

Leachate Quality Analysis and Passive Treatment Options

Pema Choden

Master of Philosophy (Environmental Science)

A Thesis submitted for the fulfilment of M Phil. Degree,

The University of Newcastle, N.S.W.

July, 2011

“I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis is the result of original research and has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other University or Institution.”

Signed

.....

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to gratefully and sincerely thank Associate Proffessor Phillip Geary for his continuous guidance, support and patience. He has contributed significantly in gaining new experiences and immense knowledge in my life. I would also like to thank Mr Joe Whitehead for his assistance as a co-supervisor and for providing valuable discussions and accessibility. I have deep appreciations to both of them for being very kind, co-operative and understanding throughout the study period.

Secondly, I would like to thank Dr Kim Edmunds for her immense support in editing. Dr Kim worked hard and spent much time reviewing the draft chapters of the thesis. She also gave me necessary inspiration to have faith in myself while writing the thesis.

I am grateful to Dr Steven Lucas, Mr Richard Bale, Mr Christopher Dever and Mr Matthew Davies for all the laboratory assistance whenever needed. To all my friends in Geology 109, they have provided me some much needed company, entertainment and humour in what could have otherwise been a stressful study environment. I always felt happy to have them around and talk to them whenever depressed.

Finally, and especially, for my parents (Dad, Mom and Sister, Pema Wangmo), I thank you for the unwavering support, prayers and strong faith in me and for being tolerant while being away from home, over a long period. Without them, this would not have been possible.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures.....	v
List of Equations	vi
Abbreviations, Acronym and Symbols.....	vii
Abstract.....	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Waste Management in Bhutan.....	4
1.2 Research Aims	5
1.3 Thesis Approach and Structure.....	6
Chapter 2 Background	8
2.1 Solid Waste Management Techniques	8
2.1.1 Composting	8
2.1.2 Incineration.....	12
2.1.3 Landfills	13
2.2 Review of Leachate Treatment Options.....	19
2.2.1 Aerobic biological treatment (Aeration).....	19
2.2.2 Flocculation.....	22
2.2.3 Membrane separation	24
2.2.4 Activated Carbon Adsorption (ACA).....	24
Chapter 3 Solid Waste Management.....	26
3.1 Waste Management in Bhutan.....	26
3.2 Summerhill Waste Management Centre (SWMC), Newcastle	28
3.3 Leachate Characterization and Statistical Evaluation	30
3.3.1 Temporal variation in leachate quality	32
3.4 Outcomes of Leachate Characterization and Evaluation	34
Chapter 4 Materials and Methods.....	41
4.1 Aerobic Biological Treatment	41
4.1.1 Site selection	41
4.1.2 Physical and chemical parameters of the leachate (sample).....	41
4.1.3 Characterisation of leachate sample	44
4.1.4 Set-up of the aeration experiment.....	45
4.2 Column Experiments	45
4.2.1 Setup of the column experiments	45
4.2.2 Characterisation of leachate sample	47
4.2.3 Determination of physical and chemical properties of the filter materials	47

4.3	Materials for Leachate Characterisation and Evaluation.....	51
	Chapter 5 Results	52
5.1	Aerobic Biological Treatment	52
5.1.1	Change in the nitrogen compounds	54
5.1.2	Turbidity	56
5.1.3	Electrical conductivity (EC)	58
5.1.4	pH and total alkalinity	59
5.1.5	Phosphorus	60
5.2	Column Experiments	61
5.2.1	Soluble reactive phosphorus removal	66
5.2.2	Turbidity and Colour	69
5.2.3	Electrical conductivity	71
5.2.4	Total alkalinity and pH	72
5.2.5	Ammonia-nitrogen, Nitrite-nitrogen and Nitrate-nitrogen	74
	Chapter 6 Discussion	76
6.1	Aerobic Biological Treatment	76
6.1.1	Ammonia-nitrogen removal	77
6.1.2	Turbidity and electrical conductivity	79
6.1.3	Colour and odour	80
6.1.4	Phosphorus	80
6.1.5	Temperature	81
6.1.6	Retention time	81
6.1.7	Application	83
6.1.8	Drawbacks of the experiment	84
6.2	Column Experiments	85
6.2.1	P-removal	85
6.2.2	The effect of chemical composition	86
6.2.3	Contact time & hydraulic conductivity	87
6.2.4	Longevity	88
6.2.5	Particle size distribution, porosity percentage (%) and bulk density	88
6.2.6	Drawbacks of the experiment	89
	Chapter 7 Conclusions and Recommendations	91
7.1	Laboratory Aeration Experiments	91
7.2	Laboratory Column Experiments	91
7.3	Leachate Characterisation	92
7.4	Solid Waste Management Options for Bhutan.....	93
7.5	Recommendations and Further Research	94
	References	95
	Appendix A	I
	Appendix B	VI

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Classification of Landfill Leachate According to the Composition Changes.....	16
Table 2.2	Landfill Gas Composition.	17
Table 3.1	Composition of Landfill Leachate (1995-2009) from SWMC, Newcastle, Australia.	31
Table 3.2	Correlations Between Rainfall in mm and Leachate Volume in KL: i) monthly (2001-2009) and ii) annual (1995 -2009).	39
Table 3.3	Spatial and Altitudinal Variation in Annual Rainfall by Region and Percentage of Total Area in Different Altitudinal Zones in Bhutan.	40
Table 4.1	Initial Physio-Chemical Characteristics of the Raw Leachate Sample (11 th February 2010).	45
Table 4.2	Initial Physio-Chemical Characteristics of the Raw Leachate Sample and DeIonised Water Used in Column Experiments (18 th May 2010).	48
Table 4.3	Typical Chemical Composition of BFS (amorphous-0.25-4 mm).	50
Table 5.1	The Change in Characteristics of Landfill Leachate in the two Tanks operated at 21°C for 20 days. Tank A was the control while Tank B was aerated.	53
Table 5.2	Physical Properties of Three Different Filter Materials: GAC, BFS and sand.	63
Table 5.3	Operating Parameters for the Column Experiments.	63
Table 5.4	Mean Results Obtained from Column Experiments using three Different Filter Materials.	67

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Typical temperature curve observed during different compost phases.....	10
Figure 2.2	A sketch of a MSW landfill construction.....	14
Figure 2.3	A cross section of a leachate collection system.....	17
Figure 2.4	A landfill gas collection and recovery system.	18
Figure 2.5	An aerated lagoon plant.....	22
Figure 3.1	Average percentage composition of MSW in Bhutan.....	27
Figure 3.2	Frequency distribution curve of ammonia between 1995 and 2009.	35
Figure 3.3	An illustration of six month moving average – leachate percolation versus rainfall (January 2001- December 2009).	36
Figure 3.4	An illustration of the annual leachate percolation versus annual rainfall received at the landfill site (1995-2009).	37
Figure 3.5	A linear relationship between rainfall (mm) and leachate volume (KL): a) monthly ($R^2 = 0.238$) and b) annual ($R^2 = 0.367$).	38
Figure 3.6	A plot of variation in rainfall with changes in season and altitude in Bhutan.	40
Figure 4.1	SWMC landfill and methane collection facility.....	42
Figure 4.2	Set up of the aeration experiment using glass-sided tanks and aerators showing Tank A (left) and Tank B (right).	46
Figure 4.3	Setup of the column experiment showing: a) different columns and b) constant head apparatus.	47
Figure 5.1	The changing pattern of ammonia concentration in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	54
Figure 5.2	The changing pattern of nitrite concentration in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	55
Figure 5.3	The changing pattern of nitrate concentration in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	56
Figure 5.4	The changing pattern of turbidity in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	57
Figure 5.5	The changing pattern of EC in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	58
Figure 5.6	The difference in colour of the leachate samples from Tank A (left) and Tank B (right).	59
Figure 5.7	The changing pattern of pH in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	59
Figure 5.8	The changing pattern of alkalinity in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.	60

Figure 5.9 The changing pattern of phosphorus in Tank A and Tank B over a period of 20 days.....	61
Figure 5.10 Plot of % of media finer by weight as a function of particle size (mm).	64
Figure 5.11 The changing level of phosphorus in the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	66
Figure 5.12 P-sorption isotherms for three different filter materials developed using five different P-solutions in the batch scale experiment (Lanfax Laboratories).	69
Figure 5.13 The changing level of turbidity in the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	70
Figure 5.14 The difference in the colour of the leachate samples for three different runs along with their respective controls. a) GAC, b) BFS and c) sand.	71
Figure 5.15 The changing level of EC in the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	72
Figure 5.16 The changing level of alkalinity in the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	73
Figure 5.17 The change in pH values of the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	74
Figure 5.18 The changing level of ammonia in the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	74
Figure 5.19 The changing level of nitrate in the leachate samples following different runs throughout the experiment.	75

List of Equations

Equation 4.1 Calculation of filter material % retained on sieve.....	49
Equation 4.2 Calculation of % finer by weight.	49
Equation 4.3 Calculation of hydraulic conductivity of the filter material.....	49

Abbreviations, Acronym and Symbols

<i>Abbreviation</i>	<i>Term</i>
SWM	Solid Waste Management
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
SWMC	Summerhill Waste Management Centre
AWQGMW	Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Waters.
BOM	Bureau of Meteorology
RGoB	Royal Government of Bhutan
BFS	Blast Furnace Slag
GAC	Granular Activated Carbon
PAC	Powdered Activated Carbon
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
XOCs	Xenobiotic Organic Compounds
OM	Organic Matter
NCM	Non-compostable Materials
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
SRP	Soluble Reactive Phosphorus
K_{sat}	Saturated hydraulic conductivity (permeability)
D₁₀	Effective size of filter material finer by 10%
LEL	Lower Explosive Limit
kL	Kilolitre
EC	Electrical Conductivity
pH	measure of H ⁺ activity
UMR	Under Measuring Range
NA	Not Applicable

P

Phosphorus

CH₄

Methane

CO₂

Carbon dioxide

NH₃

Ammonia

CaCO₃

Calcium Carbonate

Abstract

More than 90% of municipal solid waste (MSW) in developing countries is disposed of in landfills. In Bhutan, about 90% of solid waste is disposed of in landfills. One of the significant problems associated with landfills is the generation of leachate. Landfill leachates are highly contaminated waste waters containing high concentrations of organic matter (OM) measured as biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonia, halogenated hydrocarbons and trace elements. The direct release of leachate into the environment may pose potential risks and hazards to public health and ecosystems. As a result, cost effective and environmentally acceptable treatments of leachate are sought. This research aims to examine leachate characteristics and two low cost passive treatment options.

The characteristics of a typical leachate generated at a modern sanitary landfill were investigated by analysis of long-term monitoring data collected at Summerhill Waste Management Centre (SWMC), Newcastle, NSW. Leachate production from the SWMC landfill was clearly related to rainfall events at the landfill site. Rainfall has a direct impact on the volume of leachate produced and consequently on its chemical characteristics. Thus, leachate treatment systems must have provisions for the variation in concentrations.

The primary goal of this study was to investigate the suitability of two low cost passive leachate treatment options, which are viable and suitable for adoption in Bhutan. Two laboratory bench scale experiments were undertaken. The first experiment involved surface aeration of raw leachate over a period of 20 days, while the second investigated the treatment performance of three low cost filter media (Granular Activated Carbon (GAC), Blast Furnace Slag (BFS) and sand) by examining their sorption efficiencies in a series of column experiments. Leachate samples were collected from the landfill at SWMC.

The results of the research showed that a medium strength landfill leachate can be treated by both methods to reduce the concentrations of certain parameters. Aerobic treatment enhanced the leachate quality mainly through removal of ammonia and OM (>95%). It resulted in significant pollutant reductions as opposed to no aeration, which resulted in anoxic conditions. Column experiments provided leachate treatment essentially by lowering soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) concentration. BFS and GAC have performed comparatively better with P-removal efficiencies of 92% and 67%, respectively, than sand (40%) in the laboratory work undertaken.

Finally, the research results also suggested landfills in Bhutan do not have appropriate leachate and gas collection facilities. Due to a lack of proper waste segregation, the leachates produced in landfills could be chemically complex. Composting is suggested as a sustainable alternative for SWM in Bhutan to reduce the 50-60% of organic waste disposed of in the landfills if leachate collection and treatment cannot be afforded.