"Machiavellianism and career success satisfaction: The effects of self-monitoring, role competence, emotional intelligence, and leader-member exchange."

 \mathbf{BY}

LOIZOS CHRISTOU

(BEc., Dip.Ed., MSc.Fin. Econ.)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of Newcastle University, The Faculty of Business and Law, for the degree of Doctor in Business Administration

AUGUST 2011

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

SignatureLoizos Christou	
--------------------------	--

TABLE OF CONTENTS		
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION		
1.1 INTRODUCTION		
1.2 BACKGROUND TO THE RESEARCH	8	
1.2.1 MACHIAVELLIANISM	8	
1.2.2 SELF-MONITORING	10	
1.2.3 LEADER-MEMBER EXCHANGE (LMX)	10	
1.2.4 ROLE COMPETENCE		
1.2.5. EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE (EI)	12	
1.2.6. CAREER SUCCESS SATISFACTION		
1.3 JUSTIFICATION FOR THE STUDY	14	
1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESES	15	
1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
1.6 MAJOR FINDINGS	17	
1.7 STRUCTURE OF THE DISSERTATION	17	
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1 INTRODUCTION		
2.2 RESEARCH PROBLEM AND INTENTION	18	
2.3 LITERATURE REVIEW	20	
2.3.1 MACHIAVELLIANISM	21	
2.3.2 SELF-MONITORING	25	
2.3.3 LEADER-MEMBER EXCHANGE	27	
2.3.4 ROLE COMPETENCE	31	
2.3.5 EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE		
2.3.6 CAREER SUCCESS	36	
2.3.6 CAREER SUCCESS SATISFACTION	38	
2.4 RESEARCH GAP	40	
2.5 CONCEPTUAL MODEL	41	
2.6 DEFINITIONS	42	
2.7 DEVELOPMENT OF RESEARCH HYPOTHESES	44	
2.8 CONCLUSION	52	
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH DESIGN		
3.1 INTRODUCTION		
3.2 RESEARCH QUESTION AND HYPOTHESES	53	
3.3 RESEARCH PARADIGMS	54	
3.3.1 POSITIVISM 3.3.2 INTERPRETIVISM	54	
3.3.2 INTERPRETIVISM	56	
3.3.3 SELECTED RESEARCH PARADIGMS JUSTIFICATION	58	
3.4 RESEARCH DESIGN AND ITS JUSTIFICATION	59	
3.4.1 RESEARCH DESIGNS	60	
3.4.1.1 EXPERIMENTS	60	
3.4.1.2 CROSS-SECTIONAL DESIGN	61	
3.4.1.3 LONGITUDINAL DESIGN	62	
3.4.2 JUSTIFICATION OF THE SELECTED RESEARCH DESIGN	62	
3.5 SAMPLING METHODS	63	
3.6 DATA-COLLECTION METHODS	65	
3.7 TYPES OF QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEYS	68	
3.8 JUSTIFICATION FOR USING A SURVEY	70	
3.9 SCALES	71	
3.9.1 MEASURES AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THEIR USE	73	

3.9.3 SELF-MONITORING	75
3.9.4 LEADER-MEMBER EXCHANGE (LMX)	
3.9.5 ROLE COMPETENCE	75
3.9.6 CAREER SUCCESS SATISFACTION	
3.9.7 EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE (EI)	
3.10 QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN	
3.11 DATA ANALYSIS	
	78
3.13 LIMITATIONS	79
3.14 ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	79
3.15 CONCLUSION	80
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	00
4.1 INTRODUCTION	81
4.2 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF KEY VRIABLES	
4.2.1 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF KEY VARIABLES	
4.3 PRINCIPAL COMPONENT AND INTERNAL RELIABILITY ANALYSIS-	
4.3.1 MACHIAVELLIANISM	- 85
4.3.2 SELF-MONITORING	- 86
	- 87
4.3.4 ROLE COMPETENCE	- 87
4.3.5 EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE	- 88 - 88
4.3.6 CAREER SUCCESS SATISFACTION	
4.4 HYPOTHESIS TESTING	- 89 95
	93
CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS	07
5.1 INTRODUCTION	- 97
5.3 IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS FOR PRACTICE	
5.4 IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS FOR THEORY	102
5.5 LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH	
5.6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS	
REFERENCES	
REFERENCES	107
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX 1:1: PARTICIPANT INFORMATION LETTER	126
APPENDIX 2: COMPANY INVITATION LETTER	140
AFFENDIA 3.QUESTIONNAIRE COVER FAGE AND QUESTIONNAIRE	140
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)	82
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%) Table 4.2: Descriptive Statistics for Key variables	83
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%) Table 4.2: Descriptive Statistics for Key variables Table 4.3: Principal component analysis results for Machiavellianism	83 85
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%) Table 4.2: Descriptive Statistics for Key variables Table 4.3: Principal component analysis results for Machiavellianism Table 4.4: Principal component analysis results for self-monitoring	83 85 - 86
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)	83 85 - 86 87
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)————————————————————————————————————	83 85 - 86 87 87
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)————————————————————————————————————	83 85 - 86 87 - 87 - 88
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)	83 85 - 86 87 87 88
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)	83 85 - 86 87 - 87 - 88 89 91
Table 4.1: Main Demographic Characteristics of the sample (%)	83 85 - 86 87 - 87 - 88 89 91

3.9.2 MACHIAVELLIANISM -----

LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 2. Proposed conceptual model	42
Figure 3. Comparison of Positivist and Interpretivist paradigms	57

ABSTRACT

The effects of self-monitoring, leader-member-exchange (LMX), role competence and emotional intelligence (EI) on the relationship between Machiavellianism and career success satisfaction are examined in this study. A quantitative approach was adopted and nine hypotheses were tested on a sample of 250 full-time employees from organizations in Northern Cyprus. A cross-sectional design with a self-administrated questionnaire was used to collect data. All of the measures for the variables employed were obtained from the literature and were validated in other studies.

Out of the nine hypotheses tested, the following four were not supported: i) Hypothesis One. Machiavellianism is positively correlated to career success satisfaction; ii) Hypothesis Two. Self-monitoring is positively correlated to career success satisfaction; iii) Hypothesis Seven. The relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX is moderated by EI such that the strength of the positive relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX increases as EI increases; and iv) Hypothesis Eight. The relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX is moderated by role competence such that the strength of the positive relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX increases as role competence increases.

The following four hypotheses were supported: i) Hypothesis Three. LMX is positively correlated to career success satisfaction; ii) Hypothesis Four. Role competence is positively correlated to career success satisfaction; iii) Hypothesis Five. EI is positively correlated to career success satisfaction; and iv) Hypothesis Six. The relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX is moderated by self-monitoring such that the strength of the positive relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX increases as self-monitoring increases. Hypothesis Nine (i.e., the relationship between Machiavellianism and career success satisfaction is mediated by LMX) was

not supported. However, when Hypothesis Six was tested (i.e., the relationship between Machiavellianism and LMX is positively moderated by self-monitoring), there significant was correlation Machiavellianism and LMX for the high self-monitoring group but not for the low self-monitoring group. Hypothesis Nine was thus re-tested using only the high self-monitoring group. The finding indicates that LMX mediates the relationship between Machiavellianism and career success satisfaction only for the high self-monitoring group. This finding shows that the indirect effect of Machiavellianism on career success satisfaction via LMX depends on self-monitoring. Hypothesis Nine is thus partially supported. The results of this study can be used to infer that LMX, role competence and EI can have a positive effect on career success satisfaction.

The results show that the quality of relationships with leaders improves when Machiavellianism is combined with high self-monitoring. Hence, high self-monitoring Machiavellians need to be monitored as they can use these traits to exploit others. The overall findings of this study give new insights about career success satisfaction in relation to Machiavellianism, self-monitoring, role competence, LMX and EI. The findings provide some evidence to support the argument that employees can influence their careers in various ways, including through personal traits such as Machiavellianism, self-monitoring, role competence, and EI.

Key words: Machiavellianism, Emotional Intelligence, Role Competence, Leader-Member Exchange, Self-monitoring, and Career Success Satisfaction.