

# **Strategy and the Principal**

**Mr Scott Eacott**

BTech/BSocSci GradCertPTT MLMEd MACEL

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Education  
Faculty of Education and Arts  
The University of Newcastle  
Newcastle, Australia

November 2008



## STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis is the result of original research, the greater part of which was completed subsequent to admission to candidature for the degree.

Scott Eacott, 19 November 2008

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the people and organizations whose assistance made this research possible. Firstly, I thank Associate Professor James Ladwig, for his advice, assistance, encouragement, support and honest feedback in successfully completing this project. His insights ensured that I achieved my goal, but also learnt and enjoyed the process.

Secondly, I would like to thank the participants in this research who gave up their valuable time. Particular mention must go to John Mather (Regional Director for the Hunter / Central Coast) for giving me access to public primary school principals through their regular meetings and supporting this research in his weekly newsletter to staff.

Experiences such as this do not come about without the support of an extended group of people, a number of whom are fellow doctoral students on similar journeys. This support and my thanks also extend to Professors Terry Lovat, Phil Foreman and Jenny Gore for their unquestioned support during some of my toughest days of candidature. Additional thanks to the academic and administrative staff of the School of Education, particularly Alison Russell and Susan de Sain, whose assistance made the process all the more smooth.

I would also like to thank my parents, Robert and Margaret for instilling in me a love for reading and learning. But most importantly, I thank my wife Amy for her patience and understanding in this and previous academic endeavors which have brought me to this point. Finally I would like to acknowledge my children, Daniel and Madelyn who have yet in their short lives to experience a dad who does not tuck them into bed, kiss them goodnight and then get to work on the computer, typing away into the night.

## CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xi
ABSTRACT .....	xii
CHAPTER ONE.....	1
<i>Strategy and the Principal</i> .....	1
<i>The Evolution of Strategy in Education</i> .....	6
<i>The Purpose of this Thesis</i> .....	9
<i>What, Who, How, When and Where to Study?</i> .....	10
<i>Additional Matters of Scope</i> .....	14
<i>An Overview of Succeeding Chapters</i> .....	14
CHAPTER TWO.....	18
<i>Theory on the Strategic Role of the Educational Leader</i> .....	18
<i>Establishing a Knowledge Base</i> .....	20
<i>Scholarship Informing the Knowledge Base on the Strategic Role</i> .....	27
<i>Theory and Strategy in Education Research</i> .....	34
Theory Development and Strategic Role.....	35
Streams of Influential Theory.....	37
Conceptual Features of the Knowledge Base .....	48
Possible Theory Developments within Strategy in Education .....	61
CHAPTER THREE.....	72
<i>Methodological Issues and Strategy in Education Research</i> .....	72
Current State of Empirical Strategy in Education Research.....	74

Possible Methodological Developments on the Strategic Role of School Leaders .....	79
<i>This is more than just a DET Story</i> .....	86
CHAPTER FOUR .....	89
<i>Testing a Model of the Strategic Role of School Leaders</i> .....	89
<i>Research Question</i> .....	90
<i>Theoretical Features of the Study</i> .....	92
<i>Methodological Features of the Study</i> .....	106
Data source .....	106
Pilot Study / Instrumentation .....	108
Sample.....	110
The Instrument Statistics.....	115
Additional Matters.....	116
<i>Limitations and Constraints</i> .....	123
<i>Results</i> .....	124
To what extent do public primary school principals display strategic leadership and management?.....	124
Do demographic variables (personal and school) moderate the strategic leadership and management of principals?.....	132
<i>Conclusion</i> .....	155
CHAPTER FIVE .....	160
<i>Defining the Strategic Role of the Public Primary School Principal</i> .....	160
<i>Procedures and Theoretical Structure</i> .....	161
<i>Presentation of the Data</i> .....	166
Advancing the School’s Purpose.....	168
Within the School’s Unique Context .....	173
Shaping the Direction of the School .....	180

Through Leadership Behaviors .....	184
Additional Information .....	187
Summary .....	199
<i>Validating the definition</i> .....	200
<i>Implications</i> .....	207
<i>Conclusions</i> .....	208
CHAPTER SIX .....	209
<i>The Strategic Role as a Disciplinary Practice</i> .....	209
<i>Foucault on Strategy</i> .....	211
<i>The Panopticon and Disciplinary Practices</i> .....	215
<i>How Disciplinary Power is Enacted within the Strategic Role</i> .....	217
<i>Surveillance and Public Mistrust</i> .....	228
<i>The Production of Individuality</i> .....	230
<i>Back to the Beginning</i> .....	234
<i>The Empirical Implications</i> .....	237
<i>Conclusion</i> .....	240
CHAPTER SEVEN.....	242
<i>Positioning and Framing</i> .....	242
<i>Positioning</i> .....	243
<i>Framing</i> .....	246
<i>Conclusion</i> .....	254
REFERENCES .....	255
APPENDICES .....	278
APPENDIX 1: SLQ (PRINCIPAL VERSION) .....	279
APPENDIX 2: SLQ (RATER VERSION) .....	282

APPENDIX 3: SLQ – SCALES AND ITEMS .....284  
APPENDIX 4: INDIVIDUAL ITEM RESULTS .....286  
APPENDIX 5: SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEW SCHEDULE.....289

## LIST OF TABLES

1	Quality forums for educational leadership scholars	22
2	Incidence of empirical / conceptual work over time	26
3	Articles cited more than three times in the sample	28
4	Books cited more than five times in the sample	29
5	Representative definitions of strategy from the fields of education and strategy	52
6	Representative definitions espoused by four sets of boundary-spanning scholars (taken from Nag <i>et al.</i> , 2007, p. 946)	53
7	Types of causal models	58
8	Summary of conceptual features present in the knowledge base	61
9	Theory recommendations for research on the strategic role of school leaders	62
10	A sample of literature and its conformity to the proposed model of the strategic role of the public primary school principal	69
11	Sampling strategy applied in the literature on the strategic role	75
12	Sample size by data source	76
13	Data sources over time	77
14	Comparison of similar studies of data sources in strategy research	77
15	Methodological recommendations for research on the strategic role of school leaders	80
16	Overview of demographic variables and their measures	95
17	Factor loading and scale statistics from the SLQ pilot study	111
18	Descriptive statistics of respondents' career path	113
19	Distribution of sample and region by school size	114
20	Factor loading and scale statistics from the SLQ main study	117
21	Comparison of means for self-reporting principals and self plus others (scales)	119
22	Comparison of means for self-reporting principals and self plus others (items)	121
23	Comparison of means between self and others at the scale level	122
24	Descriptive statistics of questionnaire	125
25	Highest scoring items on the SLQ	127
26	Lowest scoring items on the SLQ	130
27	Pearson product-moment coefficients of correlations between demographic variables	134
28	Scale scores by gender	135
29	Comparison of item means for implement scale by gender	137
30	Comparison of scale means by age group	138
31	Scale scores by tenure	141
32	Pearson product-moment coefficients of correlations between means for scales and the principal's career path	143
33	Scale scores by the presence of a teaching principals position	145
34	Scale scores by time spent as a medium sized school principal	147
35	Scale scores by level of formal education	148
36	Comparison of scale means by school size	152

37	Scale scores by socio-economic status of the school	153
38	Scale scores by presence of students from disadvantaged backgrounds	154
39	Overview of analysis by demographic variables	156
40	The distinctive lexicon of the strategic role	167
41	Forms of professional learning undertaken relating to the strategic role	188
42	Cross-tabulation of data set	201
43	Logistic regression analysis of 883 educational leadership articles and the model proposed for the strategic role of the principal	202
44	Validation of predicted probabilities	205

## LIST OF FIGURES

1	Percentage of works on strategy / quality of forum	27
2	Upper echelon theory adapted from Hambrick and Mason (1984)	105
3	Causation models for the strategic role	179
4	The implicit consensual definition of the strategic role of the public primary school principals	199
5	A planning model provided by the DET	227

## ABSTRACT

This thesis builds on and contributes to work on the strategic role of educational leaders, and particularly public primary school principals. Although some in the field (Bell, 2002; Forde, Hobby, & Lees, 2000; Kelly, 2005) have questioned the utility of strategy as a concept, particularly with its ties to economics, marketing and capitalist ventures, there has not been an explicit research focus of what strategy means in educational leadership. As such, this thesis provides additional insight by taking stock, assessing and integrating the existing body of literature on strategic leadership and management in schools and by going beyond what is already known and setting forth new frameworks, perspectives and researchable questions. The analytical focus on the strategic role, and not merely strategic management or strategic leadership enables another contribution. Multiple modes of inquiry were used in constructing the arguments of this work.

Through a theoretical and methodological analysis of the discourse on the strategic role in 18 prominent educational leadership journals over a 27 year period (1980-2007), a model for the strategic role was developed. This model was tested on a sample of public primary school principals in the Hunter / Central Coast region of New South Wales, Australia. The results of this questionnaire based study prompted further inquiry as to what the 'strategic role' means to practitioners. A framework was developed from the transcribed texts of interviews with principals. Many similarities existed in this framework and the literature derived model, however the framework developed from practitioner responses gave greater attention to the social forces that act upon the strategic role, supporting the criticism directed at the utility of the narrow view of strategy as planning to the educational context.

Theoretically informed by the work of Michel Foucault, a proposed alternate lens for the analysis of the strategic role of school leaders is presented, showing that as a result of increased participation in school governance and accountability regimes, principal actions are constantly under surveillance. While not being the first to bring Foucault into the educational leadership discourse, the proposal of the strategic role within a social space is something that has been missing from the discussion on the strategic role within the field. The Foucauldian frame sheds need insight into the strategic role of the public primary school principal and highlights the significance of the role within the field of educational leadership. Overall, this thesis is intended to provide a new platform for theory and research on the strategic role of school leaders. As with Anderson and Grinberg (1998), this thesis argues for Foucault's concept of disciplinary practices as a metaphor for the field of educational leadership. It is argued that by positioning the strategic role within the broader social space, further inquiry can help provide a fundamental understanding of how and why educational institutions behave the way they do.