



Extremal Networks and Connectivity

Kim Marshall

Submitted in total fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment
The University of Newcastle
NSW 2308, Australia

August 2011

Certificate of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

(Signed) _____

Kim Marshall

Dedication

To the memory of my paternal grandmother, Margaret Isabel Marshall,
(16th August 1910 - 6th February 2005).

Grandma removed my father from school at the age of 14, due to the conviction that formal education was a waste of time. Let us hope that she is wrong on that point.

To the memory of my maternal grandfather, John Francis Lynch,
(13th April 1918 - 29th October, 1993).

Pop beamed with pride at the graduation of his first grandchild, my brother. His departure prompted my return to my home, my country and my studies.

Acknowledgements

I have not the words to thank my principle supervisor Professor Mirka Miller. There were a number of times throughout my PhD candidature that I thought I could not complete my studies, due to financial concerns, geographical obstacles and, more recently, family commitments. Mirka was always obstinate that I would finish the journey that I had begun and seemingly insurmountable obstacles disappeared. My sincere thanks and gratitude go to Mirka for her persistence, patience, faith, flexibility, encouragement, advice, friendship and support.

During my PhD candidature I was very privileged in that I had three different supervisors, namely, my principle supervisor, Professor Mirka Miller, my co-supervisor Dr Joe Ryan and my external co-supervisor Professor Camino Balbuena. I would like to thank them all for sharing their time and expertise with me and for their support and counsel during my candidature. I thoroughly enjoyed working with my supervisors and sincerely hope that the enjoyment was mutual. I particularly enjoyed Dr Joe Ryan's ability to lift morale with his remarkable sense of humour and incredible ability to recite poetry and prose. I will always admire my external co-supervisor Professor Camino Balbuena for her passion, determination and warmth. Although this journey is at an end, I will treasure the memories I have and hope that our paths cross frequently in the future.

I would like to thank the School of Information Technology and Mathematical Sciences at the University of Ballarat for providing me with a scholarship and an exceptionally comfortable working environment and for providing me with the opportunity to travel to Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya in order to work with Professor Camino Balbuena. Thank-you also to the Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada III, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya for honouring me with an invitation to visit and for being wonderful hosts during my stay.

Thank-you to the School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science within the Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment at the University of Newcastle for allowing me return from maternity leave, twice. I am most grateful for the financial support provided in the form of a scholarship and the provision of the Maple software package which I used to verify a number of my results.

I would also like to thank my supervisors and the Universities that I attended for providing me with the opportunity to attend a number of conferences and workshops. Attending these events gave me the opportunity to meet and to collaborate with other academics, in particular, Charles Delorme, Evelyne Flandrin, Yuqing Lin, Xavier Marcote, Luis Montejano and Jianmin Tang.

I would like to thank Geoffrey Exoo firstly, for making his research publicly available on his website. Secondly, for his kindness in providing me with adjacency lists of some graphs that were not available from his website. Thirdly, for generously allowing me unrestricted use of a program that he had written which turned out to be useful for verifying a number of my results and, finally, for some interesting discussions.

A number of people have been a constant source of inspiration, encouragement and support to me and their very presence makes my world a better place. Mrs Joan Robertson, encouraged me to become a doctor before I had even begun my schooling. I hope Joan is not too disappointed that I chose mathematics over medicine. “Here’s to you, Mrs. Robinson”. Thank you Janet Neville for your encouragement, sense of humour and for introducing me to “Kimpossible”. Jennifer Barthe whose ability to manage three children and a farm while conquering her second degree, inspired me to return to my studies after my second child was born. Kate the great educator. Finally, my mother, without whom I would not be here today, thank-you for the unconditional love and acceptance of who I am and the choices that I make.

My sincere gratitude goes to the people who cared for my children without them I would have been unable to complete my studies: Günter and Lieselotte Furche, who took on the role of caring for my children out of a conviction that I need to be emancipated and fortuitous my childrens’ German language skills have improved beyond my own capabilities; Jane with the big truck and the million dollar duck, who, incidentally, introduced the children to Shakespeare’s Macbeth “Bubble bubble, toil and trouble”, my father and my brothers’ families.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my husband and children. Firstly, for providing a great many interesting and pleasant diversions from my studies. Secondly, for enticing me to complete this journey with the promise of resuming the journey that we have begun together.

List of Publications

Publications Arising from This Thesis

1. C. Balbuena, J. Tang, K. Marshall, Y. Lin, Superconnectivity of regular graphs with small diameter, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **157** (2009), pp. 1349- 1353.
2. C. Balbuena, K. Marshall, L.P. Montejano, On the connectivity and superconnected graphs with small diameter, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **158** (2010), pp. 397-403.
3. K. Marshall, M. Miller and J. Ryan, Extremal Graphs without Cycles of length 8 or less *Eurocomb 2011*
4. C. Delorme, E. Flandrin, Y. Lin, K. Marshall, M. Miller and J. Ryan, Extremal graphs with small girth, *In preparation*.
5. K. Marshall, M. Miller and J. Ryan, On maximum size of graphs with girth greater than 8, *In preparation*.

Further Publications Produced During my Candidature

6. K. Marshall, J. Ryan, Mode and antimode graphs, *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms*, (2005), pp. 231- 238.
7. K. Marshall, J. Ryan, On antimode graphs, *The Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, (May 2008), pp. 51-60.
8. K. Marshall, J. Ryan, The eccentric nature of generalised Petersen graphs, *In preparation*.

Abstract

In 1736, in the town of Königsberg it was asked, “Is it possible to walk across the seven bridges that span the river Pregel, which divide the town of Königsberg into four land masses, without having to cross any bridge more than once?” Euler observed that it was unnecessary to consider the size of the land masses, the length of the bridges or the route taken to traverse the bridges in order to answer this question. He showed that the problem could be abstracted by considering only the *topology of the network*, where a network is a group or system of interconnected things or nodes: in this case the four land masses; and a topology is the way in which constituent parts are interrelated or linked: in this case, whether there is a bridge between any two chosen landmasses or not. The Königsberg bridge problem was the first problem in recorded history to be formulated in graph theoretic terms, that is, as the topology of a network. Euler’s solution to this problem is considered to be the first theorem of graph theory.

Today, 275 years later, graph theory is a vibrant field of research with remarkably diverse applications, including: molecular chemistry; developing vaccination strategies to prevent the spread of viruses through human populations and computer networks; modelling complex ecological systems; analysis of social networks; and the design of VLSI (very large scale integrated circuits) of multiprocessors. In this thesis we consider questions in two separate but related research areas in the field of graph theory, namely, extremal graph theory and connectivity.

Extremal graph theory is the study of graphs that are extremal, that is, maximal or minimal, under some given constraints. In this thesis we focus on the problem of finding the maximum number of pair-wise connections between the nodes in a network, given the number of nodes and the length of the shortest cycle in the network. A graph that attains this bound is called an extremal graph. Our interest in extremal graphs arose from the problem of determining the structure of the most efficient and reliable networks. We provide constructions that produce infinite families of extremal graphs. We examine the relationship between extremal graphs and some other graphs that have been considered in the design of optimal networks. We develop an algorithm that we use to establish new and improved lower bounds on the size of some extremal graphs and determine the exact size of the extremal graphs for some particular parameters.

A graph is connected if there is a path, consisting of nodes and links, between any two nodes in the graph. The ability to send and receive email via the Internet is dependent upon the Internet being connected, that is, there is a path of computers and connections between the sender and receiver of the email. The connectivity of a network is the number of nodes or links that must be removed in order to partition the network into two or more components. High connectivity of a network corresponds to the properties of fault tolerance and resilience under attack. In this thesis we determine a number of sufficient conditions that ensure good connectivity of a network.

Contents

Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Extremal Graph Theory	2
1.3	Connectivity	3
1.4	Structure of the Thesis	3
Chapter 2	Definitions and Notation	5
2.1	Basic Concepts	5
2.2	Families of Graphs	8
2.3	Operations on Graphs	11
2.4	Extremal Graph Theory	12
2.5	Connectivity	13
Chapter 3	Literature Review	15
3.1	Graphs with Maximal/Minimal Order	15
3.1.1	The Degree/Diameter Problem	16
3.1.2	The Degree/Girth Problem	19
3.2	Graphs with Maximal Size	23
3.2.1	Extremal $\{C_3, C_4, \dots, C_t\}$ -free Graphs	25
3.3	Connectivity	26
3.3.1	Connectivity of Moore Graphs	30
3.3.2	Connectivity of Cages	31
3.3.3	Connectivity of Extremal Graphs	31

Chapter 4	Extremal Graphs	33
4.1	Observations and Notation	34
4.2	Structural Properties of Extremal Graphs	36
4.2.1	The Diameter of Extremal Graphs	36
4.2.2	The Girth of Extremal Graphs	36
4.3	Grow and Prune Algorithm	38
4.3.1	Grow	38
4.3.2	Prune	39
4.3.3	Seed Graphs	39
4.4	$ex(n; t)$, for $t \in \{3, 4\}$	40
4.5	New Families of Extremal Graphs	43
4.6	$ex(n; t)$, for $t \in \{5, 6, 7\}$	48
4.6.1	$ex(n; 5)$	49
4.6.2	$ex(n; 6)$	50
4.6.3	$ex(n; 7)$	60
4.7	$ex(n; t)$, for $t \in \{8, 9, 10, 11\}$	61
4.7.1	$ex(n; 8)$	62
4.7.2	$ex(n; 9)$	67
4.7.3	$ex(n; 10)$	73
4.7.4	$ex(n; 11)$	74
Chapter 5	Connectivity	78
5.1	Sufficient Conditions for $\kappa \geq 2$	79
5.2	Sufficient Conditions for $\kappa \geq 5$	80

Chapter 6	Superconnectivity	85
6.1	Superconnectivity of Regular Graphs	85
6.2	Superconnectivity of Non-regular Graphs	92
Chapter 7	Summary and Future Research	98
References		103
Appendix A	Grow and Prune Algorithm	113
Appendix B	Summary of known values of $ex(n; t)$, for $t = 4, 5, \dots, 11$ and $n \leq 200$	115

List of Tables

3.1	Summary of upper bounds for $n(k, g)$ from [56]. Known (k, g) -cages are shown in bold font.	22
3.2	Data used to illustrate the relationship between cages and extremal graphs. . . .	26
4.1	Known lower bounds on $ex(n; 4)$, from tables printed in [66]. Exact values, when known, are listed in bold font.	42
4.2	Improved lower bounds on $ex(n; 4)$ obtained by the constructions in Theorem 4.4.	43
4.3	◇ Improved lower bounds on $ex(n; 4)$ produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	44
4.4	Known upper and lower bounds on $ex(n; 5)$ as given in [1]. Exact values, when known, are listed in bold font.	49
4.5	◇ New lower bounds on $ex(n; 5)$ produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	50
4.6	Known upper and lower bounds on $ex(n; 6)$, for $n \leq 16$ from [2], for $17 \leq n \leq 28$ from [3] and $ex(29; 6) = 45$ [119]. Exact values, when known, are listed in bold font.	52
4.7	◇ Improved lower bounds on $ex(n; 6)$ produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	53
4.8	Known upper and lower bounds on $ex(n; 7)$, for $n \leq 19$ by [2] and, for $20 \leq n \leq 80$ by [3]. Exact values, when known, are listed in bold font.	60
4.9	◇ New lower bounds on $ex(n; 7)$ produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	61
4.10	Known values of $ex(n; 8)$, for $n \leq 22$, from [2].	63
4.11	◇ New lower bounds on $ex(n; 8)$, for $n \leq 200$, produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	68
4.12	Known values of $ex(n; 9)$, for $n \leq 25$, from [2].	68
4.13	◇ New lower bounds on $ex(n; 9)$, for $n \leq 200$, produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	73
4.14	Known values of $ex(n; 10)$, for $n \leq 29$, from [2].	74

4.15	◇ New lower bounds on $ex(n; 10)$, for $n \leq 200$, produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	75
4.16	Known values of $ex(n; 11)$, for $n \leq 32$, from [2].	76
4.17	◇ New lower bounds on $ex(n; 11)$, for $n \leq 209$, produced by application of our GAP algorithm.	76
4.18	The difference between $ex_u(n; t)$ and $ex_l(n; t)$, for $n = 50, 100, 150$ and 200 and $4 \leq t \leq 11$	77
7.1	Cages that attain the current best known lower bound on $ex(n; t)$, for $n = n(k, g)$ and $t = g - 1$	99
7.2	Subdivided graphs that provide the current best known lower bound on the corresponding extremal numbers.	99
7.3	Sufficient conditions to ensure good connectivities in terms of diameter, girth, maximum and minimum degree.	102
B.1	Summary of known values $ex(n; 4)$, $ex_l(n; 4)$ and $ex_u(n; 4)$, for $n \leq 200$	116
B.2	Summary of known values $ex(n; 5)$, $ex_l(n; 5)$ and $ex_u(n; 5)$, for $n \leq 200$	117
B.3	Summary of known values $ex(n; 6)$, $ex_l(n; 6)$ and $ex_u(n; 6)$, for $n \leq 200$	118
B.4	Summary of known values $ex(n; 7)$, $ex_l(n; 7)$ and $ex_u(n; 7)$, for $n \leq 200$	119
B.5	Summary of known values $ex(n; 8)$, $ex_l(n; 8)$ and $ex_u(n; 8)$, for $n \leq 200$	120
B.6	Summary of known values $ex(n; 9)$, $ex_l(n; 9)$ and $ex_u(n; 9)$, for $n \leq 200$	121
B.7	Summary of known values $ex(n; 10)$, $ex_l(n; 10)$ and $ex_u(n; 10)$, for $n \leq 200$	122
B.8	Summary of known values $ex(n; 11)$, $ex_l(n; 11)$ and $ex_u(n; 11)$, for $n \leq 200$	123

List of Figures

2.1	A graph G .	5
2.2	A subgraph S of the graph G in Figure 2.1.	7
2.3	The cycle C_7 and the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,7}$.	8
2.4	The complete graphs K_7 and K_8 .	8
2.5	The complete bipartite graph $K_{4,5}$ and two different spanning trees of $K_{4,5}$.	9
2.6	The Heawood graph, McGee graph and Tutte-Coxeter graph.	9
2.7	A generalised triangle, \mathcal{I} , and the incidence graph $G(\mathcal{I})$.	11
2.8	The Petersen graph P and the subdivided Petersen graph s_1P .	12
2.9	A connected graph.	13
3.1	Illustration of Moore bound.	17
3.2	Illustration of bipartite Moore bound.	19
3.3	Illustration of the lower bound on the order of a graph of even girth $g > 3$.	23
3.4	A superconnected graph.	29
4.1	Three different graphs in $EX(11; 8)$.	37
4.2	$T_{4,3,6}$ with one additional vertex of degree 4.	54
4.3	Six S_5 structures.	54
4.4	The trees T_{r_1} and T_{r_2} and the set X as described in Lemma 4.3 (iii).	58
4.5	Illustration of the proof of Theorem 4.15.	59
4.6	$ V(T_{\Delta,2,8}(P_2^2)) = 1 + 6\Delta$.	63
4.7	$T_{3,2,8}(P_2^2)$ having P_3^3 as a subgraph.	64
4.8	$ V(T_{4,2,8}(\mathcal{F})) = 24$.	65
4.9	$ V(T_{3,2,8}(\mathcal{F})) = 27$.	66

4.10	$ V(T_{\Delta,2,9}(P_2^2)) = 6\Delta + 5$.	69
4.11	$ V(T_{3,2,9}(P_2^2)) = 26$.	70
4.12	$ V(T_{\Delta,2,9}(P_3^2)) = 3 + 5\Delta$.	71
4.13	The structure of a graph with $\mathcal{D} = (3^{12}, 2^{15})$, when P_3^2 is a forbidden subgraph.	72
5.1	A graph with $\delta \geq 2$, $\Delta > 2\delta - 1$, $D \leq g - 1$ and $\kappa = 1$.	79
5.2	Illustration of proof of Theorem 5.1 (i) for a graph with girth 6. $D \geq d(u, x) + d(u', x) \geq g/2 + g/2 = g$.	80
5.3	Illustration of proof of Theorem 5.1 (ii). $2\delta \leq N(x) \cap V(C) + N(x) \cap V(C') = \delta + i + \delta + j \leq N(x) \leq \Delta$.	81
5.4	Illustration of proof of Lemma 5.2.	82
5.5	Illustration of proof of Theorem 5.2.	83
6.1	The pairwise disjoint sets $N_\mu(z_i) \cap X$.	87
6.2	$d(u) = \delta$, $ N_{\mu+1}(u) \cap X = \delta - 1$ and X is a set of independent vertices.	88
6.3	An illustration of the proof that $ N(X) \cap V(C) \geq \delta(\delta - 1)$ for $g = 5$. The dash line is a forbidden edge.	89
6.4	Possible trivial edge cut sets F shown in dashed lines.	91
6.5	A graph with $g = 5$ and $\kappa_1 = \delta = 3$.	91
6.6	A graph with $\delta = 3$, $\Delta = 4$, odd girth $g = 5$ and $D = g - 2 = 3$ having $\kappa_1 = \delta = 3$.	97