschitz around $x$ and $S_{X_n}$ is compact, there exists $0 < \delta < 1/n$ so that (i) $B_{\delta}[x] \subseteq U$; (ii) $f$ is Lipschitz on $B_{\delta}[x]$; (iii) $$(f - x^*)(x + \lambda v) - (f - x^*)(x) > -\frac{\lambda}{n} \geq -\frac{-\delta}{n} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \lambda \leq \delta \text{ and } v \in S_{X_n}.$$ 

We now show that $B_{\varepsilon}(h_3) \subseteq G_{(x,x^*,n)}$ for any $0 < r < \delta/(2n)$. To accomplish this, let $g$ be any member of $B_{\varepsilon}(h_3)$. Then,

$$(g - x^*)(z) - (g - x^*)(x) > -\frac{2\delta}{n} \quad \text{for all } z \in x + \delta B_{X_n}.$$ 

By Lemma 3 there exists $z \in B_{\delta}[x]$ and $y^* \in \partial_{-}(g - x^*)(z)$ with $\|y^*|X_n\| < 4/n$. Thus if we set $z^* := y^* + x^*$, then $z^* \in \partial_{-g}(z)$ and $\|(z^* - x^*)|X_n\| < 4/n$. This shows that $g \in G_{(x,x^*,n)}$.

(b) Fix $(x, x^*) \in \text{Gr}(\partial_{-f})$, then for each $g$ in $G_{(x,x^*)} := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_{(x,x^*,n)}$, we have $x^* \in \partial_{-g}(x)$, if $g \in G_{(x,x^*)}$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $x_n \in B_{1/n}(x)$ and $x^*_n \in \partial_{-g}(x_n)$ so that $\|x^*_n|X_n - x^*|X_n\| \leq 4/n$. Since the subspaces $(X_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ are monotonely increasing, we see that $(x^*_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $x^*$ pointwise on $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$. Moreover, since $g$ is locally Lipschitz around $x$, the sequence $(z^*_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is norm bounded, and so we have that $(x^*_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $x^*$ pointwise on $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n = X$. Hence $(x_n, x^*_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to $(x, x^*)$ in $A \times X^*$, with $A$ endowed with the norm topology and $X^*$ with the weak* topology. However, as $\text{Gr}(\partial_{-g})$ is closed in $A \times X^*$ we obtain that $x^* \in \partial_{-g}(x)$.

(c) By Lemma 9 we may choose a countable set $C \subseteq \text{Gr}(\partial_{-f})$ so that $\text{Gr}(\partial_{-f}) = \overline{C}$. Let

$$G := \bigcap\{G_{(x,x^*)} : (x, x^*) \in C\}.$$ 

By (a), $G$ is a residual set in $(X_T, \rho)$ and if $g \in G$, then for every $(x, x^*) \in C$ we have $x^* \in \partial_{-g}(x)$. That is, $C \subseteq \text{Gr}(\partial_{-g})$. Now since $\text{Gr}(\partial_{-g})$ is closed in the product topology on $A \times X^*$ we have

$$\text{Gr}(\partial_{-f}) = \overline{C} \subseteq \text{Gr}(\partial_{-g}).$$ 

This shows that if $g \in G$ then $\partial_{-f}(x) \subseteq \partial_{-g}(x)$ for all $x \in A$. \qed
Corollary 1. Let \( \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a sequence of locally equi-Lipschitz functions defined on a non-empty open subset \( A \) of a separable Banach space \( X \). If we define \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) by \( T(x) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_0 f_n(x) \), then \( \{g \in X_{CSC(T)} : USC(T)(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \subseteq CSC(T)(x) \text{ for every } x \in A\} \) is residual in \( (X_{CSC(T)}, \rho) \).

Proof. By Proposition 1, \( \text{CSC}(T) \) exists. For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we may apply Theorem 1 to deduce that the set \( G_n := \{g \in X_{CSC(T)} : \partial_0 f_n(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\} \) is residual in \( (X_{CSC(T)}, \rho) \). Thus the set \( G := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n \) is residual in \( (X_{CSC(T)}, \rho) \) and if \( g \in G \), then we have \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_0 f_n(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \text{ for every } x \in A \). Hence USC(T)(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \subseteq CSC(T)(x) \text{ for all } x \in A. \]

\[ \square \]

Theorem 2 (The Clarke subdifferential). Let \( A \) be a non-empty open subset of a separable Banach space \( X \) and let \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) be a weak* cusco on \( A \), then for each \( f \in X_T \), \( \{g \in X_T : \partial_0 f(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\} \) is residual in \( (X_T, \rho) \).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 and the fact that \( \partial_0 f(x) = \overline{co}^* \partial_0 \ast f(x) \) for all \( x \in A \). \[ \square \]

Corollary 2. Let \( \{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a locally equi-Lipschitz family of real-valued functions defined on a non-empty open subset \( A \) of a separable Banach space \( X \). If we define \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) by \( T(x) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_0 f_n(x) \), then \( \{g \in X_{CSC(T)} : \partial_0 g(x) = CSC(T)(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\} \) is residual in \( (X_{CSC(T)}, \rho) \).

Corollary 3. Let \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) be real-valued locally Lipschitz functions defined on a non-empty open subset \( A \) of a separable Banach space \( X \). If \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) is defined by

\[ T(x) := \overline{co}(\partial_0 f_1(x), \partial_0 f_2(x), \ldots, \partial_0 f_n(x)), \]

then \( \{g \in X_T : \partial_0 g(x) = T(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\} \) is residual in \( (X_T, \rho) \). In particular, the Clarke subdifferential is closed under the operation of taking finite convex hulls.

Proof. By Corollary 2 it suffices to show that \( T \) is a weak* cusco on \( A \). To see that this is indeed the case, consider the set-valued mapping \( \Omega : A \to 2^{X^*} \) defined by \( \Omega(x) := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \partial_0 f_j(x) \). Clearly \( \Omega \) is a weak* usco on \( A \). Hence, by Lemma 7.12 in [20] the mapping \( T : A \to 2^{X^*} \) defined by \( T(x) := \overline{co}^* \Omega(x) = \overline{co} \Omega(x) \) is a weak* cusco on \( A \). This completes the proof. \[ \square \]

Corollary 4 improves the main result of [8], where the minimality of each \( \partial_0 f_j \) was required.

Corollary 4. Let \( f \) be a real-valued locally Lipschitz function defined on a non-empty open connected subset \( A \) of a separable Banach space \( X \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \( f \) is “strongly integrable” that is, for each real-valued locally Lipschitz function \( g \) defined on \( A \) with \( \partial_0 g(x) \subseteq \partial_0 f(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \), \( f - g \equiv \text{constant}. \)

(ii) \( f \) is “weakly integrable” that is, for each real-valued locally Lipschitz function \( g \) defined on \( A \) with \( \partial_0 g(x) = \partial_0 f(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \), \( f - g \equiv \text{constant}. \)

Proof. The fact that (i) implies (ii) is obvious. So it suffices for us to justify that (ii) implies (i). Fix \( x_0 \in A \) and let \( g \) be any member of \( X_{\partial_0 f} \). By Corollary 3 we may select, for each \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \), a function \( g_\varepsilon \in X_{\partial_0 f} \) so that \( \rho(g, g_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon \) and

\[ \square \]
\[ \partial_{g\varepsilon}(x) = \partial_{\varepsilon}f(x) \text{ for all } x \in A. \]

Then for any \( x \in A, \)

\[
|f - g)(x) - (f - g)(x_0)| \\
\leq |f - g)(x) - (f - g)(x_0)| + |g_\varepsilon - g)(x) - (g_\varepsilon - g)(x_0)| \\
= |(g_\varepsilon - g)(x) - (g_\varepsilon - g)(x_0)| \text{ (since } f - g \equiv \text{ constant on } A) \\
\leq |(g_\varepsilon - g)(x)| + |(g_\varepsilon - g)(x_0)| \leq 2\varepsilon.
\]

However, as our choice of \( \varepsilon \) was arbitrary, we must have that \( (f - g)(x) = (f - g)(x_0) \). This shows that \( f - g \equiv \text{ constant on } A. \]

Let \( I \) be an open interval in \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( f : I \to \mathbb{R} \). We say that \( f \) is robustly lower (upper) semi-continuous if,

\[
\liminf_{y \in N} f(y) = \limsup_{y \in N} f(y)
\]

for each Lebesgue null set \( N \) of \( I \).

**Corollary 5.** Let \( I \) be an open interval in \( \mathbb{R} \) and let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be functions on \( I \) such that \( \alpha \leq \beta \). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( \alpha \) is robustly lower semi-continuous and \( \beta \) is robustly upper semi-continuous;

(ii) there exists a locally Lipschitz function \( f \) on \( I \) such that \( \partial_{\alpha}f(x) = [\alpha(x), \beta(x)] \) for all \( x \in I; \)

(iii) if \( T : I \to 2^\mathbb{R} \) is defined by \( T(x) = [\alpha(x), \beta(x)] \), then \( X_T \) is non-empty and \( \{g \in X_T : \partial_{\alpha}g(x) = [\alpha(x), \beta(x)] \text{ for all } x \in I\} \) is residual in \( X_T \).

**Proof.** (i)\(\Rightarrow\)(ii) Since \( \alpha \) is lower semi-continuous and \( \beta \) is upper semi-continuous on \( I \), both functions are Lebesgue integrable. Choose any \( p \in I \) and define \( f_1, f_2 : I \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
f_1(x) := \int_p^x \alpha(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(x) := \int_p^x \beta(t) dt.
\]

Let \( N \subseteq I \) be any Lebesgue null set so that \( f_1'(x) = \alpha(x) \) and \( f_2'(x) = \beta(x) \) on \( I \setminus N \). Then we have

\[
\partial_{\alpha}f_1(x) = [\liminf_{t \to x} f_1'(t), \limsup_{t \to x} f_1'(t)] = [\alpha(x), \limsup_{t \to x} f_1'(t)] \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\partial_{\beta}f_2(x) = [\liminf_{t \to x} f_2'(t), \limsup_{t \to x} f_2'(t)] = [\liminf_{t \to x} f_2'(t), \beta(x)].
\]

Moreover, since \( \alpha(x) \leq \beta(x) \) for all \( x \in I \) we have

\[
\limsup_{t \to x} f_1'(t) \leq \limsup_{t \to x} \beta(t) = \beta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{t \to x} f_2'(t) \geq \liminf_{t \to x} \alpha(t) = \alpha(x).
\]

Therefore, \( T(x) = \partial_{\alpha}f_1(x), \partial_{\beta}f_2(x) \) for each \( x \in I \) and so the result follows by Corollary 3.

(ii)\(\Rightarrow\)(i) is clear and (ii)\(\Rightarrow\)(i) follows from the basic properties of the Clarke subdifferential mapping.

This has recovered and improved the main result in [2].

**Example 1.** Theorem [1] fails if \( T \) is only assumed to be a weak* usco. To see this, we consider \( T : \mathbb{R} \to 2^\mathbb{R} \) defined by \( T(x) := \{0, 1\} \). If Theorem [1] holds for this \( T \), then there would exist a residual set \( G \) in \( X_T \) where \( \partial_{\alpha}g = T \) for each \( g \in G. \)

However, \( T \) is not an approximate subdifferential map of any Lipschitz function.
Because if there exists an $f$ with $\partial_af = T$, then $\partial_0f(x) = \text{co} \partial_0f(x) = [0, 1]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and so by Theorem 2.2 in [2] we would have that $\partial_0f(x) = \partial_0f(x) = [0, 1]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$; a contradiction.

With some extra work one can show that the sum and lattice rules of subdifferential calculus hold with equality for almost all Lipschitz functions. Indeed, if on $\mathcal{X}_{B_X} \times \mathcal{X}_{B_X}$, we define the complete metric $\rho_1$ by $\rho_1((f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2)) := \rho(f_1, g_1) + \rho(f_2, g_2)$, then we may obtain the following from a more elaborate version of Theorem [1].

**Theorem 3** (26). Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a separable Banach space $X$, then there exists a residual set $G$ in $(\mathcal{X}_{B_X}, \mathcal{X}_{B_X^*}, \rho_1)$ so that for each $(f_1, f_2) \in G$ and $y_1, y_2 \geq 0$,

\[
\partial_0(f_1 + f_2)(x) = \partial_0f_1(x) + y_2\partial_0f_2(x), \quad \partial_0f_1(x) = \partial_0f_2(x) = B_{X^*} \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\partial_0[\min\{f_1, f_2\}](x) = \partial_0[\max\{f_1, f_2\}](x) = \text{co}\{\partial_0f_1(x), \partial_0f_2(x)\} \text{ for all } x \in A.
\]

**Example 2.** In this example we examine what can be said about the size of the generalized Jacobian of a Lipschitz mapping acting between Banach spaces. For a vector–valued locally Lipschitz function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ given by $F(x) := [f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x)]$. The generalized Jacobian of $F$ at $x$, denoted by $\partial_0F(x)$, is defined by

\[
\partial_0F(x) := \text{co}\{\lim \nabla F(x_i) : x_i \to x, x_i \notin \Omega_F\},
\]

where $\Omega_F$ denotes the set of points at which $F$ fails to be differentiable. In $\mathcal{X}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^m}} \times \mathcal{X}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^m}}$ there exists a residual set $G$ such that for every $F \in G$, $\partial_0F$ is not a minimal cusco. Indeed, by Theorem [3] we obtain a residual set $G \subset \mathcal{X}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^m}} \times \mathcal{X}_{B_{\mathbb{R}^m}}$ such that for $F := (f_1, f_2) \in G$ we have $\partial_0(f_1 + f_2) = 2B_{\mathbb{R}^m}$. By Theorem 2.6.6 [11] we have $\partial_0(f_1 + f_2) = (1, 1)\partial_0F$. Since $2B_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ is not a minimal cusco, $\partial_0F$ is not minimal for $F \in G$. However, if each $f_i$ is strictly differentiable almost everywhere on $A$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, then $\partial_0F$ is a minimal cusco on $A$.

The scalarization formula for the coderivative of $F$ [26, page 366] also shows that the Clarke coderivatives have large images for every $F \in G$ since the Clarke coderivative $D_c^*F(x)(y_1, y_2) \supseteq (y_1 + y_2)B_{\mathbb{R}^m}$ holds for all $x \in A$ and $(y_1, y_2) \in R^+_2 \setminus \{0\}$. Similar results hold for $F$ having arbitrary $m$ components.

4. Results on general Banach spaces

In general Banach spaces we obtain weaker, but still highly useful results.

**Lemma 9.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ be a weak* cusco on $A$. If $f, g \in X_T$ and $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable, then the function $h : A \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(x) := \lambda_E((f - g)(x)) + g(x)$ belongs to $X_T$ and $\rho(h, g) \leq \lambda(E)$, where $\lambda_E : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\lambda_E(x) := \int_0^x \lambda(t)dt$.

**Proof.** Suppose $f, g \in X_T$, we need to show $h \in X_T$. To this end, let us fix $x \in A$ and choose $\delta > 0$ so that $B_{2\delta}(x) \subseteq A$. For each $v \in S_X$ we define the function $K_v : B_{2\delta}(x) \times (0, \delta) \to [0, 1]$ by

\[
K_v(z, \lambda) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (f - g)(z + \lambda v) = (f - g)(z), \\ \int_{(f - g)(z)}^{(f - g)(z + \lambda v)} \chi_{E}(t)dt & \text{if } (f - g)(z + \lambda v) = (f - g)(z), \\ \int_{(f - g)(z)}^{(f - g)(z + \lambda v)} \chi_{E}(t)dt & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]
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Then we have
\[
\frac{h(z + \lambda v) - h(z)}{\lambda} = (1 - K_v(z, \lambda)) \frac{g(z + \lambda v) - g(z)}{\lambda} + K_v(z, \lambda) \frac{f(z + \lambda v) - f(z)}{\lambda}
\]
\[\leq \max \left\{ \frac{g(z + \lambda v) - g(z)}{\lambda}, \frac{f(z + \lambda v) - f(z)}{\lambda} \right\},\]
for all \((z, \lambda) \in B_3(A) \times (0, \delta)\). Therefore \(h^0(x, v) \leq \max\{g^0(x, v), f^0(x, v)\}\) for all \(v \in S_X\) and so \(\partial h(x) \subseteq \operatorname{co}\{\partial g(x), \partial f(x)\} \subseteq T(x)\). Since the point \(x\) was arbitrary we have that \(h \in X_T\). The proof that \(\rho(g, h) \leq \lambda(E)\) is obvious. \(\Box\)

We will say that a Banach space is smoothable if it has an equivalent smooth renorm (as is the case in all separable, reflexive or WCG spaces).

**Theorem 4** (The approximate subdifferential in smooth Banach space). Let \(A\) be a non-empty open subset of a smoothable Banach space \(X\) and let \(T : A \to 2^{X^*}\) be a weak* cusco on \(A\). If \(f \in X_T\) and \(x \to \partial_n f(x)\) is a minimal weak* usco, then \(\{g \in X_T : \partial_n f(x) \subseteq \partial_n g(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\}\) is residual in \((X_T, \rho)\).

**Proof.** For each \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), let \(A_m := \{t \in A : T(t) \subseteq mB_X\}\). Then by Lemma 3 \(A = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m\) and each \(g \in X_T\) is \(m\)-Lipschitz on each convex subset of \(A_m\). Let \(J := \{J_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\) be an enumeration of all the open intervals in \(\mathbb{R}\) with rational end-points. For each \((m, n, p, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \times (0, \infty)\) we consider the set
\[
O_{(m, n, p, \varepsilon)} := \{g \in X_T : \text{for each connected open set } U \text{ with } U + \frac{1}{p} B_X \subseteq A_m \text{ and } J_n \subseteq (f - g)(U) \text{ there exists a } z_0 \in U \text{ and } 0 < r_0 < 1/p \text{ so that } (g - f)(z_0) > -\varepsilon r_0 \text{ for all } z \in B_{r_0}(z_0)\}.
\]
The proof is now divided into two parts:

(a) For each \((m, n, p, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \times (0, \infty),\) \(\text{int}O_{(m, n, p, \varepsilon)}\) is dense in \((X_T, \rho)\).

Suppose \((g_0, \delta) \in X_T \times (0, 1),\) we need to verify that \(B_3(g_0) \cap \text{int}O_{(m, n, p, \varepsilon)} \neq \emptyset\). To this end, suppose \(J_n := (r_n, s_n)\) and \(0 < \delta' := \min\{(s_n - r_n)/5, \delta\}\). Now let us choose a dense open subset \(E\) of \(\mathbb{R}\) such that \(\mu(E) < \delta'\) and define \(h : A \to \mathbb{R}\) by
\[
h(x) := \lambda_E((f - g_0)(x)) + g_0(x) \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_E(x) := \int_0^x \chi_E(t)dt.
\]
By Lemma 3 we have \(h \in X_T\) and \(\rho(g_0, h) < \delta' \leq \delta\). We claim that \(h \in \text{int}O_{(m, n, p, \varepsilon)} \cap B_3(g_0)\). To this end, choose \(0 < r < 2m/p\) and \(t \in \mathbb{R}\) so that \([t - r, t + r] \subseteq (r_n + 2\delta', s_n - 2\delta')\cap E\) and set \(d := \min\{(\varepsilon r)/4m, \delta'\}\). We will show that \(B_d(h) \subseteq O_{(m, n, p, \varepsilon)}\). Let \(g \in B_d(h)\) and let \(U\) be any connected open subset of \(A_m\) with \(U + 1/pB_X \subseteq A_m\) and \(J_n \subseteq (f - g)(U)\). Then,
\[
[t - r, t + r] \subseteq (r_n + 2\delta', s_n - 2\delta') \subseteq (f - g_0)(U),
\]
so that \((f - g_0)(U)\) is connected (hence convex) and
\[
\|(f - g_0) - (f - g)\|_\infty = \|g_0 - g\|_\infty \leq \|g_0 - h\|_\infty + \|g - h\|_\infty < \delta' + d \leq 2\delta'.
\]
Choose \(z_0 \in U\) so that \((f - g_0)(z_0) = t\) then for any \(z \in B_{r_0}(z_0)\) with \(r_0 = r/2m < 1/p, (f - g_0)(z) \in [t - r, t + r] \subseteq E\) and so \(h(z) - h(z_0) = f(z) - f(z_0)\). Therefore by our choice of \(d\),
\[
(g - f)(z) - (g - f)(z_0) = (g - h)(z) - (g - h)(z_0) > -\frac{\varepsilon r_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon r_0}{2} = -\varepsilon r_0,
\]
for all \(z \in B_{r_0}(z_0)\). This shows that \(g \in O_{(m, n, p, \varepsilon)}\).
(b) The set $G := \bigcap \{ O(n_1, n_2, n_3, 1/n_4) : (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4 \}$ is residual in $(X_T, \rho)$ and for each $g \in G$ we have $\partial g(x) \cap \partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $x \in A$.

Indeed, if this is not the case then there exists a $g \in G$ and $x_0 \in A$ such that $\partial g(x_0) \cap \partial f(x_0) = \emptyset$. Moreover, since $\{ x \in A : \partial g(x) \cap \partial f(x) = \emptyset \}$ is open in $A$ we may assume that $f$ is strictly differentiable at $x_0 \in A$. We may now select a finite set $F \subseteq S_X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ so that if $W := \{ x^* : |x^*(y)| < \alpha, \ y \in F \}$ then $(\partial g(x_0) + W) \cap (\partial f(x_0) + W) = \emptyset$ and by the weak* upper semi-continuity of $x \to \partial g(x)$, there exists $r > 0$ so that $\partial g(B_r(x_0)) \subseteq \partial g(x_0) + W$. Next, we choose $n_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $4/n_4 < \alpha$ and set $Y := \text{sp} F$. Then by the strict differentiability of $f$ at $x_0$, the Lipschitzness of $f$ and the compactness of $S_Y$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that

$$
\frac{f(z + \lambda y) - f(z)}{\lambda} - \nabla f(x_0)(y) > -\frac{1}{n_4}
$$

for all $\|z - x_0\| \leq \delta$, $0 < \lambda \leq \delta$ and $y \in S_Y$.

We may now select $n_1, n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $1/n_3 < \delta$ and $B_{2/n_3}(x_0) \subseteq A_{n_1} \cap B_r(x_0)$. Then we set $U := B_{1/n_3}(x_0)$. Now $(f - g)(U)$ is convex, so either $(f - g)(U) = \{ a \}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ or $J_n \subseteq (f - g)(U)$ for some $n$. In the first case we get that $f(x) = g(x) + a$ on $U$ which is impossible since $\partial f(x_0) \neq \partial g(x_0)$. Therefore, there is some $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $J_{n_2} \subseteq (f - g)(U)$. However, as $g \in O(n_1, n_2, n_3, 1/n_4)$ there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ and $0 < r_0 \leq 1/n_3$ so that

$$
(g - \nabla f(x_0))(z) - (g - \nabla f(x_0))(z_0) > -\frac{2r_0}{n_4}
$$

for all $z \in z_0 + r_0 B_Y$,

since $(f - \nabla f(x_0))(z_0 + \lambda y) - (f - \nabla f(x_0))(z_0) > -\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{n_4}$ for $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{n_3}$ and $y \in S_Y$. By Lemma 8 there exists $z_1 \in B_{r_0}(z_0)$ and $z^* \in X^*$ so that $z^* \in \partial (g - \nabla f(x_0))(z_1)$ and $\|z^*\|_Y < 4/n_4$, i.e., $\nabla f(x_0) + z^* \in \partial g(z_1)$. However, $\nabla f(x_0) + z^* \in \nabla f(x_0) + W$, which is impossible since $\partial g(z_1) \cap (\nabla f(x_0) + W) = \emptyset$. Therefore, it must be the case that for each $g \in G$, $\partial g(x) \cap \partial f(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in A$. The result now follows by Lemma 2.

While minimality of $x \to \partial f(x)$ is quite restrictive, it does hold when $f$ is smooth or concave on $A$. Even this allows for some nice applications:

**Corollary 6.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a smoothable Banach space $X$ and suppose $C \subseteq X^*$ is non-empty, weak* compact, convex and weak* separable, then $\{ g \in X_C : \partial g(x) = C \text{ for all } x \in A \}$ is residual in $(X_C, \rho)$.

**Proof.** Let $\{ x_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ be a dense subset of $(C, \text{weak}^*)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_n := \{ g \in X_C : x_n^* \in \partial g(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \}$. Then by Theorem 3 $G := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ is residual in $(X_C, \rho)$ and $\partial g \equiv C$ for each $g \in G$.

**Theorem 5** (The Clarke subdifferential in arbitrary Banach space). Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ be a weak* usco on $A$, then for each $f \in X_T$, $\{ g \in X_T : \partial g(x) \cap \partial f(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } x \in A \}$ is residual in $(X_T, \rho)$. In particular, if $\partial f$ is a minimal weak* usco, then $\{ g \in X_T : \partial g(x) \subseteq \partial f(x) \text{ for all } x \in A \}$ is residual in $(X_T, \rho)$.

**Proof.** For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_m := \text{int}(t \in A : T(t) \subseteq mB_X)$, then $A = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_m$ and each $g \in X_T$ is m-Lipschitz on each convex subset of $A_m$. Let
Let \( J := \{ J_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \) be an enumeration of all the open intervals in \( \mathbb{R} \) with rational end-points. For each \((m, n, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times (0, +\infty)\) consider the set

\[
O_{(m, n, \varepsilon)} := \{ g \in \mathcal{X}_T : \text{for each connected open set } U \\
with \ U \supseteq B_X \subseteq A_m \text{ and } J_n \subseteq (f - g)(U) \text{ there exist} \\
\exists z_0 \in U \text{ and } 0 < \lambda_0 < \varepsilon \text{ so that } (g - f)(z_0 + \lambda_0v) - (g - f)(z_0) \geq -\lambda_0 \varepsilon \text{ for all } v \in S_X \}.
\]

(a) \( \text{int}O_{(m, n, \varepsilon)} \) is dense in \( \mathcal{X}_T \times (0, 1) \). We need to verify that \( B_\delta(g_0) \cap \text{int}O_{(m, n, \varepsilon)} \neq \emptyset \). To this end, suppose \( J_n := \{ r_n, s_n \} \) and \( \delta' := \min\{ (s_n - r_n)/5, \delta \} \). Now let us choose a dense open subset \( E \) of \( \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \mu(E) < \delta' \) and define \( h : A \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
h(x) := \lambda_E((f - g_0)(x)) + g_0(x) \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_E(x) := \int_0^x \chi_E(t)dt.
\]

Then by Lemma 9 \( h \in \mathcal{X}_T \) and \( \rho(g_0, h) < \delta' \leq \delta \). We claim that \( h \in \text{int}O_{(m, n, \varepsilon)} \cap B_\delta(g_0) \). To this end, choose \( 0 < r < 2m \varepsilon \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) so that \( [t - r, t + r] \subseteq (r_n + 2\delta', s_n - 2\delta') \cap E \) and set \( d := \min\{ (cr)/(4m), \delta' \} \). We show that \( B_d(h) \subseteq O_{(m, n, \varepsilon)} \). Let \( g \in B_d(h) \) and let \( U \) be any connected open subset of \( A_m \) with \( U + \varepsilon B_X \subseteq A_m \) and \( J_n \subseteq (f - g)(U) \). Since \( (f - g_0)(U) \) is connected (hence convex) and

\[
\|(f - g) - (f - g_0)\|_\infty = \|g_0 - g\|_\infty \leq \|g_0 - h\|_\infty + \|g - h\|_\infty \leq d + \delta' \leq 2\delta',
\]

we have \( [t - r, t + r] \subseteq (r_n + 2\delta', s_n - 2\delta') \subseteq (f - g_0)(U) \). Choose \( z_0 \in U \) so that \( (f - g_0)(z_0) = t \), then for every \( 0 < \lambda \leq r/(2m) \) and \( v \in S_X \) we have \( (f - g_0)(z_0 + \lambda v) \in [t - r, t + r] \subseteq E \) and if we set \( \lambda_0 := r/(2m) \)

\[
g(z_0 + \lambda_0v) - g(z_0) \geq \frac{h(z_0 + \lambda_0v) - h(z_0)}{\lambda_0} - \frac{2d}{2m} \geq \frac{f(z_0 + \lambda_0v) - f(z_0)}{\lambda_0} - \varepsilon.
\]

This shows that \( g \in O_{(m, n, \varepsilon)} \).

(b) The set \( G := \bigcap\{ O_{(n_1, n_2, 1/n_3)} : (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \} \) is residual in \( \mathcal{X}_T \times (0, 1) \) and for each \( g \in G \) we have \( \partial_0g(x) \cap \partial_0 f(x) \neq \emptyset \) for all \( x \in A \).

Indeed, if this is not the case, then there exists a \( g \in G \) and \( x_0 \in A \) such that \( \partial_0g(x_0) \cap \partial_0 f(x_0) = \emptyset \). By the strong separation theorem, applied in the locally convex space \( (X^*, \text{weak}^*) \) there exists a \( y \in S_X, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that

\[
-f^0(x_0, -y) = \min\{ x^*(y) : x^* \in \partial_0 f(x_0) \} > \alpha + \varepsilon \\
> \alpha - \varepsilon > \max\{ x^*(y) : x^* \in \partial_0 g(x_0) \} = g^0(x_0, y).
\]

Now \( x_0 \in A_{n_1} \) for some \( n_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) and

\[
-f^0(x_0, -y) = \liminf_{t \to 0^+} \frac{f(z + ty) - f(z)}{t}, \quad g^0(x_0, y) = \limsup_{t \to 0^+} \frac{g(z + ty) - g(z)}{t}.
\]

Therefore there exists an \( n_3 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( 1/n_3 < \varepsilon, B_{1/n_3}(x_0) \subseteq A_{n_1} \) and

\[
\inf \left\{ \frac{f(z + \lambda y) - f(z)}{\lambda} : 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{n_3}, z \in B_{1/n_3}(x_0) \right\} \geq \alpha + \frac{1}{n_3}
\]

\[
> \alpha - \frac{1}{n_3} \geq \sup \left\{ \frac{g(z + \lambda y) - g(z)}{\lambda} : 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{1}{n_3}, z \in B_{1/n_3}(x_0) \right\}
\]

(\#)
Let $U := B_{1/n}(x_0)$, then $U + 1/n B_X \subseteq A_n$. Now $(f - g)(U)$ is convex, so either $(f - g)(U) = \{a\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ or $J_n \subseteq (f - g)(U)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the first case, we get that $f(x) = g(x) + a$ on $U$ which is impossible since $\partial_0 f(x_0) \neq \partial_0 g(x_0)$.

Therefore, there exists some $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $J_{n_3} \subseteq (f - g)(U)$. Since $g \in G$, we have that $g \in O(n_1, n_2, 1/n_3)$ and so there exists $z_0 \in U$ and $0 < \lambda_0 < 1/n_3$ so that

$$\frac{g(z_0 + \lambda_0 v) - g(z_0)}{\lambda_0} \geq \frac{f(z_0 + \lambda_0 v) - f(z_0)}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{n_3} \quad \text{for every } v \in S_X,$$

which contradicts (*). Therefore, it must be the case that for each $g \in G$ we have $\partial_0 f(x) \cap \partial_0 g(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in A$. The case when $\partial_0 f$ is a minimal weak* cusco follows from Lemma 2.

**Corollary 7.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $\{f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of locally equi-Lipschitz real-valued functions. If $T : A \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is defined by $T(x) := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_0 f_n(x)$ and each $\partial_0 f_n$ is a minimal weak* cusco then $\{g \in \mathcal{X}_{CSC}(T) : \partial_0 g(x) = CSC(T)(x) \text{ for each } x \in A\}$ is residual in $(\mathcal{X}_{CSC}(T), \rho)$.

Since each maximal cyclically monotone operator defined on a non-empty open convex subset of a Banach space is the Clarke subgradient of some convex locally Lipschitz function we have

**Corollary 8.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open convex subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\}$ be a finite family of maximal cyclically monotone operators from $A$ into non-empty subsets of $X^*$. Then there exists a real-valued locally Lipschitz function $f$ defined on $A$ such that

$$\partial_0 f(x) = \text{co}\{T_1(x), T_2(x), \ldots, T_n(x)\} \quad \text{for every } x \in A.$$

This generalizes Corollary 2 in [8] to non-separable spaces.

**Example 3.** There are Clarke subdifferentials that cannot be expressed as the cusco generated by a countable family of minimal cuscos. Indeed, let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable and nowhere monotone Lipschitz function \[10\], then \{x : f is strictly differentiable at x and f'(x) = 0\} is residual in $\mathbb{R}$ and so the only possible minimal cusco lying inside $\partial_0 f$ is $T \equiv \{0\}$.

**Lemma 10** (Lemma 2.5, \[15\]). A weak* cusco $T$ from a topological space $A$ into subsets of the dual of a Banach space $X$ is a minimal weak* cusco if, and only if, given any open subset $U$ of $A$ and weak* closed convex subset $K$ of $X^*$ with $T(U) \nsubseteq K$, there exists a non-empty open subset $V \subseteq U$ such that $T(V) \cap K = \emptyset$.

**Theorem 6.** Let $f$ be a real-valued locally Lipschitz function defined on a non-empty open subset $A$ of a Banach space $X$. If $\partial_0 f$ is a minimal weak* cusco and $T : A \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is defined by $T(x) := \partial_0 f(x) + B_{X^*}$, then $\{g \in \mathcal{X}_T : \partial_0 g(x) = T(x) \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ is residual in $(\mathcal{X}_T, \rho)$.

**Proof.** For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ choose a maximal disjoint family of an open ball $\{B_{1/n}(x_n^\alpha) : \alpha \in \Gamma_n\}$ in $A$ and define $f_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_n(x) := f(x) + d_{C_n}(x)$, where $C_n := \{x_n^\alpha : \alpha \in \Gamma_n\}$. Let $G_n$ be any residual set in $(\mathcal{X}_T, \rho)$ such that for each $g \in G_n$, $\partial_0 g(x) \cap \partial_0 f_n(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in A$. By \[5\] we know that the sum of a function whose Clarke subdifferential mapping is a minimal weak* cusco and a regular function has a Clarke subgradient that is a minimal weak* cusco. Therefore, $\partial_0 f_n$ is a
minimal weak* cusco on $B_{1/n}(x^n_0)$ and so $\partial_0 f_n(x^n_0) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x^n_0)$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma_n$. Set $G := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n$. We will show that for each $g \in G$, $\partial_0 g(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$. To this end, let $g$ be any member of $G$ and let us suppose that $\partial_0 g(x_0) \neq T(x_0)$ for some $x_0 \in A$. Then there is some $x \in S_X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$g^0(x_0, y) = \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in \partial_0 g(x_0)\} < \alpha$$

$$\leq \max\{x^*(y) : x^* \in T(x_0)\} = f^0(x_0, y) + 1.$$

Now, by the upper semi-continuity of $x \rightarrow g^0(x, y)$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x_0$ in $A$ such that $g^0(z, y) < \alpha$ for all $z \in U$. On the other hand, from the minimality of $x \rightarrow \partial_0 f(x)$ (see Lemma 10) there exists a non-empty open subset $V$ of $U$ so that $\partial_0 f(V) \cap \{x^* \in X^* : x^*(y) \leq \alpha - 1\} = \emptyset$. Therefore,

$$\alpha - 1 < \min\{x^*(y) : x^* \in \partial_0 f(x)\} = -f^0(x, y)$$

for all $x \in V$.

Note that in particular, $f^-(x, y) > \alpha - 1$ for all $x \in V$. Next, we may choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $C_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and calculate $f_n^-(z, y) = f^-(z, y) + d_{C_n}(z, y)$ for $z \in C_n \cap V$, to get $f_n^-(z, y) > \alpha - 1 + 1 = \alpha$. However, this is impossible since $f_n^-(z, y) \leq f_n^0(z, y) \leq g^0(z, y) < \alpha$ for all $z \in U \cap C_n$. Hence for each $g \in G$, $\partial_0 g(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

An important special case of the above theorem is the following:

**Corollary 9** (The dual ball). Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space $X$, then $\{g \in X_{B_X^*} : \partial_0 g(x) = B_{X^*} \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ is residual in $(X_{B_X^*}, \rho)$.

We may also extend a central case of Theorem 11

**Corollary 10.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of an infinite dimensional smoothable Banach space $X$. Suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is an equivalent norm on $X$ such that $\text{ext } B_{X^*}$, the extreme points of its associated dual ball $B_{X^*}$, is weak* dense in $B_{X^*}$, then $\{g \in X_{B_X^*} : \partial_0 g(x) = B_{X^*} \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ is residual in $(X_{B_X^*}, \rho)$.

**Proof.** Let $G := \{g \in X_{B_X^*} : \partial_0 g(x) = B_{X^*} \text{ for all } x \in A\}$. We claim that for each $g \in G$, $\partial_0 g(x) = B_{X^*}$ for all $x \in A$. To see this, simply note that by the converse of the Krein-Milman theorem $\text{ext } B_{X^*} \subseteq \partial_0 g(x)$ for each $g \in G$ and $x \in A$ since $B_{X^*} = \partial_0 g(x) = \overline{\text{w-}} \partial_0 g(x)$ and $\partial_0 g(x)$ is weak* closed for each $x \in A$.

**Remark 1.** The hypotheses of the last corollary are satisfied if the norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $X$ is smooth.

**Corollary 11.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space $X$, then

$$\{g \in X_{B_X^*} : \text{ for each } v \in S_X, \{x \in A : g'(x; v) \text{ exists}\} \text{ is first category}\}$$

is residual in $(X_{B_X^*}, \rho)$.

**Proof.** Let $G := \{g \in X_{B_X^*} : \partial_0 g(x) = B_{X^*} \text{ for all } x \in A\}$. We claim that for each $g \in G$, $D_g := \{x \in A : \text{ there exists } g'(x; y) \text{ for all } y \in S_X\}$ is first category in $A$ for each $y \in S_X$. To see this, let us fix $y \in S_X$. Then, by [10] there exists a dense $G_\delta$ subset $P_y$ of $A$ where $g^0(x; y) = g^+(x; y)$ and $-g^0(x; -y) = -g^+(x; -y)$ for each $x \in P_y$. We will
now show that $D_y \subseteq A \setminus P_y$. Indeed, if $x_0 \in P_y \cap D_y$, then
\[
1 = g^0(x_0; y) = g'(x_0; y) = -g'(x_0; -y) = -g^0(x_0; -y) = -1,
\]
which is absurd. Therefore, $D_y \subseteq A \setminus P_y$ and so first category in $A$. \hfill \Box

5. When is $\mathcal{X}_T$ non-empty?

Thus far we have not dwelt too much upon the question of when $\mathcal{X}_T$ is non-empty. However, below we show that this issue is in fact finitely determined, that is, determined by the behaviour of $T$ on finite dimensional subspaces.

Let $A$ be a non-empty open subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ be a weak* cusco defined on $A$. Then for each subspace $Y$ of $X$ with $Y \cap A \neq \emptyset$ we define $T_Y : Y \cap A \to 2^{Y^*}$ by
\[
T_Y(x) := \{ y^* \in Y^* : y^* = x^*|_Y \text{ and } x^* \in T(x) \}.
\]

**Theorem 7.** Let $A$ be a non-empty open connected subset of a Banach space $X$ and let $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ be a weak* cusco on $A$. Then $\mathcal{X}_T \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists an upwardly directed set $(D, \subseteq)$ of finite dimensional subspaces of $X$ such that (i) $A \subseteq \bigcup_{Y \in D} Y$ and (ii) $\mathcal{X}_{T_Y} \neq \emptyset$ for each $Y \in D$ with $Y \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

**Proof.** It is clear that if $\mathcal{X}_T \neq \emptyset$ then (i) and (ii) are satisfied. So we will consider the converse question. Fix $x_0 \in A$ and define $\mathcal{X}_{T_Y}(x_0) := \{ g \in \mathcal{X}_{T_Y} : g(x_0) = 0 \}$. Note that by possibly making $D$ smaller we may assume that $x_0 \in Y$ for all $Y \in D$. Then for each $Y \in D$ choose $g_Y \in \mathcal{X}_{T_Y}(x_0)$. For each such function we consider the following extension $\tilde{g}_Y : A \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by
\[
\tilde{g}_Y(x) := \begin{cases} 
   g_Y(x) & \text{if } x \in A \cap Y, \\
   0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Thus, $(\tilde{g}_Y : D)$ is a net in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^A$—which is compact by Tychonoff’s theorem. Therefore $(\tilde{g}_Y : D)$ has a convergent subnet which converges to some element $g \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^A$. It is now routine to check that $g$ is real-valued and locally Lipschitz on $A$. In fact one can show that $\partial_0 g(x) \subseteq T(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $g(x_0) = 0$. This shows that $g \in \mathcal{X}_T(x_0) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_T$. \hfill \Box

**Remark 2.** By applying a similar argument to the above proof we can show that for each $x_0 \in A$, $\mathcal{X}_T(x_0)$ is a pointwise compact, convex sub-lattice of $\mathcal{X}_T$.

The problem of determining when $\mathcal{X}_T \neq \emptyset$ now reduces to the semi-classical problem of determining when $\mathcal{X}_{T_Y} \neq \emptyset$. Below we give an obvious first step in this direction.

Let $(M, \sigma)$ be a measurable space and let $X$ be a normed linear space. We say that a function $f : (M, \sigma) \to X^*$ is weak* measurable if $f^{-1}(U) \in \sigma$ for each weak* open subset $U$ of $X^*$. Note: if $X$ is a separable normed linear space, then this is equivalent to demanding that for each $x \in X$, the mapping $\hat{x} \circ f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\hat{x} \circ f)(t) := f(t)(x)$ is measurable.

For a non-empty open subset $A$ of a normed linear space $X$ the line integral along a line segment $[a, b] \subseteq A$ of a weak* measurable function $f : (A, \mathcal{B}_A) \to X^*$ is the Lebesgue integral
\[
\int_{[a,b]} f(z) \, dz := \int_0^1 f(tb + (1-t)a)(b-a) \, dt.
\]
A polygonal path $C$ in $A$ is an ordered collection of line segments $\{[a_i, a_{i+1}] : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ for some integer $n$. Such a path is said to be closed if $a_1 = a_n$. The line integral of $f$ on $C$ is defined as

$$\int_C f(z)dz := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{[a_i, a_{i+1}]} f(z)dz.$$ 

For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ we will call an ordered collection of line segments $P(\varepsilon) := \{[a_i, b_i] : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$ an $\varepsilon$-path from $a$ to $b$ provided

$$\|a - a_1\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|a_{i+1} - b_i\| + \|b_n - b\| < \varepsilon.$$ 

Such a path is closed if $a = b$. For a Borel subset $E$ of $A$ we say that $P$ is an $E$-admissible $\varepsilon$-path from $a$ to $b$ if $P$ is an $\varepsilon$-path from $a$ to $b$ and

$$\lambda\{t \in [0, 1] : tb_i + (1 - t)a_i \notin E\} = 0$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Line integrals on an $\varepsilon$-path are defined similarly as above.

**Theorem 8 (III).** Let $A$ be a non-empty open connected subset of a finite dimensional normed linear space $X$ and let $T : A \to 2^{X^*}$ be a bounded weak* cusco on $A$. Then $X_T \neq \emptyset$ if and only if there exists a Borel set $E \subseteq A$ with $\lambda(A\setminus E) = 0$ and a weak* measurable selection $f : (E, B_E) \to X^*$ of $T$ so that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{P(\varepsilon)} f(z)dz = 0,$$

where $P(\varepsilon)$ is any closed $E$-admissible $\varepsilon$-path in $A$.

We now turn to the existence of Lipschitz functions with ‘minimal’ subdifferential mappings. These results form a sharp contrast to the existence of Lipschitz functions with maximal subdifferential mappings given in Sections 3 and 4.

**Theorem 9 (Minimal approximate subdifferential).** Let $A$ be a non-empty open connected subset of a smoothable Banach space $X$ and let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function on $A$. Then there exists a locally Lipschitz $g : A \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial_a g(x) \subseteq \partial_a f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $\partial_a g$ is minimal in the sense that for each $\hat{g}$ with $\partial_a \hat{g}(x) \subseteq \partial_a g(x)$ for all $x \in A$ we have that $\partial_a \hat{g} = \partial_a g$.

**Proof.** Fix $x_0 \in A$ and define,

$$\mathcal{P} := \{\text{Gr}(G) \subseteq \text{Gr}(\partial_a f) :$$

$$\text{there exists a locally Lipschitz function } g \text{ on } A \text{ with } \partial_a g \equiv G\},$$

On $\mathcal{P}$, which is clearly non-empty, we may define a partial order ‘$\leq$’ by $G_1 \leq G_2$ if and only if $G_1 \subseteq G_2$. We will use Zorn’s lemma to show that $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$ has a minimal element. To this end, let $C$ be a chain in $\mathcal{P}$. We will show that $C$ has a lower bound in $\mathcal{P}$. For each $G \in C$ let $g_G$ be a locally Lipschitz function on $A$ such that $\partial_a g_G \equiv G$ and $g_G(x_0) = f(x_0)$. Thus, $(g_G : C)$ is a net in $(\mathbb{R}_+)^A$—which is compact. Therefore $(g_G : C)$ has a subnet which converges to some element $g \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^A$. As with Theorem 7 it is routine to show that $g$ is real-valued and locally Lipschitz on $A$. We claim that $\partial_a g$ is a lower bound for $C$. To prove this claim let us consider any $G_0 \in C$. We need to show that $\partial_{-g} g(x) \subseteq \partial_a g_{G_0}(x)$ for all $x \in A$. Indeed, if this is not the case, then there exist an $x_1 \in A$ and $x_1^* \in \partial_{-g}(x_1)$ such that $x_1^* \notin \partial_a g_{G_0}(x_1)$. We may now select a finite set $F \subseteq S_X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ so that if
However, as $d_{T}(\partial g \circ h) = \partial_0 g \circ h$ and by the weak* upper semi-continuity of $x \rightarrow \partial g \circ h(x)$ there exists an $r > 0$ so that $\partial g \circ h(B_r(x_1)) \subseteq \partial g \circ h(B_r(x_1) + W)$. Next we set $Y := spF$. Then since $g$ is Lipschitz around $x_1$ and $SY$ is compact there exists a $0 < \delta < r$ so that $(g - x_1^*)^\alpha \{x \in X^* : (g - x_1^*)(x) > -\lambda \cdot \alpha \geq -\delta \cdot \alpha \text{ for all } 0 < \lambda \leq \delta \text{ and } v \in SY\}$. Now by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem the set $\{g_{x_1 + \delta BV} \cap \partial g \circ h(x_1) + W : G \in C\}$ is relatively norm compact in $(C(x_1 + \delta BV), ||\cdot||_{\infty})$. Hence the net $(g_{x_1 + \delta BV} \cap \partial g \circ h(x_1) + W : G \in C)$ has a subnet which converges to $g_{x_1 + \delta BV}$ with respect to the sup-norm on $x_1 + \delta BV$. In particular, this means that we can choose $G \subseteq G_0$ so that,

$$(g_{x_1 + \delta BV} - x_1^*)(z) - (g_{x_1 + \delta BV} - x_1^*)(x_1) > -2\delta \cdot \alpha \text{ for all } z \in x_1 + \delta BV.$$ 

Therefore, by Lemma 8 there exists a $z \in B_1(x_1)$ and $x^* \in X^*$ with $x^* \in \partial g_{x_1 + \delta BV}(z)$ and $||x^*||_1 < 4\alpha$. That is, $x^* + \delta BV \cap X^* = \varnothing$. However, $x^* \in x_1^* + W$; which is impossible since $\partial g_{x_1 + \delta BV}(z) \cap (x_1^* + W) \subseteq \partial g_{x_1 + \delta BV}(z) \cap (x_1^* + W) \subseteq \varnothing$. This shows that $\partial g_{x_1 + \delta BV}(z) \subseteq \partial g_{x_1 + \delta BV}(z)$ for all $x \in A$. Hence by Zorn’s lemma ($P(\subseteq)$), $\partial_0 g \subseteq \partial_0 g = \partial_0 g \subseteq \partial_0 g$.

One may similarly prove the following:

**Theorem 10** (Minimal Clarke subdifferential). Let $A$ be a non-empty open connected subset of Banach space $X$ and let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function on $A$. Then there exists a locally Lipschitz function $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial_0 g(x) \subseteq \partial_0 f(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $\partial_0 g$ is minimal in the sense that for each $\tilde{g}$ with $\partial_0 \tilde{g}(x) \subseteq \partial_0 g(x)$ for all $x \in A$ we have that $\partial_0 \tilde{g} = \partial_0 g$.

As with an usco (cusco) mapping which may contain several minimal uscos (cuscos), an approximate (Clarke) subdifferential mapping may contain several minimal approximate (Clarke) subdifferential mappings. On the real line, Theorem 11 is clear by using the fact that every cusco contains a minimal cusco and that every minimal cusco on the line is a Clarke subdifferential map of some locally Lipschitz function. In fact on the line $\partial_0 g$ is a minimal cusco if and only if $\partial_0 g$ is minimal in the sense of Theorem 11 while the latter is equivalent to $\partial_0 g$ being minimal in the sense of Theorem 9 as in one dimension the Clarke subdifferential determines the approximate subdifferential by Theorem 2.2 [2]. However, each strongly integrable function is minimal in the sense defined in Theorems 9 and 10 and there are integrable functions whose generalized subdifferentials are neither minimal weak* uscos nor minimal weak* uscos (see Example 7.1 in [6]).

In Corollary 3 we saw that the Clarke subdifferential mapping is closed under the operation of taking finite convex hulls. Hence it is natural to ask the question of whether the Clarke subdifferential is closed under the operation of taking finite intersections. The next example shows that this fails in a rather strong way.

**Example 4.** Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an everywhere differentiable and strictly increasing function such that $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f'(x) = 0\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| < |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $C := epi(f) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : f(x) \leq y\}$. Next, consider the distance function $d_C$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$ by the $l_1$ norm and the set $C$. Let $g$ be any Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\partial_0 g(x)$ is equivalently equal to the $l_2$ norm ball. If we define $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^2}$ by $T(x) := \partial_0 g(x) \cap \partial_0 d_C(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then $T$ is a cusco but $\mathcal{L} = \emptyset$. Indeed, if $h \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\partial_0 h(x) \subseteq \partial_0 d_C(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

However, as $d_C$ is integrable [6] we must have that $\partial_0 h(x) = \partial_0 d_C(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. 

But this is impossible since \( \partial d_C(x) \) is not contained in the \( l_2 \) ball for all values of \( x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \).
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